Chapter 13

A Procedural Analysis of *kadhalik* in Modern Standard Arabic: Demonstrative or Discourse Marker?

MAI ZAKI

Citation

Zaki, M. (2011). A procedural analysis of *kadhalik* in Modern Standard Arabic: Demonstrative or discourse marker? In V. Escandell-Vidal, M. Leonetti, & A. Ahern (Eds.), *Procedural meaning: Problems and perspectives* (pp. 317-346). Emerald. <u>https://doi.org/10.1163/9780857240941_014</u>

Abstract

The objective of this chapter is to apply a procedural analysis to the demonstrative form *kadhalik* in Modern Standard Arabic. It is argued that the form *kadhalik* can function either as a demonstrative or as a discourse marker. In the first use it consists of *kaaf 'al tašbiih* (*kaaf* for simile)+distal demonstrative *dhalik*, while in the second use it has grammaticalised into a single semantic unit. Using corpus examples, these two uses will be differentiated as the chapter further argues that the semantic contribution of both *kaaf 'al tašbiih* and *kadhalik* as a discourse marker can be systematically accounted for in procedural terms. This explains how both terms are used to make a discourse relation explicit by encoding procedural constraints on the interpretation process. The distinction of the two uses of *kadhalik* in procedural terms also explains distribution patterns in corpus data.

Keywords

Conceptual-procedural distinction, demonstratives, discourse markers, Modern Standard Arabic, relevance theory

References

Aijmer, K. 2002. English Discourse Particles: Evidence from a Corpus. Amsterdam/Philadelphia: John Benjamins.

- Al-gʻalayinii, M. 1993. *Jaami' 'al-Duruus 'al-'Arabiyyah*. Beirut: 'al-Maktabah 'al-'asriyyah.
- Al-Warraki, N. and A. Hassanein. 1994. *The Connectors in Modern Standard Arabic*. Cairo: The AUC Press.
- Babty, A. F. 1992. *Al-Mu'jam Al-Mufassal fi Al-Nahwu Al-'arabi*. Beirut: Daar Al-Kutub Al-'ilmiyyah.
- Blakemore, D. 1987. Semantic Constraints on Relevance. Oxford: Blackwell.
- Blakemore, D. 2002. *Relevance and Linguistic Meaning: The Semantics and Pragmatics of Discourse Markers*. Cambridge, MA: CUP.
- Blakemore, D. 2007. Constraints, concepts and procedural encoding. In N. Burton-Roberts, ed., *Pragmatics*, pp. 45–66. New York: Palgrave.
- Brustad, K. 2000. *The Syntax of Spoken Arabic: A Comparative Study of Moroccan, Egyptian, Syrian and Kuwaiti Dialects*. Washington, DC: Georgetown University Press.
- Cantarino, V. 1975. *Syntax of Modern Arabic Prose*. Bloomington, IN: Indiana University Press.
- Carston, R. 2002. Metaphor, Ad Hoc Concepts and Word Meaning More Questions than Answers. *UCL Working Papers in Linguistics*, 14, pp. 83–105.
- Curcó, C. 2004. Procedural constraints on context selection. Siempre as discourse marker. In R. Ma´rquez-Reiter and M. E. Placencia, eds., *Current Trends in the Pragmatics of Spanish*, pp. 179–201. Amsterdam: John Benjamins.
- Diessel, H. 1999. *Demonstratives: Form, Function and Grammaticalization*. Amsterdam: John Benjamins.
- Fraser, B. 1990. An approach to discourse markers. *Journal of Pragmatics* 14:383–395.
- Fraser, B. 1999. What are discourse markers? Journal of Pragmatics 31:931-952.
- Fraser, B., 2006. On the conceptual-procedural distinction. Style. Spring-Summer 2006. Available at http://findarticles.com/p/articles/mi_m2342/is_1-2_40/ai_n17113874/
- Halliday, M. A. K. and R. Hasan. 1976. Cohesion in English. London: Longman.
- Hedley, P. 2007. Anaphora, Relevance and the Conceptual/Procedural Distinction. Unpublished PhD Thesis. Magdalen College, Oxford.
- Holes, C. 2004. *Modern Arabic: Structures, Functions, and Varieties*. Washington, DC: Georgetown University Press.
- Hussein, M. 2009. The Discourse Marker but in English and Standard Arabic: One Procedure and Different Implementations. *Newcastle Working Papers in Linguistics* 15.

- Hussein, M. and N. Bukhari. 2008. Discourse markers and procedural meaning: The case of Fa in Standard Arabic. *Kashmir Journal of Language Research* 2(16):116–133.
- Ibn Jinni, U. 1913. Al Khasaa'is. Al Qahirah.
- Iten, C. 2005. *Linguistic Meaning, Truth Conditions and Relevance*. Basingstoke: Palgrave.
- Khalfaoui, A. 2009. A Cognitive Approach to Analyzing Demonstratives in Tunisian Arabic. Unpublished Ph.D. dissertation. University of Minnesota, USA.
- König, E. 1991. *The Meaning of Focus Particles: A Comparative Perspective*. London: Routledge.
- Krifka, M. 1999. Additive particles under stress. In D. Strolovitch, A. Lawson, eds., *Proceedings of SALT* 8:111–128. Ithaca, NY: CLC Publications.
- Moeschler, J. 2002. Pragmatics and linguistic encoding: Evidence from the conceptual/procedural distinction. In P. Schulz, ed., *Semiotics and Communication Sciences*. Toronto: University of Toronto Press.
- Moscati, S. 1969. An Introduction to the Comparative Grammar of Semitic Languages. Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz.
- Nicolle, S. 1997. Conceptual and procedural encoding: Criteria for the identification of linguistically encoded procedural information. In M. Groefsema, ed., *Proceedings of the University of Hertfordshire Relevance Theory Workshop*, pp. 47–56. Hertfordshire: Peter Thomas.
- O'Donoghue, J. 2009. Is a Metaphor (like) a Simile? Differences in Meaning, Effect and Processing. UCL Working Papers in Linguistics, 21, pp. 125–149.
- Redeker, G. 1991. Linguistic markers of discourse structure. *Linguistics* 29:1139–1172.
- Redeker, G. 2006. Discourse markers as attentional cues at discourse transitions. InK. Fischer, ed., *Approaches to Discourse Particles*, pp. 339–358. Amsterdam:Elsevier Science.
- Samy, D. and A. Gonzalez-Ledesma. 2008. Pragmatic annotation of discourse markers in a multilingual parallel corpus (Arabic-Spanish-English). In Proceedings of International Conference on Language Resources and Evaluation LREC-08, May. Marrakech, Morocco: European Language Resources Association.
- Schiffrin, D. 1987. Discourse Markers. Cambridge, MA: Cambridge University Press.
- Schourup, L. 1999. Discourse markers. Lingua 3/4:227-265.
- Sperber, D. and D. Wilson. 1995. *Relevance: Communication and Cognition*. Oxford: Blackwell.
- Watson, J. 1993. A Syntax of San'ānī Arabic. Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz.

- Wilson, D. and R. Carston. 2006. Metaphor, relevance and the 'emergent property' issue. *Mind & Language* 21/3:404–433.
- Wilson, D. and D. Sperber. 1979. Ordered entailments: An alternative to presuppositional theories. In C. K. Oh and D. Dineen, eds., *Syntax and Semantics* 11: Presupposition. London: Academic Press.
- Wilson, D. and D. Sperber. 1993. Linguistic form and relevance. Lingua 90:1-25.
- Wischer, I. 2006. Grammaticalization. In K. Brown, ed., *Encyclopedia of Language and Linguistics*, pp. 129–135. Amsterdam: Elsevier.