

Users may only view, print, copy, download and text- and data-mine the content, for the purposes of academic research. The content may not be (re-)published verbatim in whole or in part or used for commercial purposes. Users must ensure that the author's moral rights as well as any third parties' rights to the content or parts of the content are not compromised.

## GRAPH OF LINEAR TRANSFORMATIONS OVER $\mathbb{R}$

AYMAN BADAWI AND YASMINE EL-ASHI

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper, we study a connection between graph theory and linear transformations of finite dimensional vector spaces over  $\mathbb{R}$  (the set of all real numbers). Let  $R^m, R^n$  be finite vector spaces over  $R$ , and let  $L$  be the set of all non-trivial linear transformations from  $R^m$  into  $R^n$ . An equivalence relation  $\sim$  is defined on  $L$  such that two elements  $f, k \in L$  are equivalent,  $f \sim k$ , if and only if  $\ker(f) = \ker(k)$ . Let  $m, n \geq 1$  be positive integers and  $V_{m,n}$  be the set of all equivalence classes of  $\sim$ . We define a new graph,  $G_{m,n}$ , to be the undirected graph with vertex set equals to  $V_{m,n}$ , such that two vertices,  $[x], [y] \in V_{m,n}$  are adjacent if and only if  $\ker(x) \cap \ker(y) \neq 0$ . The relationship between the connectivity of the graph  $G_{m,n}$  and the values of  $m$  and  $n$  has been investigated. We determine the values of  $m$  and  $n$  so that  $G_{m,n}$  is a complete graph. Also, we determine the diameter and the girth of  $G_{m,n}$ .

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with  $1 \neq 0$ . Recently, there has been considerable attention in the literature to associating graphs with commutative rings (and other algebraic structures), as well as, studying the interplay between ring-theoretic and graph-theoretic properties; see the survey articles [11], [10], [38] and [45]. In particular, as in [17], the *zero-divisor graph* of  $R$  is the (simple) graph  $\Gamma(R)$  with vertices  $Z(R) \setminus \{0\}$ , and distinct vertices  $x$  and  $y$  are adjacent if and only if  $xy = 0$ . This concept is due to Beck [28], who let all the elements of  $R$  be vertices and was mainly interested in coloring. The zero-divisor graph of a ring  $R$  has been studied extensively by many authors, for example see ([2]-[9], [12], [21]-[22], [37]-[43], [46]-[53], [57]). David. F. Anderson and the first-named author [13] introduced the *total graph* of  $R$ , denoted by  $T(\Gamma(R))$ . We recall from [13] that the total graph of a commutative ring  $R$  is the (simple) graph  $\Gamma(R)$  with vertices  $R$ , and distinct vertices  $x$  and  $y$  are adjacent if and only if  $x + y \in Z(R)$ . The total graph (as in [13]) has been investigated in [8], [7], [6], [5], [45], [47], [51], [34] and [55]; and several variants of the total graph have been studied in [4], [14], [15], [16], [20], [27], [33], [30], [31], [32], [35], [36], and [44].

Let  $a \in Z(R)$  and let  $\text{ann}_R(a) = \{r \in R \mid ra = 0\}$ . In 2014, A. Badawi [26] introduced the *annihilator graph* of  $R$ . We recall from [26] that the annihilator graph of  $R$  is the (undirected) graph  $AG(R)$  with vertices  $Z(R)^* = Z(R) \setminus \{0\}$ , and two distinct vertices  $x$  and  $y$  are adjacent if and only if  $\text{ann}_R(xy) \neq \text{ann}_R(x) \cup \text{ann}_R(y)$ . See the survey article [23]. It follows that each edge (path) of the classical zero-divisor of  $R$  is an edge (path) of  $AG(R)$ . For further investigations of  $AG(R)$ ,

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 13A15, 13B99, 05C99.

*Key words and phrases.* zero-divisor graph, total graph, unitary graph, dot product graph, annihilator graph, linear transformations graph.

see [19], [50], and [56]. In 2015, A. Badawi, investigated the *total dot product graph* of  $R$  [25]. In this case  $R = A \times A \times \cdots \times A$  ( $n$  times), where  $A$  is a commutative ring with nonzero identity, and  $1 \leq n < \infty$  is an integer. The *total dot product graph* of  $R$  is the (undirected) graph denoted by  $TD(R)$ , with vertices  $R^* = R \setminus \{(0, 0, \dots, 0)\}$ . Two distinct vertices are adjacent if and only if  $x \cdot y = 0 \in A$ , where  $x \cdot y$  denote the normal dot product of  $x$  and  $y$ . The *zero-divisor dot product graph* of  $R$  is the induced subgraph  $ZD(R)$  of  $TD(R)$  with vertices  $Z(R)^* = Z(R) \setminus \{(0, 0, \dots, 0)\}$ . It follows that each edge (path) of the classical zero-divisor graph  $\Gamma(R)$  is an edge (path) of  $ZD(R)$ . In [25], both graphs  $TD(R)$  and  $ZD(R)$  are studied. The total dot product graph was recently further investigated in [1].

Other types of graphs attached to groups and rings were studied (for example) in [6], [8],[27], [37], [39]–[43], and [44].

Let  $G$  be a graph. Two vertices  $v_1, v_2$  of  $G$  are said to be *adjacent* in  $G$  if  $v_1, v_2$  are connected by an edge of  $G$  and we write  $v_1 - v_2$ . For vertices  $x$  and  $y$  of  $G$ , we define  $d(x, y)$  to be the length of a shortest path from  $x$  to  $y$  ( $d(x, x) = 0$  and  $d(x, y) = \infty$  if there is no path). Then the *diameter* of  $G$  is  $\text{diam}(G) = \sup\{d(x, y) \mid x \text{ and } y \text{ are vertices of } G\}$ . The *girth* of  $G$ , denoted by  $gr(G)$ , is the length of a shortest cycle in  $G$  ( $gr(G) = \infty$  if  $G$  contains no cycles).

We say  $G$  is *connected* if there is a path in  $G$  from  $u$  to  $v$  for every  $u, v \in V$ . Therefore, a graph is said to be *disconnected*, if there exist at least two vertices  $u, v \in V$  that are not joined by a path. We say that  $G$  is *totally disconnected* if no two vertices of  $G$  are adjacent. We denote the complete graph on  $n$  vertices by  $K_n$ , recall that a graph  $G$  is called complete if every two vertices of  $G$  are adjacent.

In this paper, we introduce a connection between graph theory and linear transformations of finite dimensional vector spaces over  $\mathbb{R}$  (the ring of all real numbers). Let  $U$  and  $W$  be finite dimensional vector spaces over  $\mathbb{R}$ , such that  $m = \dim(U)$  and  $n = \dim(W)$ . Since every finite dimensional vector space over  $\mathbb{R}$  with dimension  $k$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^k$ , we conclude that  $U$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^m$  and  $W$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Let  $m, n \geq 1$  be positive integers and  $L = \{t : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \mid t \text{ is a nontrivial linear transformation from } \mathbb{R}^m \text{ into } \mathbb{R}^n\}$ . If  $s, t \in L$ , then we say that  $s$  is equivalent to  $t$ , and we write  $s \sim t$  if and only if  $\text{Ker}(s) = \text{Ker}(t)$ . Clearly,  $\sim$  is an equivalence relation on  $L$ . For each  $t \in L$ , the set  $[t] = \{s \in L \mid s \sim t\}$  is called the *equivalence class* of  $t$ . Let  $V_{m,n}$  be the set of all equivalence classes of  $\sim$ . For positive integers  $m, n \geq 1$ , let  $G_{m,n}$  be a simple undirected graph with vertex set  $V_{m,n}$  such that two distinct vertices  $[f], [k] \in V_{m,n}$  are adjacent if and only if  $\text{Ker}(f) \cap \text{Ker}(k) \neq \{(0, \dots, 0)\} \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ .

## 2. RESULTS

**Remark 2.1.** *If a graph  $G$  has one vertex, then we say that  $G$  is totally disconnected. Note that some authors state that such graph is connected.*

We have the following result.

**Theorem 2.2.** *The undirected graph  $G_{m,1}$  is totally disconnected if and only if  $m = 1$  or  $m = 2$ . Furthermore, if  $m = 1$ , then  $V_{1,1} = \{[t]\}$  for some  $t \in L$ .*

*Proof.* Assume  $m = 1$ . Let  $[t] \in V_{1,1}$ . Since  $t \in L$  (i.e.,  $t$  is a nontrivial linear transformation from  $\mathbb{R}$  into  $\mathbb{R}$ ), we conclude that  $\dim(\text{Range}(t)) = 1$ . Since  $\dim(\text{Ker}(t)) + \dim(\text{Range}(t)) = m = 1$  and  $\dim(\text{Range}(t)) = 1$ , we conclude that

$Ker(t) = \{0\}$ . Thus  $f \in [t]$  for every  $f \in L$ . Hence  $V_{1,1} = \{[t]\}$  for some  $t \in L$ . Thus  $G_{1,1}$  is totally disconnected by Remark 2.1.

Assume  $m = 2$ . Let  $[t], [f] \in V_{2,1}$  be two distinct vertices. Since  $t, f \in L$  (i.e.,  $t, f$  are nontrivial linear transformations from  $\mathbb{R}^2$  into  $\mathbb{R}$ ), we conclude that  $\dim(\text{Range}(t)) = \dim(\text{Range}(f)) = 1$ . Since  $\dim(\text{Ker}(t)) + \dim(\text{Range}(t)) = m = 2$  and  $\dim(\text{Range}(t)) = 1$ , we conclude that  $\dim(\text{Ker}(t)) = 1$ . Similarly,  $\dim(\text{Ker}(f)) = 1$ . Since  $t, f \in L$ , and  $\dim(\text{Ker}(t)) = \dim(\text{Ker}(f)) = 1$ , we conclude that  $\text{Ker}(t)$  and  $\text{Ker}(f)$  are distinct lines passing through the origin  $(0, 0)$ . Thus  $\text{Ker}(t) \cap \text{Ker}(f) = \{(0, 0)\}$ . Hence  $[t], [f]$  are nonadjacent. Thus  $G_{2,1}$  is totally disconnected.

Now assume  $m > 2$ . We show that  $G_{m,1}$  is connected. Let,  $[t], [w] \in V_{m,1}$  be two distinct vertices. We show that  $\ker(f) \cap \ker(k) \neq \{(0, \dots, 0)\}$  for some  $f \in [t]$  and  $k \in [w]$ . Let  $\mathbf{M}_f$  be the standard  $1 \times m$  matrix representation of  $f$  for some  $f \in [t] \in V_{m,1}$  and  $\mathbf{M}_k$  be the standard  $1 \times m$  matrix representation of  $k$  for some  $k \in [w] \in V_{m,1}$ . By hypothesis,  $\mathbf{M}_f$  is not *row-equivalent* to  $\mathbf{M}_k$ . Say,  $\mathbf{M}_f = [f_{11} \ f_{12} \ \cdots \ f_{1m}]$  and  $\mathbf{M}_k = [k_{11} \ k_{12} \ \cdots \ k_{1m}]$

Let,  $\mathbf{M}_{fk} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{M}_f \\ \mathbf{M}_k \end{bmatrix}$  and consider the system,  $\mathbf{M}_{fk}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ , that is,

$$\begin{bmatrix} f_{11} & f_{12} & \cdots & f_{1m} \\ k_{11} & k_{12} & \cdots & k_{1m} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_m \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Since,  $m > 2$ , the number of equations  $<$  the number of unknown variables. Hence, the system  $\mathbf{M}_{fk}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$  has infinitely many solutions. Therefore,  $\ker(f) \cap \ker(k) \neq \mathbf{0}$ , that is, the vertices  $[t]$  and  $[w]$  are adjacent. Further, since  $[t], [w]$  were chosen randomly, we conclude that the graph  $G_{m,1}$  is complete for  $m > 2$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.3.** *For  $m = 1$  or  $m = 2$ , the undirected graph  $G_{2,n}$  is totally disconnected for every positive integer  $n \geq 1$ .*

*Proof.* Assume  $m = 1$  and  $n \geq 1$  be a positive integer. Then by the proof of Theorem 2.2, we conclude that  $V_{1,n} = \{[t]\}$  for some  $t \in L$ . Hence  $V_{1,n}$  is totally disconnected by Remark 2.1.

Assume  $m = 2$ , and let  $[t], [w] \in V$  be two distinct vertices. We want to show  $\ker(f) \cap \ker(k) = \mathbf{0}$  for some  $f \in [t]$  and  $k \in [w]$ . We may assume that neither  $\text{Ker}(f) = \mathbf{0}$  nor  $\text{Ker}(k) = \mathbf{0}$ . Hence  $\dim(\text{Ker}(f)) = \dim(\text{Ker}(k)) = 1$ . Thus  $\text{Ker}(f) \cap \text{Ker}(k) = \{(0, 0)\}$ . Since  $[f], [k]$  were chosen randomly, we conclude that the graph  $G_{2,n}$  is totally disconnected for  $m = 2$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.4.** *The graph  $G_{m,n}$  is complete if and only if  $m \geq 2n + 1$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $[t], [w] \in V$  such that  $\text{Ker}(f) \neq \mathbf{0}$  and  $\text{Ker}(k) \neq \mathbf{0}$  for some  $f \in [t]$  and  $k \in [w]$ . Let  $\mathbf{M}_f$  be the standard  $n \times m$  matrix representation of  $[f]$ ,  $\mathbf{M}_k$  be the standard  $n \times m$  matrix representation of  $[k]$ , and let  $\mathbf{M}_{fk} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{M}_f \\ \mathbf{M}_k \end{bmatrix}$

Assume,  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) \in \mathbf{R}^m$  is a solution to  $\mathbf{M}_{fk}\mathbf{x} = 0$ , that is,

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{M}_f \\ \mathbf{M}_k \end{bmatrix}_{2n \times m} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_m \end{bmatrix}_{m \times 1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}_{2n \times 1}$$

Let  $r = \text{rank}(\mathbf{M}_{fk})$ .

Assume  $m \geq 2n + 1$ . We show  $\ker(f) \cap \ker(k) \neq 0$ . Since  $r \leq 2n$  and  $m \geq 2n + 1$ , we have number of equations  $<$  number of unknown variables. Hence, the system  $\mathbf{M}_{fk}\mathbf{x} = 0$  has infinitely many solutions, or  $\text{null}(\mathbf{M}_{fk}) \neq 0$ . Therefore,  $\ker(f) \cap \ker(k) \neq 0$ , that is the vertices  $[t]$  and  $[w]$  are adjacent. Since  $[t]$  and  $[w]$  are chosen randomly, we conclude that the graph  $G_{m,n}$  is complete for  $m \geq 2n + 1$ .

Conversaly, assume that  $G_{m,n}$  is complete. We show that  $m \geq 2n + 1$ . Suppose that  $m < 2n + 1$ . We show that  $G_{m,n}$  is not complete. Let  $[t], [w] \in V$  such that  $\text{Ker}(f) \neq 0$  and  $\text{Ker}(k) \neq 0$  for some  $f \in [t]$  and  $k \in [w]$ .

**Case I:** Suppose  $r = m$ .

We conclude that  $\mathbf{M}_{fk}$  has  $m$  independent rows, say  $R_1, R_2, \dots, R_m$ .

Consider the system,

$$\begin{bmatrix} R_1 \\ R_2 \\ \vdots \\ R_m \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_m \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Since  $[R_1 \ R_2 \ \dots \ R_m]^T$  is an invertible  $m \times m$  matrix, we have

$\text{null}([R_1 \ R_2 \ \dots \ R_m]^T) = (0, 0, \dots, 0)$ . Thus  $\ker(t) \cap \ker(w) = 0$ . Hence the vertices  $[t]$  and  $[w]$  are nonadjacent

**Case II:** Suppose  $r < m$ . Thus we have the following system:

$$\begin{bmatrix} R_1 \\ R_2 \\ \vdots \\ R_r \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_m \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Since number of equations  $<$  number of unknown variables, we conclude that  $\text{null}([R_1 \ R_2 \ \dots \ R_r]^T) \neq (0, 0, \dots, 0)$ . This implies  $\ker(f) \cap \ker(k) \neq 0$ . Hence the vertices  $[t]$  and  $[w]$  are adjacent.

Since the vertices  $[t]$  and  $[w]$  can either be adjacent or nonadjacent, we conclude that the graph  $G_{m,n}$  is not complete for every  $1 \leq m < 2n + 1$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.5.** *Consider the undirected graph  $G_{m,n}$ . Assume  $m \leq n$  and  $m \neq 1$  or  $m \neq 2$ . Then  $G_{m,n}$  is connected and  $\text{diam}(G_{m,n}) = 2$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $[t], [w] \in V$  such that  $[t]$  and  $[w]$  are nonadjacent. Choose  $f \in [t]$  and  $k \in [w]$ . Then  $\text{rank}(M_f) \neq m$  and  $\text{rank}(M_k) \neq m$ , where  $M_f$  and  $M_k$  are the standard matrix representations of  $f$  and  $k$ , with size  $n \times m$ .

Assume  $\text{rank}(M_f) = m - i$ , where  $i \in \mathbf{N}$ ,  $i \neq 1$ , and  $\text{rank}(M_k) = m - j$ , where  $j \in \mathbf{N}$ ,  $j \neq 1$ . Then choose any non-zero row from  $M_f$  or  $M_k$ , say  $Y$ , to form the  $n \times m$  matrix  $M_d$ , where:

$$M_d = \begin{bmatrix} Y \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

is the standard matrix representation of some  $d \in [h] \in V_{m,n}$ , such that  $[t] - [h] - [w]$ .

Assume that  $\text{rank}(M_f) = m - 1$  and  $\text{rank}(M_k) = m - 1$ . Then  $M_f$  has  $m - 1$  independent rows,  $R_1, R_2, \dots, R_{m-1}$ . Since  $[t]$  and  $[w]$  are nonadjacent,  $M_k$  has one row say  $R$  such that,  $\{R_1, R_2, \dots, R_{m-1}, R\}$  is an independent set which forms a basis for  $\mathbf{R}^m$ . Let  $K \neq R$  be a non-zero row in  $M_k$ . Hence  $K \in \text{rowspace}(M_k)$ . Since  $K \in \mathbf{R}^m$ , we have:

$$K = c_1 R_1 + c_2 R_2 + \dots + c_{m-1} R_{m-1} + c_m R$$

Let  $Y = K - c_m R$ . Thus  $Y \in \text{rowspace}(M_k)$ , (since both  $K$  and  $c_m R$  are  $\in$

$\text{rowspace}(M_k)$ ), and  $Y \in \text{rowspace}(M_f)$ . Let  $M_d = \begin{bmatrix} Y \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}_{n \times m}$ , be the standard

matrix representation of some  $d \in [h] \in V_{m,n}$ . Since  $Y \in \text{rowspace}(M_f)$ ,  $Y$  becomes a zero row through row operations using the rows in  $M_f$ . Thus  $\text{null}(M_{fd}) \neq 0$ , since  $\text{rank}(M_{fd}) = m - 1$ . Hence  $\ker(f) \cap \ker(d) \neq 0$ . Hence  $[t]$ ,  $[h]$  are connected by an edge. Similarly, since  $Y \in \text{rowspace}(M_k)$ ,  $Y$  becomes a zero row through row operations using the rows in  $M_k$ . Thus  $\text{null}(M_{kd}) \neq 0$ , since  $\text{rank}(M_{kd}) = m - 1$ . Hence  $\ker(d) \cap \ker(k) \neq 0$ . Thus  $[h]$  and  $[w]$  are adjacent. Therefore, we have  $[t] - [h] - [w]$ . □

**Example 2.6.** Suppose  $m = 3$  and  $n = 4$ . So we are considering the graph  $G([t] : \mathbf{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^4)$ , where  $m \leq n$ , and  $m \neq 1$  or  $m \neq 2$ , as given in Theorem 2.5. Let  $[T], [L] \in V$ , such that  $[T]$  and  $[L]$  are not adjacent ( $\ker(T) \cap \ker(L) = 0_{m=3}$ ), and  $[T] \neq 0, [L] \neq 0$ . Let  $f \in [T]$ , and  $k \in [L]$ . Since  $[T]$  and  $[L]$  are non-trivial vertices, then  $\text{rank}(M_f) \neq m$  and  $\text{rank}(M_k) \neq m$ , where  $M_f$  and  $M_k$  are the standard matrix representations of  $f$  and  $k$ .

Suppose,

$$M_f = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}_{4 \times 3}, M_k = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}_{4 \times 3}$$

$$\text{Let } M_{fk} = \begin{bmatrix} M_f \\ M_k \end{bmatrix}_{8 \times 3}$$

It can be easily seen that  $\text{rank}(M_{fk}) = 3$ , which implies that  $\text{null}(M_{fk}) = 0$ . Therefore,  $\ker(f) \cap \ker(k) = 0$ , that is the vertices  $[T]$  and  $[L]$  are not adjacent.

We have:

$\text{rank}(M_f) = 2 = 3 - 1 = m - 1$ , and  $\text{rank}(M_k) = 2 = 3 - 1 = m - 1$ .

Then  $M_f$  has 2 independent rows  $R_1$  and  $R_2$ , such that  $R_1 = [1 \ 0 \ 0]$  and  $R_2 = [0 \ 1 \ 1]$ . The vertices  $[T]$  and  $[L]$  are not adjacent, thus  $M_k$  has one row  $R$ , such that  $\{R_1, R_2, R\}$  are independent and form a basis for  $\mathbf{R}^m$ , where  $m = 3$ . In this example,  $R = [0 \ 0 \ 1]$ . Let  $K \neq R$  be a non-zero row in  $M_k$ ,  $K = [1 \ 1 \ 0]$ .  $K \in \text{rowspace}(M_k)$  and since  $K \in \mathbf{R}^3$  it can be written as a linear combination of  $\{R_1, R_2, R\}$  as follows:

$$K = 1.R_1 + 1.R_2 - R = [1 \ 0 \ 0] + [0 \ 1 \ 1] - [0 \ 0 \ 1] = [1 \ 1 \ 0]$$

$$\text{Let } Y = K - (-1).R = K + R = [1 \ 1 \ 0] + [0 \ 0 \ 1] = [1 \ 1 \ 1].$$

This implies  $Y \in \text{rowspace}(M_k)$  and  $Y \in \text{rowspace}(M_f)$ . Let  $M_d = \begin{bmatrix} Y \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}_{4 \times 3} =$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}_{4 \times 3}, \text{ be the standard matrix representation of some } d \in [W].$$

Since  $Y \in \text{rowspace}(M_f)$ ,  $Y$  becomes a zero row through row operations using the rows in  $M_f$ . Thus  $\text{null}(M_{fd}) \neq 0$  since  $\text{rank}(M_{fd}) = 2$ . Hence  $\ker(T) \cap \ker(W) \neq 0$ . Hence  $[T], [W]$  are adjacent. Similarly, since  $Y \in \text{rowspace}(M_k)$ ,  $Y$  becomes a zero row through row operations using the rows in  $M_k$ . Hence  $\text{null}(M_{kd}) \neq 0$  since  $\text{rank}(M_{kd}) = 2$ . Thus  $\ker(L) \cap \ker(W) \neq 0$ . Thus  $[W], [L]$  are adjacent. Therefore, we have  $[T] - [W] - [L]$ .

**Theorem 2.7.** Consider the undirected graph  $G_{m,n}$ . Assume that  $n < m \leq 2n$  and  $m \neq 1$  or  $m \neq 2$ . Then  $G_{m,n}$  is connected and  $\text{diam}(G_{m,n}) = 2$ .

*Proof.* Let  $[T], [L] \in V$ , such that  $[T]$  and  $[L]$  are not adjacent ( $\ker(T) \cap \ker(L) = 0_m$ ), and  $[T] \neq 0, [L] \neq 0$ . Let,  $f \in [T]$  and  $k \in [L]$ , then  $\text{rank}(M_f) < m$  and  $\text{rank}(M_k) < m$ , where  $M_f$  and  $M_k$  are the standard matrix representations of  $f$  and  $k$ , with size  $n \times m$ .

Assume that  $n + 1 < m \leq 2n$ . Then  $\text{rank}(M_f) = n - i$ , where  $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , and  $\text{rank}(M_k) = n - j$ , where  $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . Thus we can choose any non-zero row from  $M_f$  or  $M_k$ , say  $Y$ , to form the  $n \times m$  matrix  $M_d$ , where:

$$M_d = \begin{bmatrix} Y \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

is the standard matrix representation of some  $d \in [W]$ , such that  $[T] - [W] - [L]$ .

Assume that  $m = n + 1$ . Then we have three cases. **Case I.** Assume that  $\text{rank}(M_f) = n = m - 1$ , and  $\text{rank}(M_k) = n - j$ , where  $j = 1, 2, \dots$ . Then we can choose any non-zero row, say  $Y$  from  $M_f$ , (Note that  $M_f$  is the matrix with the

higher rank), to form the  $n \times m$  matrix  $M_d$ , where:

$$M_d = \begin{bmatrix} Y \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

is the standard matrix representation of some  $d \in [W]$ , such that  $[T] - [W] - [L]$ .

**Case II.** Assume that  $\text{rank}(M_f) = n - i$ , where  $i = 1, 2, \dots$  and  $\text{rank}(M_k) = n - j$ , where  $j = 1, 2, \dots$ . In this case any non-zero row  $Y$  can be chosen either from  $M_f$  or  $M_k$ , to form  $M_d$ , where:

$$M_d = \begin{bmatrix} Y \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

. is the standard matrix representation of some  $d \in [W]$ , such that  $[T] - [W] - [L]$ .

**Case III.** Assume that  $\text{rank}(M_f) = n$  and  $\text{rank}(M_k) = n$ . Then  $M_f$  has  $n$  independent rows  $R_1, R_2, \dots, R_n$ . Since  $[T]$  and  $[L]$  are not adjacent,  $M_k$  has one row say  $R$  such that,  $\{R_1, R_2, \dots, R_{n-1}, R\}$  is an independent set which forms a basis for  $\mathbf{R}^m = \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ . Let  $K \neq R$  be a non-zero row in  $M_k$ . Hence  $K \in \text{rowspace}(M_k)$ . Since  $K \in \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ , we have:

$$K = c_1 R_1 + c_2 R_2 + \dots + c_n R_n + c_{n+1} R$$

Let  $Y = K - c_{n+1} R$ . Hence  $Y \in \text{rowspace}(M_k)$ , (since both  $K, c_{n+1} R \in \text{rowspace}(M_k)$ ),

and  $Y \in \text{rowspace}(M_f)$ . Let  $M_d = \begin{bmatrix} Y \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}_{n \times m}$ , be the standard matrix representation of some  $d \in [W]$ .

Since  $Y \in \text{rowspace}(M_f)$ ,  $Y$  becomes a zero row through row operations using the rows in  $M_f$ ,  $\text{null}(M_{fd}) \neq 0$  since  $\text{rank}(M_{fd}) = n$ . Hence  $\ker(T) \cap \ker(W) \neq 0$ . Thus  $[T], [W]$  are adjacent. Similarly, since  $Y \in \text{rowspace}(M_k)$ ,  $Y$  becomes a zero row through row operations using the rows in  $M_k$ . Hence  $\text{null}(M_{kd}) \neq 0$  since  $\text{rank}(M_{kd}) = n$ . Thus  $\ker(L) \cap \ker(W) \neq 0$ . Thus  $[W], [L]$  are adjacent. Therefore, we have  $[T] - [W] - [L]$ .  $\square$

**Example 2.8.** Suppose  $m = 4$  and  $n = 3$  and consider the graph  $G_{4,3}$ . Note that  $n < m \leq 2n$ ,  $m \neq 1, 2$  and  $m = n + 1$ . Thus  $m, n$  satisfy the given hypothesis in Theorem 2.7. Let  $[T], [L] \in V$ , such that  $[T]$  and  $[L]$  are not adjacent. Let  $f \in [T]$ , and  $k \in [L]$ . Then  $\text{rank}(M_f) < m$  and  $\text{rank}(M_k) < m$ , where  $M_f$  and  $M_k$  are the standard matrix representations of  $f$  and  $k$ , with size  $n \times m = 3 \times 4$ . Suppose,

$$M_f = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}_{3 \times 4}, M_k = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}_{3 \times 4}$$

Let  $M_{fk} = \begin{bmatrix} M_f \\ M_k \end{bmatrix}_{6 \times 4}$ . It can be easily seen that  $\text{rank}(M_{fk}) = 4$ , which implies that  $\text{null}(M_{fk}) = 0$ . Therefore,  $\ker(f) \cap \ker(k) = 0$ , that is, the vertices  $[T]$  and

$[L]$  are not adjacent. Hence  $\text{rank}(M_f) = 3 = n$ , and  $\text{rank}(M_k) = 3 = n$ . Then  $M_f$  has 3 independent rows  $R_1, R_2$ , and  $R_3$ , such that  $R_1 = [1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0]$ ,  $R_2 = [0 \ 1 \ 0 \ 1]$ , and  $R_3 = [0 \ 0 \ 1 \ 0]$ . The vertices  $[T]$  and  $[L]$  are not adjacent, thus  $M_k$  has one row,  $R = [0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1]$ , such that  $\{R_1, R_2, R_3, R\}$  is an independent set which forms a basis for  $\mathbf{R}^4$ . Let  $K \neq R$  be a non-zero row in  $M_k$ ,  $K = [0 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0]$ . Since  $K \in \text{rowspace}(M_k)$  and  $K \in \mathbf{R}^4$ , it can be written as a linear combination of  $\{R_1, R_2, R_3, R\}$  as follows:

$$K = 0.R_1 + 1.R_2 + 0.R_3 + (-1).R = [0 \ 1 \ 0 \ 1] - [0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1] = [0 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0]$$

$$\text{Let, } Y = K - (-1).R = K + R = [0 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0] + [0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1] = [0 \ 1 \ 0 \ 1].$$

This implies  $Y \in \text{rowspace}(M_k)$  and  $Y \in \text{rowspace}(M_f)$ . Let,  $M_d = \begin{bmatrix} Y \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}_{3 \times 4} =$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}_{3 \times 4}, \text{ be the standard matrix representation of some } d \in [W].$$

Since  $Y \in \text{rowspace}(M_f)$ ,  $Y$  becomes a zero row through row operations using the rows in  $M_f$ . Thus  $\text{null}(M_{fd}) \neq 0$ , since  $\text{rank}(M_{fd}) = 3$ . Hence  $\ker(T) \cap \ker(W) \neq 0$ . Thus  $[T], [W]$  are adjacent. Similarly, since  $Y \in \text{rowspace}(M_k)$ ,  $Y$  becomes a zero row through row operations using the rows in  $M_k$ . Thus  $\text{null}(M_{kd}) \neq 0$  since  $\text{rank}(M_{kd}) = 3$ . Hence  $\ker(L) \cap \ker(W) \neq 0$ . Thus  $[W], [L]$  are adjacent. Therefore, we have  $[T] - [W] - [L]$ .

**Theorem 2.9.** Assume that  $G_{m,n}$  is connected. Then  $gr(G_{m,n}) = 3$ .

*Proof.*  $[T], [L] \in V$ , such that  $[T]$  and  $[L]$  are adjacent,  $\ker(T) \cap \ker(L) \neq 0$  and  $[T] \neq 0, [L] \neq 0$ . Let,  $f \in [T]$  and  $k \in [L]$ , then  $M_f$  and  $M_k$  are the standard matrix representations of  $f$  and  $k$  with size  $n \times m$ . Suppose, that each matrix  $M_f$  and  $M_k$ , is composed of only one row,  $R_f$  and  $R_k$  that are independent of each other since  $f$  and  $k$  are in different equivalence classes  $[T]$  and  $[L]$ .  $M_f$  and  $M_k$  can be written as follows:

$$M_f = \begin{bmatrix} R_f \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}_{n \times m}, M_k = \begin{bmatrix} R_k \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}_{n \times m}$$

Let  $Y = R_f + R_k$ . Since  $Y$  is a linear combination of two linearly independent rows, then the set  $\{Y, R_f, R_k\}$  is also linearly independent.

Let  $M_d = \begin{bmatrix} Y \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}_{n \times m}$ , be the standard matrix representation of some non-trivial

linear transformation  $d$ . Since  $Y$  is independent of both  $R_f$  and  $R_k$ ,  $M_d$  is not row-equivalent to either  $M_f$  or  $M_k$ , hence  $d$  is in a different equivalence class from both  $f$  and  $k$ , say  $d \in [W]$ . Since  $\ker(T) \cap \ker(L) \neq 0$ , we have  $\text{null}(M_{fk}) \neq 0$ , which implies  $\text{null}(M_{fd}) \neq 0$  and  $\text{null}(M_{kd}) \neq 0$ . Therefore, we have,  $[T] - [L] - [W] - [T]$ . This forms the shortest possible cycle. Hence  $gr(G_{m,n}) = 3$ .  $\square$



**Acknowledgment** The second-named author would like to thank the Graduate Office at the American University of Sharjah for the continuous support.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Abdulla, M., Badawi, A., On the dot product graph of a commutative ring II, 25 Int. Electron. J. Algebra **28**, 61–175 (2020).
- [2] Akbari, S., Maimani, H. R., Yassemi, S.: When a zero-divisor graph is planar or a complete  $r$ -partite graph. J Algebra. **270**, 169–180 (2003).
- [3] Akbari, S., Mohammadian, A., On the zero-divisor graph of a commutative ring. J Algebra. **274**, 847–855 (2004).
- [4] Abbasi, A., Habib, S.: The total graph of a commutative ring with respect to proper ideals. J. Korean Math. Soc. **49**, 85–98 (2012)
- [5] Akbari, S., Heydari, F.: The regular graph of a non-commutative ring. Bulletin of the Australian Mathematical Society (2013) Doi: 10.1017/S0004972712001177
- [6] Akbari, S., Aryapoor, M., Jamaali, M.: Chromatic number and clique number of subgraphs of regular graph of matrix algebras. Linear Algebra Appl. **436**, 2419–2424 (2012).
- [7] Akbari, S., Jamaali, M., Seyed Fakhari, S.A.: The clique numbers of regular graphs of matrix algebras are finite. Linear Algebra Appl. **43**, 1715–1718 (2009).
- [8] Akbari, S., Kiani, D., Mohammadi, F., Moradi, S.: The total graph and regular graph of a commutative ring. J. Pure Appl. Algebra **213**, 2224–2228 (2009).
- [9] Anderson, D. D., Naseer, M., Beck's coloring of a commutative ring. J Algebra. **159**, 500–514 (1993).
- [10] Anderson, D.F., Axtell, M., Stickles, J.: Zero-divisor graphs in commutative rings. In : Fontana, M., Kabbaj, S.E., Olberding, B., Swanson, I. (eds.) Commutative Algebra Noetherian and Non-Noetherian Perspectives, pp. 23–45. Springer-Verlag, New York (2010).
- [11] Anderson, D. F., Badawi, A.: "The Zero-Divisor Graph of a Commutative Semigroup: A Survey, DOI: 10.1007/978-3-319-51718-6.2." In Groups, Modules, and Model Theory Surveys and Recent Developments, edited by Manfred Droste, László Fuchs, Brendan Goldsmith, Lutz Strümgmann, 23–39. Germany/NewYork: Springer, 2017.
- [12] Anderson, D.F., Badawi, A.: On the zero-divisor graph of a ring. Comm. Algebra **36**, 3073–3092 (2008).
- [13] Anderson, D.F., Badawi, A.: The total graph of a commutative ring. J. Algebra **320**, 2706–2719 (2008).
- [14] Anderson, D.F., Badawi, A.: The total graph of a commutative ring without the zero element. J. Algebra Appl. (2012) doi: 10.1142/S0219498812500740.
- [15] Anderson, D.F., Badawi, A.: The generalized total graph of a commutative ring. J. Algebra Appl. (2013) doi: 10.1142/S021949881250212X.
- [16] Anderson, D.F., Fasteen, J., LaGrange, J.D.: The subgroup graph of a group. Arab. J. Math. **1**, 17–27 (2012).
- [17] Anderson, D.F., Livingston, P.S.: The zero-divisor graph of a commutative ring. J. Algebra **217**, 434–447 (1999). 434–447.
- [18] Anderson, D.F., Mulay, S.B.: On the diameter and girth of a zero-divisor graph. J. Pure Appl. Algebra **210**, 543–550 (2007).
- [19] Afkhami, M., Khashyarmansh, K., and Sakhdari, S. M.: The annihilator graph of a commutative semigroup, J. Algebra Appl. 14, (2015) [14 pages] DOI: 10.1142/S0219498815500152
- [20] Atani, S.E., Habibi, S.: The total torsion element graph of a module over a commutative ring. An. Stiint. Univ. 'Ovidius Constanta Ser. Mat. **19**, 23–34 (2011).
- [21] Axtel, M, Coykendall, J. and Stickles, J. : Zero-divisor graphs of polynomials and power series over commutative rings, Comm.Algebra **33**, 2043–2050 (2005).
- [22] Axtel, M., Stickles, J.: Zero-divisor graphs of idealizations. J. Pure Appl. Algebra **204**, 235–243 (2006).
- [23] Badawi, A.: Recent results on annihilator graph of a commutative ring: A survey. In Near-rings, Nearfields, and Related Topics, edited by K. Prasad et al, (11 pages), New Jersey: World Scientific, 2017.
- [24] Badawi, A.: On the Total Graph of a Ring and Its Related Graphs: A Survey. In Commutative Algebra: Recent Advances in Commutative Rings, Integer-Valued Polynomials, and

- Polynomial Functions, DOI 10.1007/978-1-4939-0925-4 3, edited by M. Fontana et al. (eds.), 39-54. New York: Springer Science, 2014.
- [25] Badawi, A., *On the dot product graph of a commutative ring*, *Comm. Algebra* **43**, 43-50 (2015).
- [26] Badawi, A.: *On the annihilator graph of a commutative ring*, *Comm. Algebra*, Vol.(42)(1), 108-121 (2014), DOI: 10.1080/00927872.2012.707262.
- [27] Barati, Z., Khashyarmansh, K., Mohammadi, F., Nafar, K.: *On the associated graphs to a commutative ring*. *J. Algebra Appl.* (2012) doi: 10.1142/S021949881105610.
- [28] Beck, I.: *Coloring of commutative rings*. *J. Algebra* **116**, 208-226 (1988).
- [29] Bollaboás, B.: *Graph Theory, An Introductory Course*. Springer-Verlag, New York (1979).
- [30] Chelvam, T., Asir, T.: *Domination in total graph on  $\mathbb{Z}_n$* . *Discrete Math. Algorithms Appl.* **3**, 413-421 (2011).
- [31] Chelvam, T., Asir, T.: *Domination in the total graph of a commutative ring*. *J. Combin. Math. Combin. Comput.* **87**, 147-158 (2013).
- [32] Chelvam, T., Asir, T.: *Intersection graph of gamma sets in the total graph*. *Discuss. Math. Graph Theory* **32**, 339-354 (2012).
- [33] Chelvam, T., Asir, T.: *On the Genus of the Total Graph of a Commutative Ring*. *Comm. Algebra* **41**, 142-153 (2013).
- [34] Chelvam, T., Asir, T.: *On the total graph and its complement of a commutative ring*. *Comm. Algebra* (2013) doi:10.1080/00927872.2012.678956.
- [35] Chelvam, T., Asir, T.: *The intersection graph of gamma sets in the total graph I*. *J. Algebra Appl.* (2013) doi: 10.1142/S0219498812501988.
- [36] Chelvam, T., Asir, T.: *The intersection graph of gamma sets in the total graph II*. *J. Algebra Appl.* (2013) doi: 10.1142/S021949881250199X.
- [37] Chiang-Hsieh, H.-J., Smith, N. O., Wang, H.-J.: *Commutative rings with toroidal zerodivisor graphs*. *Houston J Math.* **36**, 1-31 (2010).
- [38] Coykendall, J., Sather-Wagstaff, S., Sheppardson, L., Spiroff, S.: *On zero divisor graphs*, *Progress in Commutative Algebra 2: Closures, finiteness and factorization*, edited by (C. Francisco et al. Eds.), Walter Gruyter, Berlin, (2012), 241-299.
- [39] DeMeyer, F., DeMeyer, L., *Zero divisor graphs of semigroups*: *J. Algebra.* **283**, 190-198 (2005).
- [40] DeMeyer, F., McKenzie, T., Schneider, K.: *The zero-divisor graph of a commutative semigroup*. *Semigroup Forum.* **65**, 206-214 (2002).
- [41] DeMeyer, F., Schneider, K., *Automorphisms and zero divisor graphs of commutative rings*. In: *Commutative rings*. Hauppauge, NY: Nova Sci. Publ.; 2002. p. 25-37.
- [42] DeMeyer, L., D'Sa, M., Epstein, I., Geiser, A., Smith, K., *Semigroups and the zero divisor graph*. *Bull. Inst. Combin. Appl.* **57**, 60-70, (2009).
- [43] DeMeyer, L., Greve, L., Sabbaghi, A., Wang, J., *The zero-divisor graph associated to a semigroup*. *Comm. Algebra.* **38**, 3370-3391 (2010).
- [44] Khashyarmansh, K., Khorsandi, M.R.: *A generalization of the unit and unitary Cayley graphs of a commutative ring*. *Acta Math. Hungar.* **137**, 242-253 (2012).
- [45] Maimani, H.R., Pouranki, M.R., Tehranian, A., Yassemi, S.: *Graphs attached to rings revisited*. *Arab. J. Sci. Eng.* **36**, 997-1011 (2011).
- [46] Maimani, H. R., Pournaki, M. R., Yassemi, S., *Zero-divisor graph with respect to an ideal*. *Comm. Algebra.* **34**, 923-929 (2006).
- [47] Maimani, H.R., Wickham, C., Yassemi, S.: *Rings whose total graphs have genus at most one*. *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* **42**, 1551-1560 (2012).
- [48] Mojdeh1, D. A., Rahimi, A. M: *Domination sets of some graphs associated to commutative ring*. *Comm. Algebra* **40**, 3389-3396 (2012).
- [49] Mulay, S. B.: *Cycles and symmetries of zero-divisors*. *Comm Algebra.* **30**, 3533-3558 (2002).
- [50] Nikandish, R., Nikmehr, M. J., Bakhtyari, M.: *Coloring of the annihilator graph of a commutative ring*, *J. Algebra Appl.* **15**(07) (2016). DOI: 10.1142/S0219498816501243
- [51] Pucanović, Z., Petrović, Z.: *On the radius and the relation between the total graph of a commutative ring and its extensions*. *Publ. Inst. Math.(Beograd)(N.S.)* **89**, 1-9 (2011).
- [52] Redmond, S. P., *An ideal-based zero-divisor graph of a commutative ring*. *Comm Algebra.* **31**, 4425-4443 (2003).
- [53] Smith, N. O: *Planar zero-divisor graphs*, *Comm. Algebra* **35**, 171-180 (2007).

- [54] Sharma, P.K., Bhatwadekar, S.M.: A note on graphical representations of rings. *J. Algebra* **176**, 124-127 (1995).
- [55] Shekarriz, M.H., Shiradareh Haghighi, M.H., Sharif, H.: On the total graph of a finite commutative ring. *Comm. Algebra* **40**, 2798-2807 (2012).
- [56] Visweswaran, S., Patel, H. D.: A graph associated with the set of all nonzero annihilating ideals of a commutative ring, *Discrete Math. Algorithm. Appl.* **06**, (2014) [22 pages] DOI: 10.1142/S1793830914500475
- [57] Wickham, C.: Classification of rings with genus one zero-divisor graphs. *Comm Algebra.* **36**, 325-345 (2008).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS & STATISTICS, THE AMERICAN UNIVERSITY OF SHARJAH, P.O.  
BOX 26666, SHARJAH, UNITED ARAB EMIRATES  
*Email address:* `abadawi@aus.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS & STATISTICS, THE AMERICAN UNIVERSITY OF SHARJAH, P.O.  
BOX 26666, SHARJAH, UNITED ARAB EMIRATES  
*Email address:* `g00007313@alumni.aus.edu`