Accepted author manuscript version of an article published as Badawi, A., & Yetkin Celikel, E. (2022). On Weakly 1-Absorbing Primary Ideals of Commutative Rings. In Algebra Colloquium (Vol. 29, Issue 02, pp. 189–202). World Scientific. https://doi.org/10.1142/s1005386722000153

ON WEAKLY 1-ABSORBING PRIMARY IDEALS OF COMMUTATIVE RINGS

AYMAN BADAWI AND ECE YETKIN CELIKEL

Abstract. Let R be a commutative ring with $1 \neq 0$. In this paper, we introduce the concept of weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal which is a generalization of 1-absorbing primary ideal. A proper ideal I of R is called a *weakly 1-absorbing primary* ideal if whenever nonunit elements $a, b, c \in R$ and $0 \neq abc \in I$, then $ab \in I$ or $c \in \sqrt{I}$. A number of results concerning weakly 1-absorbing primary ideals and examples of weakly 1-absorbing primary ideals are given. Furthermore, we give the correct version of a result on 1-absorbing primary ideals of commutative rings.

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, all rings are commutative with nonzero identity. Let R be a commutative ring. By a proper ideal I of R, we mean an ideal I of R with $I \neq R$. Let I be a proper ideal of R. By $\sqrt{I}$, we mean the *radical* of R, that is, $\{a \in R \mid a^n \in I$ for some positive integer $n\}$. In particular, $\sqrt{\{0\}}$ denotes the set of all nilpotent elements of R. We define $Z_I(R) = \{r \in R \mid rs \in I$ for some $s \in R \setminus I\}$. A ring R is called a *reduced* ring if it has no nonzero nilpotent elements; i.e., $\sqrt{\{0\}} = \{0\}$. For two ideals I and J of R, the *residual division* of I and J is defined to be the ideal $(I : J) = \{a \in R \mid aJ \subseteq I\}$. Let R be a commutative ring with identity and M a unitary R-module. Then $R(+)M = R \times M$ with coordinate-wise addition and multiplication $(a, m)(b, n) = (ab, an + bm)$ is a commutative ring with identity $(1, 0)$ called the *idealization* of M. A ring R is called a *quasilocal* ring if R has exactly one maximal ideal. As usual, $\mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_n$ will denote the ring of integers and integers modulo n, respectively.

Since prime and primary ideals have key roles in commutative ring theory, many authors have studied generalizations of prime and primary ideals (see [1], [2], [3], [5], [6],[7], [8], [9], [10], and [11]). Anderson and Smith introduced in [2] the notion of weakly prime ideals. A proper ideal I of R is called a *weakly prime* ideal of R if whenever $a, b \in R$ and $0 \neq ab \in I$, then $a \in I$ or $b \in I$. Then Atani and Farzalipour introduced in [5] the concept of weakly primary ideals. A proper ideal I of R is called a *weakly primary* ideal of R if whenever $a, b \in R$ and $0 \neq ab \in I$, then $a \in I$ or $b \in \sqrt{I}$. For a different generalization of prime ideals and weakly prime ideals, the contexts of 2-absorbing and weakly 2-absorbing ideals were defined. According to [6] and [7], a proper ideal I of R is called a *2-absorbing* (*weakly 2-absorbing*) ideal of a commutative ring R, if whenever $a, b, c \in I$ and $abc \in I$ ($0 \neq abc \in I$),

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 13A15, 13F05; Secondary 05A15, 13G05.

Key words and phrases. 1-absorbing primary ideal, 2-absorbing primary ideal, 2-absorbing ideal, weakly 2-absorbing primary ideal, weakly primary.

then $ab \in I$ or $bc \in I$ or $ac \in I$. As a generalization of 2-absorbing and weakly 2-absorbing ideals, 2-absorbing primary and weakly 2-absorbing primary ideals were defined in [8] and [9], respectively. A proper ideal I of a commutative ring R is said to be *2-absorbing primary* (*weakly 2-absorbing primary*) if whenever $a, b, c \in R$ and $abc \in I$ $(0 \neq abc \in I)$, then $ab \in I$ or $bc \in \sqrt{I}$ or $ac \in \sqrt{I}$. In a recent study [10], we call a proper ideal I of a commutative ring R a 1-*absorbing primary* ideal if whenever nonunit elements $a, b, c \in R$ and $abc \in I$, then $ab \in I$ or $c \in \sqrt{I}$.

In this paper, we introduce the concept of weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of a commutative ring R. A proper ideal I of a commutative ring R is called a *weakly* 1-*absorbing primary* ideal of R if whenever nonunit elements $a, b, c \in R$ and $0 \neq abc \in I$, then $ab \in I$ or $c \in \sqrt{I}$. It is clear that a 1-absorbing primary ideal of a commutative ring R is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of R. However, since $\{0\}$ is always weakly 1-absorbing primary, a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of a commutative ring R needs not be a 1-absorbing primary ideal of R (see Example 1).

Among many results, we show (Theorem 2) that if a proper ideal I of a commutative ring R is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of R such that $\sqrt{I}$ is a maximal ideal of R, then I is a primary ideal of R, and hence I is a 1-absorbing primary ideal of R. We show (Theorem 3) that if R is a commutative reduced ring and I is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of R, then $\sqrt{I}$ is a prime ideal of R. If I is a proper nonzero ideal of a commutative von Neumann regular ring R, then we show (Theorem 4) that I is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of R if and only if I is a 1-absorbing primary ideal of R, if and only if I is a primary ideal of R. We show (Theorem 5) that if R be a commutative non-quasilocal ring and I is a proper ideal of R such that $ann(i) = \{r \in R \mid ri = 0\}$ is not a maximal ideal of R for every element $i \in I$, then I is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of R if and only if I is a weakly primary ideal of R. If I is a proper ideal of a commutative reduced divided ring R, then we show (Theorem 7) that I is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of R if and only if I is a weakly primary ideal of R. If I is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of a commutative ring R that is not a 1-absorbing primary ideal of R, then we give (Theorem 10) sufficient conditions so that $I^3 = \{0\}$ (i.e., $I \subseteq \sqrt{\{0\}}$). In Theorem 9, we obtain some equivalent conditions for weakly 1-absorbing primary ideals of u-rings. We give (Theorem 13) a characterization of weakly 1-absorbing primary ideals in $R = R_1 \times R_2$, where R_1 and R_2 are commutative rings with identity that are not fields. If $R_1, R_2, ..., R_n$ are commutative rings with identity for some $2 \leq n < \infty$ and $R = R_1 \times \cdots \times R_n$, then it is shown (Theorem 14) that every proper ideal of R is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of R if and only if $n = 2$, and R_1, R_2 are fields. For a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of a ring R, we show (Theorem 17) that $S^{-1}I$ is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of $S^{-1}R$ for every multiplicatively closed subset S of R that is disjoint from I, and we show that the converse holds if $S \cap Z(R) = S \cap Z_I(R) = \emptyset$. We give (Remark 1) the correct versions of [10, Theorem 17(1), Corollary 3, and Corollary 4].

2. Properties of Weakly 1-absorbing primary ideals

Definition 1. *Let R be a commutative ring and I be a proper ideal of R. We call I a weakly* 1*-absorbing primary ideal of R if whenever nonunit elements $a, b, c \in R$ and $0 \neq abc \in I$, then $ab \in I$ or $c \in \sqrt{I}$.*

It is clear that every 1-absorbing primary ideal of a commutative ring R is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of R, and $I = \{0\}$ is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of R. In the following example, we construct a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of a commutative ring R that is neither 1-absorbing primary nor weakly primary.

Example 1. (1) *$I = \{0\}$ is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of $R = \mathbb{Z}_6$ that is not a 1-absorbing primary ideal of R. Indeed, $2 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \in I$, but neither $2 \cdot 2 \in I$ nor $3 \in \sqrt{I}$. Note that I is a weakly primary ideal of R.*

(2) *Let $A = \mathbb{Z}_2[[X, Y]]$, $I = (XY^2, YX^2)A$, $R = A/I$, and $J = (XY)A/I$. We show that J is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of R that is neither 1-absorbing primary nor weakly primary. Assume that $abc \in J$ for some nonunit elements $a, b, c \in R$. Then $abc = XYZ + I$ for some nonunit element $Z \in A$. Hence $abc = I \in J$ by construction of J. Thus J is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of R. Since $(X+I)(X+I)(Y+I) = I \in J$ and neither $X^2 + I \in J$ nor $Y + I \in \sqrt{J}$, we conclude that J is not a 1-absorbing primary ideal of R. Since $I \neq (X + I)(Y + I) = XY + I \in J$ and neither $X + I \in J$ nor $Y + I \in \sqrt{J}$, we conclude that J is not a weakly primary ideal of R.*

We begin with the following trivial result without proof.

Theorem 1. *Let I be a proper ideal of a commutative ring R.*

(1) *If I is a weakly prime ideal, then I is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal.*
(2) *If I is a weakly primary ideal, then I is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal.*
(3) *If I is a 1-absorbing primary ideal, then I is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal.*
(4) *If I is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal, then I is a weakly 2-absorbing primary ideal.*
(5) *If R is an integral domain, then I is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal if and only if I is a 1-absorbing primary ideal of R.*
(6) *Let R be a quasilocal ring with maximal ideal $\sqrt{\{0\}}$. Then every proper ideal of R is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of R.*

We recall that a proper ideal I of a commutative ring R is called a *semiprimary* ideal of R if $\sqrt{I}$ is a prime ideal of R. For an interesting article on semiprimary ideals of commutative rings, see [12]. For a recent related article on semiprimary ideals, we recommend [11]. We have the following result.

Theorem 2. *Let R be a commutative ring and I be a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of R. If $\sqrt{I}$ is a maximal ideal of R, then I is a primary ideal of R, and hence I is a 1-absorbing primary ideal of R. In particular, if I a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of R that is not a 1-absorbing primary ideal of R, then $\sqrt{I}$ is not a maximal ideal of R.*

Proof. Suppose that $\sqrt{I}$ is a maximal ideal of R. Then I is a semiprimary ideal of R. Since I is a semiprimary ideal of R and $\sqrt{I}$ is a maximal ideal of R, we conclude that I is a primary ideal of R by [14, p. 153]. Thus I is a 1-absorbing primary ideal of R. □

Theorem 3. *Let R be a commutative reduced ring. If I is a nonzero weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of R, then $\sqrt{I}$ is a prime ideal of R. In particular, if $\sqrt{I}$ is* *a maximal ideal of R, then I is a primary ideal of R, and hence I is a 1-absorbing primary ideal of R.*

Proof. Suppose that $0 \neq ab \in \sqrt{I}$ for some $a, b \in R$. We may assume that a, b are nonunits. Then there exists an even positive integer $n = 2m$ ($m \geq 1$) such that $(ab)^n \in I$. Since $\sqrt{\{0\}} = \{0\}$, we have $(ab)^n \neq 0$. Hence $0 \neq a^m a^m b^n \in I$. Thus $a^m a^m = a^n \in I$ or $b^n \in \sqrt{I}$, and therefore $\sqrt{I}$ is a weakly prime ideal of R. Since R is reduced and $I \neq \{0\}$, we conclude that $\sqrt{I}$ is a prime ideal of R by [2, Corollary 2]. The proof of the "in particular" statement is now clear by Theorem 2. □

Recall that a commutative ring R is called a *von Neumann regular* ring if and only if for every $x \in R$, there is a $y \in R$ such that $x^2y = x$. It is known that a commutative ring R is a von Neumann regular ring if and only if for each $x \in R$, there is an idempotent $e \in R$ and a unit $u \in R$ such that $x = eu$. For a recent article on von Neumann regular rings, see[4]. We have the following result.

Theorem 4. *Let R be a commutative von Neumann regular ring and I be a nonzero ideal of R. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

1. *I is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of R.*
2. *I is a primary ideal of R.*
3. *I is a 1-absorbing primary ideal of R.*

Proof. (1)⇒(2) Since R is a commutative von Neumann regular ring, we know that R is reduced. Hence $\sqrt{I}$ is a prime ideal of R by Theorem 3. Since every prime ideal of a von Neumann regular ring is maximal, we conclude that $\sqrt{I}$ is a maximal ideal of R. Hence I is a primary ideal of R by Theorem 2.

(2)⇒(3)⇒(1) It is clear. □

Let A, I, R, and J be as in Example 1(2). Then R is a quasilocal ring with maximal ideal $M = (X, Y)A/I$, and $ann(XY + I) = \{a \in R \mid a(XY + I) = 0\} = M$. We have the following result.

Theorem 5. *Let R be a commutative non-quasilocal ring and I be a proper ideal of R such that $ann(i) = \{r \in R \mid ri = 0\}$ is not a maximal ideal of R for every element $i \in I$. Then I is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of R if and only if I is a weakly primary ideal of R.*

Proof. If I is a weakly primary ideal of R, then I is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of R by Theorem 1(2). Hence suppose that I is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of R and suppose that $0 \neq ab \in I$ for some elements $a, b \in R$. We show that $a \in I$ or $b \in \sqrt{I}$. We may assume that a, b are nonunit elements of R. Let $ann(ab) = \{c \in R \mid cab = 0\}$. Since $ab \neq 0$, $ann(ab)$ is a proper ideal of R. Let L be a maximal ideal of R such that $ann(ab) \subsetneq L$. Since R is a non-quasilocal ring, there is a maximal ideal M of R such that $M \neq L$. Let $m \in M \setminus L$. Hence $m \notin ann(ab)$ and $0 \neq mab \in I$. Since I is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of R, we have $ma \in I$ or $b \in \sqrt{I}$. If $b \in \sqrt{I}$, then we are done. Hence assume that $b \notin \sqrt{I}$. Hence $ma \in I$. Since $m \notin L$ and L is a maximal ideal of R, we conclude that $m \notin J(R)$. Hence there exists an $r \in R$ such that $1 + rm$ is a nonunit element of R. Suppose that $1 + rm \notin ann(ab)$. Hence $0 \neq (1 + rm)ab \in I$. Since I is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of R and $b \notin \sqrt{I}$, we conclude that $(1 + rm)a = a + rma \in I$. Since $rma \in I$, we have $a \in I$ and we are done. Suppose that $1 + rm \in ann(ab)$. Since $ann(ab)$ is not a maximal ideal of R and $ann(ab) \subsetneq L$, there is a $w \in L \setminus ann(ab)$.

Hence $0 \neq wab \in I$. Since I is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of R and $b \notin \sqrt{I}$, we conclude that $wa \in I$. Since $1 + rm \in ann(ab) \subsetneq L$ and $w \in L \setminus ann(ab)$, we have $1+rm+w$ is a nonzero nonunit element of L. Hence $0 \neq (1+rm+w)ab \in I$. Since I is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of R and $b \notin \sqrt{I}$, we conclude that $(1+rm+w)a = a+rma+wa \in I$. Since $rma, wa \in I$, we conclude that $a \in I$. $\square$

Question. Is Theorem 5 still valid without the assumption that $ann(i) = \{r \in R \mid ri = 0\}$ is not a maximal ideal of R for every element $i \in I$? We are unable to give a proof of Theorem 5 without this assumption.

In light of the proof of Theorem 5, we have the following result.

Theorem 6. *Let I be a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of a commutative ring R such that for every nonzero element $i \in I$, there exists a nonunit $w \in R$ such that $wi \neq 0$ and $w + u$ is a nonunit element of R for some unit $u \in R$. Then I is a weakly primary ideal of R.*

Proof. Suppose that $0 \neq ab \in I$ and $b \notin \sqrt{I}$ for some $a, b \in R$. We may assume that a, b are nonunit elements of R. Hence there is a nonunit $w \in R$ such that $wab \neq 0$ and $w + u$ is a nonunit element of R for some unit $u \in R$. Since $0 \neq wab \in I$, $b \notin \sqrt{I}$, and I is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of R, we conclude that $wa \in I$. Since $0 \neq (w+u)ab \in I$, I is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of R, and $b \notin \sqrt{I}$, we conclude that $wa + ua = (w + u)a \in I$. Since $wa \in I$ and $wa + ua \in I$, we conclude that $ua \in I$. Since u is a unit, we have $a \in I$. $\square$

Corollary 1. *Let R be a commutative ring and $A = R[X]$. Suppose that I is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of A. Then I is a weakly primary ideal of A.*

Proof. Since $Xi \neq 0$ for every nonzero $i \in I$ and $X + 1$ is a nonunit element of A, we are done by Theorem 6. $\square$

Recall that a commutative ring R is called *divided* if for every prime ideal P of R and for every $x \in R \setminus P$, we have $x \mid p$ for every $p \in P$. We have the following result.

Theorem 7. *Let R be a commutative reduced divided ring and I be a proper ideal of R. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

(1) I is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of R.
(2) I is a weakly primary ideal of R.

Proof. (1)$\Rightarrow$(2) Suppose that $0 \neq ab \in I$ for some $a, b \in R$ and $b \notin \sqrt{I}$. We may assume that a, b are nonunit elements of R. Since $\sqrt{I}$ is a prime ideal of R by Theorem 3, we conclude that $a \in \sqrt{I}$. Since R is divided, we conclude that $b \mid a$. Thus $a = bc$ for some $c \in R$. Observe that c is a nonunit element of R as $b \notin \sqrt{I}$ and $a \in \sqrt{I}$. Since $0 \neq ab = bcb \in I$, I is weakly 1-absorbing primary, and $b \notin \sqrt{I}$, we conclude that $a = bc \in I$. Thus I is a weakly primary ideal of R.

(2)$\Rightarrow$(1) It is clear by Theorem 1(2). $\square$

Recall that a commutative ring R is called a *chained* ring if for every $x, y \in R$, we have $x \mid y$ or $y \mid x$. Every chained ring is divided. So, if R is a reduced chained ring, then a proper ideal I of R is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal if and only if it is a weakly primary ideal of R.

Theorem 8. *Let R be a Dedekind domain and I be a nonzero proper ideal of R. Then I is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of R if and only if $\sqrt{I}$ is a prime ideal of R.*

Proof. Suppose that I is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of R. Then $\sqrt{I}$ is a prime ideal of R by Theorem 3. The converse follows from [10, Theorem 14]. $\square$

Let R be a commutative ring with $1 \neq 0$. If an ideal of R contained in a finite union of ideals must be contained in one of those ideals, then R is said to be a *u-ring* [13]. In the next theorem, we give some characterizations of weakly 1-absorbing primary ideals in u-rings.

Theorem 9. *Let R be a commutative u-ring, and I a proper ideal of R. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

(1) I is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of R.
(2) For every nonunit elements $a, b \in R$ with $ab \notin I$, $(I : ab) = (0 : ab)$ or $(I : ab) \subseteq \sqrt{I}$.
(3) For every nonunit element $a \in R$ and every ideal I_1 of R with $I_1 \nsubseteq \sqrt{I}$, if $(I : aI_1)$ is a proper ideal of R, then $(I : aI_1) = (\{0\} : aI_1)$ or $(I : aI_1) \subseteq (I : a)$.
(4) For all ideals I_1, I_2 of R with $I_1 \nsubseteq \sqrt{I}$, if $(I : I_1I_2)$ is a proper ideal of R, then $(I : I_1I_2) = (\{0\} : I_1I_2)$ or $(I : I_1I_2) \subseteq (I : I_2)$.
(5) For all ideals I_1, I_2, I_3 of R with $0 \neq I_1I_2I_3 \subseteq I$, $I_1I_2 \subseteq I$ or $I_3 \subseteq \sqrt{I}$.

Proof. (1)⇒(2) Suppose that I is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of R, $ab \notin I$ for some nonunit elements $a, b \in R$, and $c \in (I : ab)$. Then $abc \in I$. Since $ab \notin I$, c is nonunit. If $abc = 0$, then $c \in (0 : ab)$. Assume that $0 \neq abc \in I$. Since I is weakly 1-absorbing primary, we have $c \in \sqrt{I}$. Hence we conclude that $(I : ab) \subseteq (0 : ab) \cup \sqrt{I}$. Since R is a u-ring, we obtain that $(I : ab) = (0 : ab)$ or $(I : ab) \subseteq \sqrt{I}$.

(2)⇒(3) If $aI_1 \subseteq I$, then we are done. Suppose that $aI_1 \nsubseteq I$ for some nonunit element $a \in R$ and $c \in (I : aI_1)$. It is clear that c is nonunit. Then $acI_1 \subseteq I$. Now $I_1 \subseteq (I : ac)$. If $ac \in I$, then $c \in (I : a)$. Suppose that $ac \notin I$. Hence $(I : ac) = (0 : ac)$ or $(I : ac) \subseteq \sqrt{I}$ by (2). Thus $I_1 \subseteq (0 : ac)$ or $I_1 \subseteq \sqrt{I}$. Since $I_1 \nsubseteq \sqrt{I}$ by hypothesis, we conclude that $I_1 \subseteq (0 : ac)$; i.e. $c \in (\{0\} : aI_1)$. Thus $(I : aI_1) \subseteq (\{0\} : aI_1) \cup (I : a)$. Since R is a u-ring, we have $(I : aI_1) = (\{0\} : aI_1)$ or $(I : aI_1) \subseteq (I : a)$.

(3)⇒(4) If $I_1 \subseteq \sqrt{I}$, then we are done. Suppose that $I_1 \nsubseteq \sqrt{I}$ and $c \in (I : I_1I_2)$. Then $I_2 \subseteq (I : cI_1)$. Since $(I : I_1I_2)$ is proper, c is a nonunit. Hence $I_2 \subseteq (\{0\} : cI_1)$ or $I_2 \subseteq (I : c)$ by (3). If $I_2 \subseteq (\{0\} : cI_1)$, then $c \in (\{0\} : I_1I_2)$. If $I_2 \subseteq (I : c)$, then $c \in (I : I_2)$. So, $(I : I_1I_2) \subseteq (\{0\} : I_1I_2) \cup (I : I_2)$, which implies that $(I : I_1I_2) = (\{0\} : I_1I_2)$ or $(I : I_1I_2) \subseteq (I : I_2)$, as needed.

(4)⇒(5) It is clear.

(5)⇒(1) Let $a, b, c \in R$ be nonunit elements and $0 \neq abc \in I$. Put $I_1 = aR$, $I_2 = bR$, and $I_3 = cR$. Then (1) is now clear by (5). $\square$

Definition 2. *Let I be a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of a commutative ring R and a, b, c be nonunit elements of R. We call (a, b, c) a 1-triple-zero of I if $abc = 0$, $ab \notin I$, and $c \notin \sqrt{I}$.*

Observe that if I is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of a commutative ring R that is not 1-absorbing primary, then there exists a 1-triple-zero (a, b, c) of I for some nonunit elements $a, b, c \in R$.

Theorem 10. *Let I be a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of a commutative ring R and (a, b, c) be a 1-triple-zero of I.*

(1) $abI = \{0\}$.
(2) If $a, b \notin (I : c)$, then $bcI = acI = aI^2 = bI^2 = cI^2 = \{0\}$.
(3) If $a, b \notin (I : c)$, then $I^3 = \{0\}$.

Proof. (1) Suppose that $abI \neq \{0\}$. Then $abx \neq 0$ for some nonunit $x \in I$. Hence $0 \neq ab(c+x) \in I$. Since $ab \notin I$, $(c+x)$ is nonunit element of R. Since I is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of R and $ab \notin I$, we conclude that $(c + x) \in \sqrt{I}$. Since $x \in I$, we have $c \in \sqrt{I}$, a contradiction. Thus $abI = \{0\}$.

(2) Suppose that $bcI \neq 0$. Then $bcy \neq 0$ for some nonunit element $y \in I$. Hence $0 \neq bcy = b(a + y)c \in I$. Since $b \notin (I : c)$, we conclude that $a + y$ is a nonunit element of R. Since I is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of R, $ab \notin I$, and $by \in I$, we conclude that $b(a + y) \notin I$, and hence $c \in \sqrt{I}$, a contradiction. Thus $bcI = \{0\}$. We show that $acI = \{0\}$. Suppose that $acI \neq \{0\}$. Then $acy \neq 0$ for some nonunit element $y \in I$. Hence $0 \neq acy = a(b + y)c \in I$. Since $a \notin (I : c)$, we conclude that $b + y$ is a nonunit element of R. Since I is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of R, $ab \notin I$, and $ay \in I$, we conclude that $a(b + y) \notin I$, and hence $c \in \sqrt{I}$, a contradiction. Thus $acI = \{0\}$. Now we prove that $aI^2 = \{0\}$. Suppose that $axy \neq 0$ for some $x, y \in I$. Since $abI = \{0\}$ by (1) and $acI = \{0\}$ by (2), $0 \neq axy = a(b + x)(c + y) \in I$. Since $ab \notin I$, we conclude that $c + y$ is a nonunit element of R. Since $a \notin (I : c)$, we conclude that $b + x$ is a nonunit element of R. Since I is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of R, we have $a(b + x) \in I$ or $(c + y) \in \sqrt{I}$. Since $x, y \in I$, we conclude that $ab \in I$ or $c \in \sqrt{I}$, a contradiction. Thus $aI^2 = \{0\}$. We show $bI^2 = \{0\}$. Suppose that $bxy \neq 0$ for some $x, y \in I$. Since $abI = \{0\}$ by (1) and $bcI = \{0\}$ by (2), $0 \neq bxy = b(a + x)(c + y) \in I$. Since $ab \notin I$, we conclude that $c + y$ is a nonunit element of R. Since $b \notin (I : c)$, we conclude that $a + x$ is a nonunit element of R. Since I is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of R, we have $b(a + x) \in I$ or $(c + y) \in \sqrt{I}$. Since $x, y \in I$, we conclude that $ab \in I$ or $c \in \sqrt{I}$, a contradiction. Thus $bI^2 = \{0\}$. We show $cI^2 = \{0\}$. Suppose that $cxy \neq 0$ for some $x, y \in I$. Since $acI = bcI = \{0\}$ by (2), $0 \neq cxy = (a + x)(b + y)c \in I$. Since $a, b \notin (I : c)$, we conclude that $a + x$ and $b + y$ are nonunit elements of R. Since I is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of R, we have $(a + x)(b + y) \in I$ or $c \in \sqrt{I}$. Since $x, y \in I$, we conclude that $ab \in I$ or $c \in \sqrt{I}$, a contradiction. Thus $cI^2 = \{0\}$.

(3) Assume that $xyz \neq 0$ for some $x, y, z \in I$. Then $0 \neq xyz = (a+x)(b+y)(c+z) \in I$ by (1) and (2). Since $ab \notin I$, we conclude $c + z$ is a nonunit element of R. Since $a, b \notin (I : c)$, we conclude that $a + x$ and $b + y$ are nonunit elements of R. Since I is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of R, we have $(a + x)(b + y) \in I$ or $c + z \in \sqrt{I}$. Since $x, y, z \in I$, we conclude that $ab \in I$ or $c \in \sqrt{I}$, a contradiction. Thus $I^3 = \{0\}$. □

Theorem 11. (1) *Let I be a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of a commutative reduced ring R. Suppose that I is not a 1-absorbing primary ideal of R and (a, b, c) is a 1-triple-zero of I such that $a, b \notin (I : c)$. Then $I = \{0\}$.*

(2) *Let I be a nonzero weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of a reduced ring R. Suppose that I is not a 1-absorbing primary ideal of R and (a, b, c) is a 1-triple-zero of I. Then $ac \in I$ or $bc \in I$.*

Proof. (1) Since $a, b \in (I : c)$, then $I^3 = \{0\}$ by Theorem 10(3). Since R is reduced, we conclude that $I = \{0\}$.

(2) Suppose that neither $ac \in I$ nor $bc \in I$. Then $I = \{0\}$ by (1), a contradiction since I is a nonzero ideal of R by hypothesis. Hence if (a, b, c) is a 1-triple-zero of I, then $ac \in I$ or $bc \in I$. □

Theorem 12. *Let I be a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of a commutative ring R. If I is not a weakly primary ideal of R, then there exist an irreducible element $x \in R$ and a nonunit element $y \in R$ such that $xy \in I$, but neither $x \in I$ nor $y \in \sqrt{I}$. Furthermore, if $ab \in I$ for some nonunit elements $a, b \in R$ such that neither $a \in I$ nor $b \in \sqrt{I}$, then a is an irreducible element of R.*

Proof. Suppose that I is not a weakly primary ideal of R. Then there exist nonunit elements $x, y \in R$ such that $0 \neq xy \in I$ with $x \notin I$, $y \notin \sqrt{I}$. Suppose that x is not an irreducible element of R. Then $x = cd$ for some nonunit elements $c, d \in R$. Since $0 \neq xy = cdy \in I$, I is weakly 1-absorbing primary, and $y \notin \sqrt{I}$, we conclude that $x = cd \in I$, a contradiction. Hence x is an irreducible element of R □

In general, the intersection of a family of weakly 1-absorbing primary ideals need not be a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal. Indeed, consider the ring $R = \mathbb{Z}_{12}$. Then $I = (2)$ and $J = (3)$ are clearly weakly 1-absorbing primary ideals of R, but $I \cap J = \{0, 6\}$ is not a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of R (since $0 \neq 3 \cdot 3 \cdot 2 \in I \cap J$, but neither $3 \cdot 3 \in I \cap J$ nor $2 \in \sqrt{I \cap J}$). However, we have the following result.

Proposition 1. *Let $\{I_i \mid i \in \Lambda\}$ be a finite collection of weakly 1-absorbing primary ideals of a commutative ring R such that $Q = \sqrt{I_i} = \sqrt{I_j}$ for every distinct $i, j \in \Lambda$. Then $I = \cap_{i \in \Lambda} I_i$ is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of R.*

Proof. Suppose that $0 \neq abc \in I = \cap_{i \in \Lambda} I_i$ for nonunit elements a, b, c of R and $ab \notin I$. Then for some $k \in \Lambda$, $0 \neq abc \in I_k$ and $ab \notin I_k$. This implies that $c \in \sqrt{I_k} = Q = \sqrt{I}$. □

Proposition 2. *Let I be a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of a commutative ring R and c be a nonunit element of $R \backslash I$. Then $(I : c)$ is a weakly primary ideal of R.*

Proof. Suppose that $0 \neq ab \in (I : c)$ for some nonunit $c \in R \backslash I$ and assume that $a \notin (I : c)$. Hence b is a nonunit element of R. If a is a unit of R, then $b \in (I : c) \subseteq \sqrt{(I : c)}$ and we are done. So assume that a is a nonunit element of R. Since $0 \neq abc = acb \in I$, $ac \notin I$, and I is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of R, we conclude that $b \in \sqrt{I} \subseteq \sqrt{(I : c)}$. Thus $(I : c)$ is a weakly primary ideal of R. □

The next theorem gives a characterization for weakly 1-absorbing primary ideals of $R = R_1 \times R_2$, where R_1 and R_2 are commutative rings with identity that are not fields.

Theorem 13. *Let R_1 and R_2 be commutative rings with identity that are not fields, $R = R_1 \times R_2$, and I be a nonzero proper ideal of R. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

(1) *I is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of R.*
(2) *$I = I_1 \times R_2$ for some primary ideal I_1 of R_1 or $I = R_1 \times I_2$ for some primary ideal I_2 of R_2.*
(3) *I is a 1-absorbing primary ideal of R.*
(4) *I is a primary ideal of R.*

Proof. (1)⇒(2) Suppose that I is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of R. Then I is of the form $I_1 \times I_2$ for some ideals I_1 and I_2 of R_1 and R_2, respectively. Assume that both I_1 and I_2 are proper. Since I is a nonzero ideal of R, we conclude that $I_1 \neq \{0\}$ or $I_2 \neq \{0\}$. We may assume that $I_1 \neq \{0\}$. Let $0 \neq c \in I_1$. Then $0 \neq (1,0)(1,0)(c,1) = (c,0) \in I_1 \times I_2$. This implies that $(1,0)(1,0) \in I_1 \times I_2$ or $(c,1) \in \sqrt{I_1 \times I_2} = \sqrt{I_1} \times \sqrt{I_2}$, that is $I_1 = R_1$ or $I_2 = R_2$, a contradiction. Thus either I_1 or I_2 is a proper ideal. Without loss of generality, assume that $I = I_1 \times R_2$ for some proper ideal I_1 of R_1. We show that I_1 is a primary ideal of R_1. Let $ab \in I_1$ for some $a, b \in R_1$. We can assume that a and b are nonunit elements of R_1. Since R_2 is not a field, there exists a nonunit nonzero element $x \in R_2$. Then $0 \neq (a,1)(1,x)(b,1) \in I_1 \times R_2$ which implies that either $(a,1)(1,x) \in I_1 \times R_2$ or $(b,1) \in \sqrt{I_1 \times R_2} = \sqrt{I_1} \times R_2$; i.e, $a \in I_1$ or $b \in \sqrt{I_1}$.

(2)⇒(3) Since I is a primary ideal of R, I is a 1-absorbing primary ideal of R by [10, Theorem 1(1)].

(3)⇒(4) Since I a 1-absorbing primary ideal of R and R is not a quasilocal ring, we conclude that I is a primary ideal of R by [10, Theorem 3].

(4)⇒(1) It is clear. □

Theorem 14. *Let $R_1, ..., R_n$ be commutative rings with $1 \neq 0$ for some $2 \leq n < \infty$, and let $R = R_1 \times \cdots \times R_n$. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

(1) *Every proper ideal of R is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of R.*
(2) *$n = 2$ and R_1, R_2 are fields.*

Proof. (1)⇒(2) Suppose that every proper ideal of R is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $n = 3$. Then $I = R_1 \times \{0\} \times \{0\}$ is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of R. However, for a nonzero $a \in R_1$, we have $(0,0,0) \neq (1,0,1)(1,0,1)(a,1,0) = (a,0,0) \in I$, but neither $(1,0,1)(1,0,1) \in I$ nor $(a,1,0) \in \sqrt{I}$, a contradiction. Thus $n = 2$. Assume that R_1 is not a field. Then there exists a nonzero proper ideal A of R_1. Hence $I = A \times \{0\}$ is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of R. However, for a nonzero $a \in A$, we have $(0,0) \neq (1,0)(1,0)(a,1) = (a,0) \in I$, but neither $(1,0)(1,0) \in I$ nor $(a,1) \in \sqrt{I}$, a contradiction. Similarly, one can easily show that R_2 is a field. Hence $n = 2$ and R_1, R_2 are fields.

(2)⇒(1) Suppose that $n = 2$ and R_1, R_2 are fields. Then R has exactly three proper ideals, i.e., $\{(0,0)\}$, $\{0\} \times R_2$, and $R_1 \times \{0\}$ are the only proper ideals of R. Hence it is clear that each proper ideal of R is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of R. □

Since every ring that is a product of a finite number of fields is a von Neumann regular ring, in light of Theorem 4 and Theorem 14, we have the following result.

Corollary 2. *Let $R_1, ..., R_n$ be commutative rings with $1 \neq 0$ for some $2 \leq n < \infty$, and let $R = R_1 \times \cdots \times R_n$. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

(1) *Every proper ideal of R is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of R.*
(2) *Every proper ideal of R is a weakly primary ideal of R.*

(3) $n = 2$ *and* R_1, R_2 *are fields, and hence* $R = R_1 \times R_2$ *is a von Neumann regular ring.*

Theorem 15. *Let* R_1 *and* R_2 *be commutative rings and* $f : R_1 \to R_2$ *be a ring homomorphism with* $f(1) = 1$.

(1) Suppose that f is injective, $f(a)$ is a nonunit element of R_2 for every nonunit element $a \in R_1$, and J is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of R_2. Then $f^{-1}(J)$ is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of R_1.
(2) If f is an epimorphism and I is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of R_1 such that $Ker(f) \subseteq I$, then $f(I)$ is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of R_2.

Proof. (1) Since $f(1) = 1$, $f^{-1}(J)$ is a proper ideal of R_1. Let $0 \neq abc \in f^{-1}(J)$ for some nonunit elements $a, b, c \in R$. Since $Ker(f) = 0$, we have $0 \neq f(abc) = f(a)f(b)f(c) \in J$, where $f(a), f(b), f(c)$ are nonunit elements of R_2 by hypothesis. Hence $f(a)f(b) \in J$ or $f(c) \in \sqrt{J}$. Hence $ab \in f^{-1}(J)$ or $c \in \sqrt{f^{-1}(J)} = f^{-1}(\sqrt{J})$. Thus $f^{-1}(J)$ is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of R_1.

(2) Let $0 \neq xyz \in f(I)$ for some nonunit elements $x, y, z \in R$. Since f is onto, there exist nonunit elements $a, b, c \in I$ such that $x = f(a)$, $y = f(b)$, $z = f(c)$. Then $f(abc) = f(a)f(b)f(c) = xyz \in f(I)$. Since $Ker(f) \subseteq I$, we have $0 \neq abc \in I$. Hence $ab \in I$ or $c \in \sqrt{I}$. Thus $xy \in f(I)$ or $z \in f(\sqrt{I})$. Since f is onto and $Ker(f) \subseteq I$, we have $f(\sqrt{I}) = \sqrt{f(I)}$. Thus we are done. □

The following example shows that the hypothesis in Theorem 15(1) is crucial.

Example 2. *(*[10, Example 1]*) Let* $A = K[x, y]$, *where* K *is a field,* $M = (x, y)A$, *and* $B = A_M$. *Note that* B *is a quasilocal ring with maximal ideal* M_M. *Then* $I = xM_M = (x^2, xy)B$ *is a* 1*-absorbing primary ideal of* B *(see* [10, Theorem 5]*) and* $\sqrt{I} = xB$. *However* $xy \in I$, *but neither* $x \in I$ *nor* $y \in \sqrt{I}$. *Thus* I *is not a primary ideal of* B. *Let* $f : B \times B \to B$ *such that* $f(x, y) = x$. *Then* f *is a ring homomorphism from* $B \times B$ *onto* B *such that* $f(1, 1) = 1$. *However,* $(1, 0)$ *is a nonunit element of* $B \times B$ *and* $f(1, 0) = 1$ *is a unit of* B. *Thus* f *does not satisfy the hypothesis of Theorem 15(1). Now* $f^{-1}(I) = I \times B$ *is not a weakly* 1*-absorbing primary ideal of* $B \times B$ *by Theorem 13.*

Theorem 16. *Let* I *be a proper ideal of a commutative ring* R.

(1) *If* J *is a proper ideal of* R *with* $J \subseteq I$ *and* I *is a weakly* 1*-absorbing primary ideal of* R, *then* I/J *is a weakly* 1*-absorbing primary ideal of* R/J.
(2) *Let* J *be a proper ideal of* R *with* $J \subseteq I$ *such that* $a + J$ *is a nonunit element of* R/J *for every nonunit* $a \in R$. *If* J *is a* 1*-absorbing primary ideal of* R *and* I/J *is a weakly* 1*-absorbing primary ideal of* R/J, *then* I *is a* 1*-absorbing primary ideal of* R.
(3) *If* $\{0\}$ *is a* 1*-absorbing primary ideal of* R *and* I *is a weakly* 1*-absorbing primary ideal of* R, *then* I *is a* 1*-absorbing primary ideal of* R.
(4) *Let* J *be a proper ideal of* R *with* $J \subseteq I$ *such that* $a + J$ *is a nonunit element of* R/J *for every nonunit* $a \in R$. *If* J *is a weakly* 1*-absorbing primary ideal of* R *and* I/J *is a weakly* 1*-absorbing primary ideal of* R/J, *then* I *is a weakly* 1*-absorbing primary ideal of* R.

Proof. (1) Consider the natural epimorphism $\pi : R \to R/J$. Then $\pi(I) = I/J$. So we are done by Theorem 15 (2).

(2) Suppose that $abc \in I$ for some nonunit elements $a, b, c \in R$. If $abc \in J$, then $ab \in J \subseteq I$ or $c \in \sqrt{J} \subseteq \sqrt{I}$ as J is a 1-absorbing primary ideal of R. Now assume that $abc \notin J$. Then $J \neq (a+J)(b+J)(c+J) \in I/J$, where $a+J, b+J, c+J$ are nonunit elements of R/J by hypothesis. Thus $(a+J)(b+J) \in I/J$ or $(c+J) \in \sqrt{I/J}$. Hence $ab \in I$ or $c \in \sqrt{I}$.

(3) The proof follows from (2).

(4) Suppose that $0 \neq abc \in I$ for some nonunit elements $a, b, c \in R$. If $abc \in J$, then $ab \in J \subseteq I$ or $c \in \sqrt{J} \subseteq \sqrt{I}$ as J is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of R. Now assume that $abc \notin J$. Then $J \neq (a+J)(b+J)(c+J) \in I/J$, where $a+J, b+J, c+J$ are nonunit elements of R/J by hypothesis. Thus $(a+J)(b+J) \in I/J$ or $(c+J) \in \sqrt{I/J}$. Hence $ab \in I$ or $c \in \sqrt{I}$. □

In the following remark, we give the correct version of [10, Theorem 17(1), Corollary 3, and Corollary 4].

Remark 1. *Mohammed Tamekkante pointed out to the first-named author that in* [10]*, we overlooked the fact that if $f : R_1 \to R_2$ is a ring homomorphism such that $f(1) = 1$, then it is possible that $f(a) \in U(R_2)$ for some nonunit element $a \in R_1$. Overlooking this fact caused a problem in the proof of* [10, Theorem 17(1), Corollary 3, and Corollary 4]*. We state the correct version of* [10, Theorem 17(1), Corollary 3, and Corollary 4].

1. *(*[10, Theorem 17(1)]*). Let R_1 and R_2 be commutative rings and $f : R_1 \to R_2$ be a ring homomorphism with $f(1) = 1$ such that if R_2 is a quasilocal ring, then $f(a)$ is a nonunit element of R_2 for every nonunit element $a \in R_1$. If J is a 1-absorbing primary ideal of R_2, then $f^{-1}(J)$ is a 1-absorbing primary ideal of R_1. (Note that if R_2 is not a quasilocal ring, then J is primary by* [10, Theorem 3]*, and hence $f^{-1}(J)$ is a primary ideal of R_1. Since every primary ideal of a commutative ring A is a 1-absorbing primary ideal of A, we conclude that $f^{-1}(J)$ is a 1-absorbing primary ideal of R_1.)*
2. *(*[10, Corollary 3]*). Let I and J be proper ideals of a commutative ring R with $I \subseteq J$. If J is a 1-absorbing primary ideal of R, then J/I is a 1-absorbing primary ideal of R/I. Furthermore, assume that if R/I is a quasilocal ring, then $a + I$ is a nonunit element of R/I for every nonunit $a \in R$. If J/I is a 1-absorbing primary ideal of R/I, then J is a 1-absorbing primary ideal of R.*
3. *(*[10, Corollary 4]*). Let R be a commutative ring and $A = R[x]$. Then a proper ideal I of R is a 1-absorbing primary ideal of R if and only if $(I[x] + xA)/xA$ is a 1-absorbing primary ideal of A/xA. (The claim is clear since R is ring-isomorphic to A/xA.)*

   Note that Example 2 shows that the hypothesis in (1) is crucial.

Theorem 17. *Let S be a multiplicatively closed subset of a commutative ring R, and I be proper ideal of R.*

1. If I is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of R such that $I \cap S = \emptyset$, then $S^{-1}I$ is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of $S^{-1}R$.
2. If $S^{-1}I$ is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of $S^{-1}R$ such that $S \cap Z(R) = \emptyset$ and $S \cap Z_I(R) = \emptyset$, then I is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of R.

Proof. (1) Suppose that $0 \neq \frac{a}{s_1}\frac{b}{s_2}\frac{c}{s_3} \in S^{-1}I$ for some nonunit $a, b, c \in R \setminus S$, $s_1, s_2, s_3 \in S$ and $\frac{a}{s_1}\frac{b}{s_2} \notin S^{-1}I$. Then $0 \neq uabc \in I$ for some $u \in S$. Since I is weakly 1-absorbing primary and $uab \notin I$, we conclude $c \in \sqrt{I}$. Thus $\frac{c}{s_3} \in S^{-1}\sqrt{I} = \sqrt{S^{-1}I}$. Thus $S^{-1}I$ is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of $S^{-1}R$.

(2) Suppose that $0 \neq abc \in I$ for some nonunit elements $a, b, c \in R$. Hence $0 \neq \frac{abc}{1} = \frac{a}{1}\frac{b}{1}\frac{c}{1} \in S^{-1}I$ as $S \cap Z(R) = \emptyset$. Since $S^{-1}I$ is weakly 1-absorbing primary, we have either $\frac{a}{1}\frac{b}{1} \in S^{-1}I$ or $\frac{c}{1} \in \sqrt{S^{-1}I} = S^{-1}\sqrt{I}$. If $\frac{a}{1}\frac{b}{1} \in S^{-1}I$, then $uab \in I$ for some $u \in S$. Since $S \cap Z_I(R) = \emptyset$, we conclude that $ab \in I$. If $\frac{c}{1} \in S^{-1}\sqrt{I}$, then $(tc)^n \in I$ for some positive integer $n \geq 1$ and $t \in S$. Since $t^n \notin Z_I(R)$, we have $c^n \in I$, i.e., $c \in \sqrt{I}$. Thus I is a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of R. □

Definition 3. *Let I be a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of a commutative ring R and $I_1I_2I_3 \subseteq I$ for some proper ideals I_1, I_2, I_3 of R. If (a, b, c) is not a 1-triple-zero of I for every $a \in I_1$, $b \in I_2$, $c \in I_3$, then we call I a free 1-triple-zero with respect to $I_1I_2I_3$.*

Theorem 18. *Let I be a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of a commutative ring R and J be a proper ideal of R with $abJ \subseteq I$ for some $a, b \in R$. If (a, b, j) is not a 1-triple-zero of I for all $j \in J$ and $ab \notin I$, then $J \subseteq \sqrt{I}$.*

Proof. Suppose that $J \nsubseteq \sqrt{I}$. Then there exists $c \in J \setminus \sqrt{I}$. Then $abc \in abJ \subseteq I$. If $abc \neq 0$, then it contradicts our assumption that $ab \notin I$ and $c \notin \sqrt{I}$. Thus $abc = 0$. Since (a, b, c) is not a 1-triple-zero of I and $ab \notin I$, we conclude that $c \in \sqrt{I}$, a contradiction. Thus $J \subseteq \sqrt{I}$. □

Theorem 19. *Let I be a weakly 1-absorbing primary ideal of a commutative ring R and $\{0\} \neq I_1I_2I_3 \subseteq I$ for some proper ideals I_1, I_2, I_3 of R. If I is free 1-triple-zero with respect to $I_1I_2I_3$, then $I_1I_2 \subseteq I$ or $I_3 \subseteq \sqrt{I}$.*

Proof. Suppose that I is free 1-triple-zero with respect to $I_1I_2I_3$, and $\{0\} \neq I_1I_2I_3 \subseteq I$. Assume that $I_1I_2 \nsubseteq I$. Then there exist $a \in I_1$, $b \in I_2$ such that $ab \notin I$. Since I is a free 1-triple-zero with respect to $I_1I_2I_3$, we conclude that (a, b, c) is not a 1-triple-zero of I for all $c \in I_3$. Thus $I_3 \subseteq \sqrt{I}$ by Theorem 18. □

Acknowledgement. We would like to thank Mohammed Tamekkante for pointing out a problem in [10, Theorem 17(1)]. Also, we are so grateful to the referee for his/her great effort in proofreading the manuscript.

References

[1] D. D. Anderson and M. Batanieh, Generalizations of prime ideals, Comm. Algebra, **36** (2008), 686–696.

[2] D.D. Anderson and E. Smith, Weakly prime ideals, Houston J. Math., **29** (4) (2003), 831-840.

[3] D.F. Anderson and A. Badawi, On n-absorbing ideals of commutative rings, Comm. Algebra, **39** (2011), 1646-1672.

[4] D.F. Anderson and A. Badawi, Von Neumann regular and related elements in commutative rings, Algebra Colloq., **19**(SPL. ISS. 1) (2012), 1017–1040.

[5] S. E. Atani and F. Farzalipour, On weakly primary ideals, Georgian Math. J., **12**(3) (2005), 423-429.

[6] A.Badawi, On 2-absorbing ideals of commutative rings, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc., **75** (2007), 417-429.

[7] A. Badawi and A. Y. Darani, On weakly 2-absorbing ideals of commutative rings, Houston J. Math. **39** (2013), no. 2, 441–452.

[8] A. Badawi, Ü. Tekir and E. Yetkin, On 2-absorbing primary ideals in commutative rings, Bull. Korean Math. Soc., **51** (4) (2014), 1163-1173.

[9] A. Badawi, Ü. Tekir and E. Yetkin, On weakly 2-absorbing primary ideals in commutative rings, J. Korean Math. Soc., **52**(1) (2015), 97-111.

[10] A.Badawi and E. Yetkin Çelikel, On 1-absorbing primary ideals of a commutative ring, J. Algebra Appl., **19**(6) (2020), (12 pages).

[11] A. Badawi, D. Sonmez and G. Yesilot, On Weakly δ-Semiprimary Ideals of Commutative Rings, Algebra Colloq., **25**(3) (2018), 387-398.

[12] R. W. Gilmer, Rings in which semiprimary ideals are primary, Pacific J. Math., **12(4)** (1962) 1273-1276.

[13] P. Quartararo and H. S. Butts, Finite unions of ideals and modules, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **52** (1975), 91-96.

[14] O. Zariski and Pierre Samuel, Commutative Algebra. V.I. (Princeton, 1958).

Department of Mathematics &Statistics, The American University of Sharjah, P.O. Box 26666, Sharjah, United Arab Emirates.

Email address: `abadawi@aus.edu`

Department of Electrical-Electronics Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Hasan Kalyoncu University, Gaziantep, Turkey.

Email address: `yetkinece@gmail.com; ece.celikel@hku.edu.tr`