

CAS Self-Assessment Guide

CAMPUS POLICE AND SECURITY PROGRAMS
2015

Council for the Advancement of Standards in Higher Education. (2015). *CAS self-assessment guide for campus police and security programs*. Washington, DC: Author.

Table of Contents

Contextual Statement

Gives a functional and historical perspective to the area

Instructions

Instructions for conducting self-assessment using the SAG

Self-Assessment Instrument

Instrument comprised of criterion statements, rating scales, and evaluation forms to be used in self-assessment

Work Forms

Offer direction for developing an action plan (e.g. identify strengths, weaknesses, recommendations, benchmarks for achievement, resources, timeframe, and responsible individuals)

Appendix A: CAS Standards for Campus Police And Security Programs

CAMPUS POLICE AND SECURITY PROGRAMS

CAS Contextual Statement

The issue of crime on American college and university campuses has been around since at least the early 19th century when a series of student disruptions rocked the campuses of a number of colleges (Gregory, 2001). The image of campus crime in recent years is in stark relief to former impressions due to high-profile incidents on campus, the perception that institutions were hiding campus crime (Gregory, 2002), and suggestions that university officials needed to take more responsibility for the safety of their students (Bickel & Lake, 1999).

Campus Police and Security refers to that agency and those individuals who, either as employees of the institution or through some sort of contracted service, provide for a safer campus environment, protect members of the university community, and may enforce institutional policy and relevant laws and codes. There are a wide variety of arrangements on college and university campuses in the United States and Canada by which these services are provided. These may include “sworn” police agencies at public institutions that are operated as any municipal, state, or province police agency may be and in which officers are trained similarly. On some independent institution campuses, the agency may operate under state or provincial law as “company police” or “special deputies” who have law enforcement authority but whose authority is limited to the campus itself. Some institutions contract with local law enforcement agencies to provide services within the borders of the campus, hire private security companies to protect the institution, or employ their own security officers who do not have arrest authority. Finally, some institutions use a combination of these methods to provide services on and around campus.

In the United States, all campus police and security programs operating on university campuses that receive federal funds must adhere to the *Jeanne Clery Disclosure of Campus Security Policy and Campus Crime Statistics Act (2000)*. This federal law, originally passed in 1990, requires the reporting of campus crime statistics in certain categories, includes reporting on campus fire safety, requires the provision of certain information about campus policies, and has a number of other requirements with which university officials must comply. In 2014, the law was amended to add stalking, dating violence and domestic violence to the list of reportable crimes, and clarifies the definitions of several crimes. In addition, all U.S. states and Canadian provinces have laws and regulations that control how and under what types of arrangements campus police and security may operate.

The United States Department of Education has responsibility for enforcement of the Clery Act (<http://www2.ed.gov/admins/lead/safety/campus.html>), may fine institutions for violation of the Act, and in extreme cases may remove the authority of institutions to receive federal funds. The U.S. Department of Education also provides a great deal of information to assist university authorities to make their campuses safer and to comply with the Act. These include *The Handbook for Campus Safety and Crime Reporting* (Westat, Ward & Mann, 2011)

The family of Jeanne Clery, for whom the Clery Act is named, set up a non-profit advocacy group called Security on Campus, Inc. (2012). This group, for over twenty years, monitored compliance with the act, advocated for strict enforcement of the law, and lobbied Congress for additional laws to improve safety on American institutions of post-secondary education. While still engaged in compliance and lobbying efforts, the organization, which has changed its name to the Clery Center for Security on Campus, now serves primarily as an educational agency that supports institutions in their compliance efforts.

The International Association of Campus Law Enforcement Administrators (IACLEA) advances public safety for educational institutions by providing educational resources, advocacy, and professional development services. IACLEA is the leading voice for the campus public safety community.

IACLEA was created by 11 college and university security directors who met in November of 1958 at Arizona State University to discuss job challenges and mutual problems and to create a clearinghouse for information and issues shared by campus public safety directors across the country. Today, IACLEA membership represents more than 1,200 colleges and universities in 20 countries. In addition to the colleges and universities that are institutional members, IACLEA has 2,000 individual memberships held by campus law enforcement staff, criminal justice faculty members, and municipal chiefs of police” (IACLEA, 2012).

According to Fisher and Sloan (2007), “[t]he past 20 or so years ha[ve] seen key development in the context of campus security: the *professionalization* of the individuals and departments charged with the sometimes daunting task of reducing opportunities for on-campus victimization, responding to calls for assistance, and providing services to crime victims. The professionalization has touched almost all aspects of campus security and has resulted in significant changes in, and upgrades to, security policies” (p. 14). The authors indicated that the movement from service as a “night watchman” to the current role on many campuses of high-tech police departments, which may also have “information security and infrastructure protection” (p. 14) responsibilities, has been a dramatic role change.

Sloan and Lanier (2007) described the evolution of community policing on college and university campuses and noted other current trends that related to campus police and security policies. Bromley (2007) explained the evolution of campus police and security services in some detail and laid out his view of the modern campus police and security agency. CAS standards should guide campus police and security programs to best practices in their new roles.

References, Readings, and Resources

- Bickel, R. D., & Lake, P. F. (1999). *The rights and responsibilities of the modern university: Who assumes the risks of college life?* Chapel Hill, N.C.: Carolina Academic Press.
- Bromley, M. L. (2007). The evolution of campus policing: Different models for different eras. In Fisher, B. S., & Sloan III, J. J. (Eds.). *Campus crime: Legal, social and policy issues* (2nd ed., pp. 280-304). Springfield, IL: Charles C. Thomas.
- Clery Center for Security on Campus (nd). <http://www.clerycenter.org>
- The Clery Center for Security on Campus (2012). Jeanne Clery and the SOC story. Retrieved from <http://www.clerycenter.org/>
- Fisher, B. S., & Sloan III, J. J. (Eds.). (2007). Campus crime policy: Legal, social and security contexts (pp 3-22) in *Campus crime: Legal, social and policy issues* (2nd ed., pp. 3-22). Springfield, IL: Charles C. Thomas.
- Fisher, B. S., Hartman, J. L., Cullen, F. T., & Turner, M. G. (2002). Making campuses safer for students: The Clery Act as a symbolic legal reform. *Stetson Law Review*, 32(1), 61-89. Retrieved from <http://www.law.stetson.edu/lawrev/abstracts/PDF/32-1Fisher.pdf>
- Gregory, D. E. (2001). Crime on campus: Compliance, liability and safety. *Campus Law Enforcement Journal*, 31(4), 27-32.
- Gregory, D. E. (2002, November-December). Hiding crime on campus: Current reality or paranoia from the past? *Campus Safety and Student Development*, 4(2), 17, 30-32.
- Gregory, D. E., & Janosik, S. M. (2002). The Clery Act: How effective is it? Perceptions from the field - The current state of the research and recommendations for improvement. *Stetson Law Review*, 32(1), 7-59. Retrieved from <http://www.law.stetson.edu/lawrev/abstracts/PDF/32-1Gregory.pdf>
- International Association of Campus Law Enforcement Administrators (IACLEA). <http://www.iaclea.org/visitors/about/>
- Janosik, S. M. (2004). Parents’ views of the Clery Act and campus safety. *The Journal of College Student Development*, 45(1), 43-56.
- Janosik, S. M., & Gehring, D. D. (2003). *The impact of the Jeanne Clery Act Disclosure of Campus Security Policy and the Campus Crime Statistics Act on student decision-making*. EPI Policy Paper No. 10. Blacksburg, VA: Virginia Tech.
- Janosik, S. M., & Gregory, D. E. (2003). The Clery Act and its influence on campus law enforcement practices. *NASPA Journal*, 44(1), 182-199. Retrieved from <http://publications.naspa.org/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1311&context=naspajournal>
- Jeanne Clery Disclosure of Campus Security Policy and Campus Crime Statistics Act*. (2000). 20 U.S.C. § 1092(f).

- Nicoletti, J., Spencer-Thomas, S., & Bollinger, C. (Eds) (2010). *Violence goes to college: The authoritative guide to prevention and intervention* (2nd Ed.). Springfield, IL: Charles C. Thomas.
- Seng, M. (1995). The Crime Awareness and Campus Security Act: Some observations, critical comments and suggestions. In B. Fisher & J. Sloan, III (Eds.), *Campus crime: Legal, social and policy perspectives*. (pp. 38-52). Springfield, IL: Charles C. Thomas.
- Seng, M., & Koehler, N. (1993). The Crime Awareness and Campus Security Act: A critical analysis. *Journal of Crime and Justice*, 16, 97-110.
- Sloan III, J. J., & Lanier, M. M. (2007). Community policing on university campuses: Tradition, practices, and outlook. In Fisher, B. S., & Sloan III, J. J.(Eds.). (2007). *Campus crime: Legal, social and policy issues* (2nd ed.). Springfield, IL: Charles C. Thomas.
- Smith, M. C. (1988). *Coping with crime on campus*. New York, NY: American Council on Education: Macmillan
- Smith, M. C. (1989). *Crime and campus police: A handbook for police officers and administrators*. Asheville, NC: College Administration Publications.
- Smith, M. C., & Fossey, R. (1995). *Crime on campus: Legal issues and campus administration*. Westport, CT: Greenwood Press.
- Westat, Ward, D., and Mann, J.L. (2011). *The handbook for campus safety and security reporting*. U.S. Department of Education, Office of Postsecondary Education, Retrieved from <https://www2.ed.gov/admins/lead/safety/handbook.pdf>

Contextual Statement Contributors

Current Edition:

Dennis E. Gregory, Old Dominion University

Previous Editions:

Dennis E. Gregory, Old Dominion University

INTRODUCTION AND INSTRUCTIONS

CAS Self-Assessment Guide

The *Self-Assessment Guides* (SAG) translate functional area CAS standards and guidelines into tools for conducting self-study. Educators can use this SAG to gain informed perspectives on the strengths and deficiencies of their programs and services as well as to plan for improvements. Grounded in the reflective, self-regulation approach to quality assurance in higher education endorsed by CAS, this SAG provides institutional, divisional, departmental, and unit leaders with a tool to assess programs and services using currently accepted standards of practice.

The *Introduction* outlines the self-assessment process, describes how to complete a programmatic self-study, and is organized into three sections:

- I. Self-Assessment Guide Organization and Process
- II. Rating Examples
- III. Formulating an Action Plan, Preparing a Report, and Closing the Loop

The introduction is followed by the *Self-Assessment Worksheet*, which presents the CAS standards for the functional area and incorporates a series of criterion measures for rating purposes.

I. Self-Assessment Guide and Process

CAS developed and has incorporated a number of common criteria that have relevance for each and every functional area, no matter what its primary focus. These common criteria are referred to as “General Standards,” which form the core of all functional area standards. CAS standards and guidelines are organized into 12 components, and the SAG workbook corresponds with the same sections:

- | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|
| Part 1. Mission | Part 7. Diversity, Equity, and Access |
| Part 2. Program | Part 8. Internal and External Relations |
| Part 3. Organization and Leadership | Part 9. Financial Resources |
| Part 4. Human Resources | Part 10. Technology |
| Part 5. Ethics | Part 11. Facilities and Equipment |
| Part 6. Law, Policy, and Governance | Part 12. Assessment |

For each set of standards and guidelines, CAS provides a Self-Assessment Guide (SAG) that includes a recommended comprehensive self-study process for program evaluation. Seven basic steps to using a SAG are suggested for implementing a functional area self-study. The following self-study process is recommended.

<p style="text-align: center;">1. Plan the Process</p> <p>Map out steps for process, develop timeline, build buy-in with all stakeholders, and explicitly identify desired outcomes of the self-study</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">5. Develop an Action Plan</p> <p>Identify discrepancies, corrective action, and recommended steps (e.g., identify strengths, weaknesses, recommendations, benchmarks for achievement, resources, timeframe, and responsible individuals)</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">2. Assemble and Educate the Self-Assessment Team</p> <p>Determine who should be on the team and how to educate the team about the self-study process</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">6. Prepare a Report</p> <p>Identify audience for report(s); describe the self-study process, evidence gathering, rating process, and evaluations; summarize strengths and weaknesses; describe the action plan; and draft an executive summary</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">3. Identify, Collect, and Review Evidence</p> <p>Define what constitutes evidence; then gather, collect,</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">7. Close the Loop</p> <p>Put action plans into practice; work to navigate politics and</p>

manage, and review evidence	secure resources; identify barriers to overcome; and build buy-in to the program review results
<p>4. Conduct and Interpret Ratings Using Evaluative Evidence</p> <p>Clarify team’s rating criteria; employ a process for rating [small group, individual, staff]; negotiate rating differences; and manage group ratings</p>	

The first four steps in conducting self-assessment will lead you through planning your process, preparing your team, gathering evidence, and assigning ratings to the criterion measures.

- A. Plan the self-study process
- B. Assemble and educate self-study team(s)
- C. Identify, collect, and review documentary evidence
- D. Conduct ratings using evaluative evidence

Step A: Plan the Self-Study Process

Prior to beginning a program review, division and functional area leaders need to determine the area (or areas) to be evaluated and the reasons for the project. This may be dictated by institutional program review cycles or planning for accreditation processes, or it may result from internal divisional goals and needs. Explicitly identifying desired outcomes and key audiences for a self-study will help leaders facilitate a process that makes the most sense for the project.

Critical first phases of a program review include mapping out the planned steps for a program review and developing timelines. Leaders will also want to build buy-in with stakeholders of the functional area. In the initial planning stage of the self-study process it is desirable to involve the full functional area staff, including support staff members, knowledgeable students, and faculty members when feasible. This approach provides opportunity for shared ownership in the evaluation.

Step B: Assemble and Educate the Self-Assessment Review Team

The second step is to identify an individual to coordinate the self-assessment process. CAS recommends that the coordinator be someone other than the leader of the unit under review; this facilitates honest critique by the review team and enhances credibility of the final report. Once a leader is designated, members of the institutional community [e.g., professional staff members, faculty members, students] need to be identified and invited to participate. Whether a sole functional area or a full division is to be reviewed, the self-study team will be strengthened by the inclusion of members from outside the area(s) undergoing review.

In preparing the team for the self-study, it is imperative to train the team on the CAS standards, as well as self-assessment concepts and principles. CAS standards and guidelines are formulated by representatives of 41 higher education professional associations concerned with student learning and development. The CAS standards represent essential practices; the CAS guidelines, on the other hand, are suggestions for practice and serve to elaborate and amplify standards through the use of suggestions, descriptions, and examples. Guidelines can often be employed to enhance program practice. Following a long-standing CAS precedent, the functional area standards and guidelines—presented as an appendix to the self-assessment instrument—are formatted so that standards (i.e., essentials of quality practice) are printed in bold type. Guidelines, which complement the standards, are printed in light-face type. Standards use the auxiliary verbs “must” and “shall” while guidelines use “should” and “may.”

In this self-assessment instrument, the CAS standards have been translated into criterion measures and grouped into subcategories for rating purposes. The criterion measures are not designed to focus on discrete ideas; rather, the

measures are designed to capture the major ideas and elements reflected in the standards. For each of the 12 component parts, team members will rate clusters of criterion measures. If the assessment team decides to incorporate one or more of the guidelines into the review process, each guideline can be similarly translated into a measurable statement to facilitate rating.

As a group, the review team should examine the standards carefully and read through the entire self-assessment guide before beginning to assign ratings. It may be desirable for the team, in collaboration with the full staff, to discuss the meaning of each standard. Through this method, differing interpretations can be examined and agreement generally reached about how the standard will be interpreted for purposes of the self-assessment.

Step C: Identify, Collect, and Review Documentary Evidence

Collecting and documenting evidence of program effectiveness is an important step in the assessment process. No self-assessment is complete without relevant data and related documentation being used. It is good practice for programs to collect and file relevant data routinely, which can then be used to document program effectiveness over time. Available documentation should be assembled by the unit under review and provided to the review team at the outset of the study. The team may request additional information as needed as the review is conducted.

Documentary evidence often used to support evaluative judgments includes:

- *Student Recruitment and Marketing Materials*: brochures and other sources of information about the program, participation policies and procedures, and reports about program results and participant evaluations
- *Program Documents*: mission statements, catalogs, brochures and other related materials, staff and student manuals, policy and procedure statements, evaluation and periodic reports, contracts, and staff memos
- *Institutional Administrative Documents*: statements about program purpose and philosophy relative to other educational programs, organizational charts, financial resource statements, student and staff profiles, and assessment reports
- *Research, Assessment, and Evaluation Data*: needs assessments, follow-up studies, program evaluations, outcome measures and methodologies, and previous self-study reports
- *Staff Activity Reports*: annual reports; staff member vitae; service to departments, colleges, university, and other agencies; evidence of effectiveness; scholarship activities, and contributions to the profession
- *Student Activity Reports*: developmental transcripts, portfolios, and other evidence of student contributions to the institution, community, and professional organizations; reports of special student accomplishments; and employer reports on student employment experiences

In the SAG, each section provides recommended evidence and documentation that should be collected and compiled prior to conducting ratings. The evidence collected is likely applicable across numerous sections.

Raters can best make judgments about the program expectations articulated in the standards when they have a variety of evidence available. Multiple forms of evidence should be reviewed and reported in the narrative section of the SAG worksheets. Through the rating process, a self-study team may identify a need to obtain additional information or documentation before proceeding, in order to lend substance to judgments about a given assessment criterion. Evidence and documentation should be appended and referenced in the final self-assessment report.

Step D: Conduct and Interpret Ratings Using Evaluative Evidence

When the program review team has gathered and reviewed necessary evidence, they will be able to assign and interpret ratings to individual criterion measures, following three steps.

- 1) Rate Criterion Measures
 - a) Team members individually rate criterion measures based on their understanding of the evidence.
 - b) Team discusses and assigns collective ratings for criterion measures.

- 2) Provide Narrative Rationale
 - a) Document the reasoning and evidence for the rating assigned to each subsection, in the space provided for *Rationale*.
 - b) Explain what evidence has been collected and reviewed to support individual and/or team ratings and judgments.
 - c) Provide information for follow-up and relevant details about ratings (e.g., if *Partly Meets* is assigned as a rating, what aspects of the program or service do and do not meet which standards statements).

- 3) Answer Overview Questions (In the Instrument)
 - a) Respond, in writing in the space provided, to the *Overview Questions* that immediately follow the rating section of each of the 12 components.
 - b) Use answers to the *Overview Questions*, which are designed to stimulate summary thinking about overarching issues, to facilitate interpretation of the ratings and development of the self-study report.

Assessment criterion measures are used to judge how well areas under review meet CAS standards. These criterion measures are designed to be evaluated using a 4-point rating scale. In addition to the numerical rating options, *Does Not Apply* (DNA) and *Insufficient Evidence/Unable to Rate* (IE) ratings are provided. This rating scale is designed to estimate broadly the extent to which a given practice has been performed.

CAS CRITERION MEASURE RATING SCALE

DNA	IE	0	1	2	3
Does Not Apply	Insufficient Evidence/ Unable to Rate	Does Not Meet	Partly Meets	Meets	Exceeds

Under rare circumstances, it may be determined that a criterion measure used to judge the standard is not applicable for the particular program (e.g., a single sex or other unique institution that cannot meet a criterion measure for that reason). In such instances, raters may use a DNA rating and, in the self-study report, describe their rationale for excluding the practice in the criterion measure. The IE response can be used when relevant data are unavailable to support a judgment. When either the DNA or the IE ratings are used, an explanatory note should be provided in the report. Items rated with 0 should generate careful group consideration and appropriate follow-up action.

Program leaders may wish to incorporate additional criterion measures, such as selected CAS guidelines or other rating scales, into the procedures before the self-assessment process begins. Such practice is encouraged, and the SAG instrument can be amended to incorporate additional criterion measures for judging the program. In such instances, additional pages to accommodate the additional criterion measures may be required.

Whatever procedures are used to arrive at judgments, deliberate discussions should occur about how to initiate the rating process and select the optimal rating strategy. In such discussions, it is expected that disagreements among team members will occur and that resulting clarifications will inform all participants. It is important that the team achieve consensual resolution of such differences before proceeding with individual ratings.

CAS suggests a two-tiered (individual and group) judgment approach for determining the extent to which the program meets the CAS standard. First, the self-assessment team members (and functional area staff members, if desired) individually should rate the clusters of criterion measures using separate copies of the CAS Self-Assessment Guide. In addition, they will need to document their reasoning and evidence for the rating assigned to each subsection in the space provided for *Rationale*. This individualized rating procedure is then followed by a collective review and analysis of the individual ratings.

The individual ratings should be reviewed, discussed, and translated into a collective rating by the team; then the team is ready to move to the interpretation phase of the self-assessment. Interpretation typically incorporates discussion among team members to assure that all aspects of the program were given fair and impartial consideration prior to a final collective judgment. At this point, persistent disagreements over performance ratings may call for additional data collection.

After the team review is completed, a meeting with relevant administrators, staff members, and student leaders should be scheduled for a general review of the self-assessment results. The next step, including discussion of alternative approaches that might be used to strengthen and enhance the program, is to generate steps and activities to be incorporated into an action plan. This step is best done by the unit staff, informed by the results of the review and, when feasible, in consultation with the review team. The Work Forms will guide this process.

II. Rating Examples

Rating Standard Criterion Measures

All CAS standards, printed in bold type, are viewed as being essential to a sound and relevant program or service that contributes to student learning and development. Many of the statements contained in CAS standards incorporate multiple criteria that have been grouped for rating purposes. Consequently, raters may need to judge several standards statements through a single criterion measure. Using the “Ethics” standards as an example, the following illustrates how criterion measures are grouped into subcategories for rating.

Part 5. ETHICS					
Suggested Evidence and Documentation:					
1. Program code or statement of ethics					
2. Ethics statements from relevant functional area professional associations					
3. Personnel policies, procedures and/or handbook					
4. Student code of conduct					
5. Operating policies and procedures related to human subjects research (Institutional Review Board, IRB)					
6. Minutes from meetings during which staff reviewed and discussed ethics					
Criterion Measures:					
DNA	IE	0	1	2	3
Does Not Apply	Insufficient Evidence/ Unable to Rate	Does Not Meet	Partly Meets	Meets	Exceeds
<input type="checkbox"/> 5.1 Ethical Standards <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Programs and services review applicable professional ethical standards and adopt or develop and implement appropriate statements of ethical practice. Programs and services publish and adhere to statements of ethical practice, ensure their periodic review, and orient new personnel to relevant statements of ethical practice and related institutional policies. <p><i>Rationale:</i></p>					
<input type="checkbox"/> 5.2 Statement of Ethical Standards <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Statements of ethical standards specify that programs and services personnel respect privacy and maintain confidentiality in communications and records as delineated by privacy laws. 					

Using Guidelines to Make Judgments about the Program

As discussed above, program leaders may wish to include selected *CAS Guidelines* to be rated along with the standards. To accomplish this, criterion measure statements must be written for the guidelines selected. The self-study team can readily create statements to be judged as part of the rating process. Programs generally considered in compliance with the standards especially can benefit by using guidelines because guidelines typically call for enhanced program quality.

Not all programs under review will incorporate guidelines to be rated as part of their self-studies. Even though the guidelines are optional for rating purposes, raters are strongly encouraged to read and review them as part of the training process. When *CAS Guidelines* or other criterion measures are rated, they should be treated as if they were standards.

III. Formulating an Action Plan, Preparing a Report, and Closing the Loop

The final three steps in the self-assessment process help a review team and unit plan for and take action using the information garnered through the review of documentary evidence and rating process.

Step E: Formulating an Action Plan

Typically, the assessment process will identify areas where the program is not in compliance with the standards. Action planning designed to overcome program shortcomings and provide program enhancements must then occur. Following is an outline of recommended steps for establishing a comprehensive plan of action using the CAS self-assessment work forms. Space is provided in the SAG for recording relevant information.

- 1) Resolve Rating Discrepancies (Work Form A)
 - a) Identify criterion statements for which there is a substantial rating discrepancy.
 - b) Discuss these items and come to a resolution or final decision. Note any measures where consensus could not be reached.
- 2) Identify Areas of Program Strength (Work Form B)
 - a) Identify criterion measure ratings where *strength* in performance or accomplishment was noted (i.e., program exceeds criterion with a rating of 4).
- 3) Identify Areas for Improvement (Work Form B)
 - a) Identify criterion measures where program weaknesses (i.e., program shortcomings that fail to meet criterion measures, and received a rating of 0 or 1) were noted.
- 4) Recommend Areas for Unit Action (Work Form C)
 - a) Note items that need follow-up action for improvement and indicate what requires action.
 - b) This is the last form to be completed by the review team.
- 5) Prepare the Action Plan (Work Form D)
 - a) This step should be completed by the unit being reviewed.
 - b) Use the items requiring attention listed in Work Form C to formulate a brief action plan. The focus and intended outcomes of the next steps to be taken should be identified.
- 6) Write Program Action Plan (Work Form E)
 - a) List each specific action identified in the self-study that would enhance and strengthen services.
 - b) Determine the actions needed to improve for each practice.
 - c) Identify responsible parties to complete the action steps.
 - d) Set dates by which specific actions are to be completed.
- 7) Prepare Report
 - a) Prepare a comprehensive action plan for implementing program changes.
 - b) Identify resources (i.e., human, fiscal, physical) that are essential to program enhancement.
 - c) Set tentative start-up date for initiating a subsequent self-study.

Step F: Preparing a Report

To complete the process, a summary document should be produced that (a) explains the mission, purpose, and philosophy of the program; (b) reviews the outcome of the assessment; and (c) recommends specific plans for action.

In addition, depending on the report's audience, describe the process, evidence gathering, ratings, and evaluations, and summarize strengths and weaknesses.

Step G: Closing the Loop

Finally, to close the loop on a program's self-study process, functional area staff members must implement the recommended changes to enhance the quality of their program. In this final step, the staff endeavors to put action plans into practice. In some cases, there will be institutional politics to be navigated; continued support from functional area leaders remains essential. Staff members will want to work collectively to secure resources, identify barriers to implementation, and build stakeholder buy-in to the results. CAS recommends that closing the loop on a self-study process be integrated into regular staff meetings, individual supervision, trainings, and annual reports. A key to successfully using program review in post-secondary student services is weaving the entire process, from planning through taking action, into the fabric of the functional area, departmental, and divisional culture.

CAMPUS POLICE AND SECURITY PROGRAMS

CAS Self-Assessment Guide

Part 1: MISSION

Suggested Evidence and Documentation:

1. Current mission statement, brief description of how it was developed, and date of last review
2. Additional goals, values, and statements of purpose
3. Description and copies (if applicable) of where mission statement is disseminated (e.g., included in operating and personnel policies, procedures and/or handbook, hanging in office common space, on website, in strategic plan, and other promotional materials)
4. Institutional/divisional mission statements (e.g., map program mission to broader mission statements)
5. Any additional professional standards aligned with program/service (e.g., standards promoted by functional area organizations)
6. Institutional demographics, description of student population served, and information about community setting

Criterion Measures:

DNA	IE	0	1	2	3
Does Not Apply	Insufficient Evidence/ Unable to Rate	Does Not Meet	Partly Meets	Meets	Exceeds

1.1 Program Mission and Goals

- Campus Police and Security Programs (CPSP) provides a safe and orderly campus by enforcing the law, enforcing institutional and community standards, and fostering students' learning and development through the provision of safety education.
- CPSP goals address the institution's needs to maintain a safe and orderly campus, which include communicating and enforcing applicable laws and ordinances; collaborating with institutional agencies and programs to develop, disseminate, interpret, and enforce campus policies and procedures; protecting rights of students, employees, and other campus constituents in the administration of CPSP and law enforcement; responding fairly and consistently to student behavioral problems and violations of the law; facilitating and encouraging respect for the law, campus safety, and institutional governance; and initiating and encouraging educational activities that reduce violations of the law and campus regulations.

Rationale:

1.2 Mission Implementation and Review

- CPSP develops, disseminates, implements, and regularly reviews its mission.

Rationale:

1.3 Mission Statement

- The mission statement is consistent with that of the institution and with professional standards; is appropriate for student populations and community settings; and references learning and development.

Rationale:

Overview Questions:

1. How does the mission embrace student learning and development?
2. In what ways does the CPSP mission complement the mission of the institution?
3. To what extent is the mission used to guide practice?

Part 2: PROGRAM

Suggested Evidence and Documentation:

1. Program student learning and development outcomes, and brief description of how they were developed
2. List of current collaborations across the institution that facilitate student learning and development
3. Map of program activities and ways they connect to student learning and development outcomes
4. Map or report of outcome assessment activities, including results
5. Strategic plans program design and enhancement
6. Specifications or requirements (if applicable)

Criterion Measures:

DNA	IE	0	1	2	3
Does Not Apply	Insufficient Evidence/ Unable to Rate	Does Not Meet	Partly Meets	Meets	Exceeds

2.1 Program Contribution to Student Learning and Development

- Campus Police and Security Programs (CPSP) contributes to students' formal education (the curriculum and co-curriculum), learning, and development.
- CPSP contributes to students' progression toward and timely completion of educational goals and preparation for their careers, citizenship, and lives.
- CPSP identifies relevant and desirable student learning and development outcomes that align with the CAS Learning and Development Outcomes and related domains and dimensions.

Rationale:

2.2 Assessment of Learning and Development

- CPSP engages in outcomes assessment, documents evidence of its impact, and articulates the role it plays in student learning and success.
- CPSP uses evidence to create strategies for improvement of programs.

Rationale:

2.3 Program Design

- CPSP bases its work on intentional student learning and development outcomes.
- CPSP reflects developmental and demographic profiles of the student population and responds to needs of individuals, populations with distinct needs, and relevant constituencies.
- The program is delivered using multiple formats, strategies, and contexts and is designed to provide universal access.

Rationale:

2.4 Collaboration

- CPSP collaborates with others across the institution in ways that benefit students.

Rationale:

2.5 Agency Authority

- CPSP establishes authority and policies within the context of the mission and purpose, as well as disseminates a written statement describing the authority, philosophy, jurisdiction, and procedures of the CPSP to members of the campus community.
- If an institution provides a CPSP that is maintained and operated by the institution as a separate internal agency, it clearly identifies the authority of this agency, determines the levels of authority within the agency, and determines whether the agency includes sworn police officers, non-sworn security personnel, or some combination of both.
- If an institution contracts with an external non-police agency (e.g., a private security company), it clearly identifies the authority, responsibility, and limits of this agency and its personnel; makes this information available to employees, students, and visitors; and identifies the institutional employee to whom this agency and its personnel report.
- The institution informs local law enforcement agencies of the authority, responsibility, and limits of any contracted agency and its personnel.
- If an institution contracts with a local law enforcement agency for police and security services on campus, it clearly identifies any additional or extraordinary responsibilities of these personnel while working on behalf of the institution, provides training to these personnel regarding their responsibility for enforcement of institutional policy, and identifies an institutional employee to whom this agency and its personnel report while working on behalf of the institution.

Rationale:

Overview Questions:

1. What are the most significant student learning and development outcomes of CPSP?
2. What difference does CPSP make for students who engage with it?
3. What is the demonstrated impact of CPSP on student learning, development, and success?
4. How has collaboration in program development and delivery affected its impact or outcomes?
5. What changes or adjustments have been made as a result of assessment activities?

Part 3: ORGANIZATION AND LEADERSHIP

Suggested Evidence and Documentation:

1. Program goals and outcomes
2. Operating policies, procedures and/or handbook
3. Personnel and student handbook(s), policies and procedures, and organizational chart(s)
4. Personnel position descriptions, expectations, and performance review templates
5. Periodic reports, contracts, and personnel memos
6. Annual reports by program leaders
7. Program leader resumes, including additional professional involvement
8. Strategic and operating plans
9. Needs assessment of program constituents
10. Report of professional development activities

Criterion Measures:

DNA	IE	0	1	2	3
Does Not Apply	Insufficient Evidence/ Unable to Rate	Does Not Meet	Partly Meets	Meets	Exceeds

3.1 Organization Documents

- Campus Police and Security Programs (CPSP) has clearly stated and current goals and outcomes, policies and procedures, descriptions of personnel responsibilities and expectations, and clear organizational charts.

Rationale:

3.2 Actions of Leaders

- Leaders model ethical behavior and institutional citizenship.
- Leaders with organizational authority provide strategic planning, management and supervision, and program advancement.

Rationale:

3.3 Strategic Planning

- CPSP leaders articulate a vision and mission, as well as set goals and objectives based on the needs of populations served, intended student learning and development outcomes, and program outcomes.
- CPSP leaders facilitate continuous development, implementation, and assessment of effectiveness and goal attainment congruent with institutional mission and strategic plans.
- CPSP leaders promote environments that provide meaningful opportunities for student learning, development, and engagement.
- CPSP leaders develop, adapt, and improve programs and services for populations served and institutional priorities.
- CPSP leaders include diverse perspectives to inform decision making.

Rationale:

3.4 Management

- CPSP leaders plan, allocate, and monitor the use of fiscal, physical, human, intellectual, and technological resources.
- CPSP leaders manage human resource processes including recruitment, selection, performance planning, and succession planning.
- CPSP leaders use evidence to inform decisions, incorporate sustainability practices, understand and integrate appropriate technologies, and are knowledgeable about relevant codes and laws.
- CPSP leaders assess and take action to mitigate potential risks.

Rationale:

3.5 Supervision

- CPSP leaders manage human resource processes including professional development, supervision,

evaluation, recognition, and reward.

- CPSP leaders empower personnel to become effective leaders and to contribute to the effectiveness and success of the unit.
- CPSP leaders encourage and support collaboration across the institution and scholarly contributions to the profession.
- CPSP leaders identify and address individual, organizational, and environmental conditions that foster or inhibit mission achievement.

Rationale:

3.6 Program Advancement

- CPSP leaders advocate for and actively promote the mission and goals of the programs and services.
- CPSP leaders inform stakeholders about issues affecting practice.
- CPSP leaders facilitate processes to reach consensus where wide support is needed.
- CPSP leaders advocate for representation in strategic planning initiatives at divisional and institutional levels.

Rationale:

3.7 Reporting Structure

- A member of the campus community who possesses appropriate training and experience is designated as the person to whom the CPSP reports.

Rationale:

Overview Questions:

1. Explain the extent to which CPSP leader(s) are viewed as and held responsible for advancing the departmental mission.
2. Explain the opportunities and limitations present for CPSP leader(s) as they seek to fulfill the program mission.
3. How do CPSP leaders advance the organization?
4. How do CPSP leaders encourage collaboration across the institution?
5. How are CPSP leaders accountable for their performance?
6. How have CPSP leaders empowered personnel and engaged stakeholders?

Part 4: HUMAN RESOURCES

Suggested Evidence and Documentation:

1. Program mission, goals, and outcomes
2. Operating policy and procedure manuals/statements for program and institution
3. Organizational chart(s)
4. Personnel handbook, position descriptions (including student employees, volunteers, and graduate students), expectations, and performance review templates
5. Annual reports, including data on student utilization and staff-to-student ratios
6. Association or benchmark reports on operations and staffing
7. Student and staff personnel profiles or resumes, including demographic characteristics, educational background, and previous experience
8. Reports on personnel, including student employees and volunteers, employment experiences

9. Training agendas and schedules
10. Statement of staffing philosophy
11. Professional development activities
12. Minutes from staff meetings at which human resources related standards were discussed and addressed

Criterion Measures:

DNA	IE	0	1	2	3
Does Not Apply	Insufficient Evidence/ Unable to Rate	Does Not Meet	Partly Meets	Meets	Exceeds

- 4.1 Adequate Staffing and Support
- Campus Police and Security Programs (CPSP) is staffed adequately to accomplish mission and goals.
 - CPSP has access to technical and support personnel adequate to accomplish the mission.

Rationale:

- 4.2 Recruitment, Supervision, and Professional Development
- CPSP establishes procedures and expectations for personnel recruitment and selection, training, supervision, performance, and evaluation.
 - CPSP provides personnel access to education and professional development opportunities to improve their competence, skills, and leadership capacity.
 - CPSP considers work/life options available to personnel to promote recruitment and retention.

Rationale:

- 4.3 Employment Practices
- Administrators of CPSP maintain personnel position descriptions, implement recruitment and hiring strategies that produce an inclusive workforce, and develop promotion practices that are fair, inclusive, proactive, and non-discriminatory.
 - Personnel responsible for delivery of programs and services have written performance goals, objectives, and outcomes for each year's performance cycle to be used to plan, review, and evaluate work and performance and update them regularly.
 - Results of individual personnel evaluations are used to recognize personnel performance, address performance issues, implement individual and/or collective personnel development and training programs, and inform the assessment of programs and services.

Rationale:

- 4.4 Personnel Training
- Personnel, including student employees and volunteers, receive appropriate and thorough training when hired and throughout their employment.
 - Personnel have access to resources or receive specific training on institutional and governmental policies; procedures and laws pertaining to functions or activities they support; privacy and confidentiality; access to student records; sensitive institutional information; ethical and legal uses of technology; and technology used to store or access student records and institutional data.
 - Personnel are trained on how and when to refer those in need of additional assistance to qualified personnel.

- Personnel are trained on systems and technologies necessary to perform their assigned responsibilities.
- Personnel engage in continuing professional development activities to keep abreast of research, theories, legislation, policies, and developments that affect programs and services.
- Administrators ensure that personnel are knowledgeable about and trained in safety, emergency procedures, and crisis prevention and response, including identification of threatening conduct or behavior, and incorporate a system for responding to and reporting such behaviors.
- Personnel are knowledgeable of and trained in safety and emergency procedures for securing and vacating facilities.

Rationale:

4.5 Preparation Tailored to CPSP

- Initial training for CPSP personnel includes police-related training (i.e., same as or equal to training for personnel of other police agencies); review of constitutional and relevant individual and institutional legal rights and responsibilities; and policies on the use and carry of weapons; offensive and defensive weapons training (if authorized to be carried).
- Initial training for CPSP personnel provides information on the CPSP organization, CPSP authority, and informal and formal agreements with local law enforcement agencies off campus.
- The needs of the agency are addressed and documented, and there is accountability for all training provided.
- CPSP agencies that provide security services and CPSP personnel who do not provide policing duties (e.g., security and other non-sworn personnel) are appropriately trained on their responsibilities, and this training may differ from police training.
- CPSP personnel who may be involved in the student conduct process are provided with an overview and explanation of institutional student conduct policies and procedures, including levels of the student conduct process (including authority and jurisdiction); institutional philosophy on student conduct and CPSP role in the process; roles and functions of student conduct bodies and members; and sanctions.
- CPSP personnel are trained on institutional policies and privacy laws regarding access to student records and other sensitive institutional information (e.g., in the U.S., the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act); differences between “education records” and “law enforcement records;” ethics regarding privacy of student disciplinary records, addressing bias, and conflict of interest in the student conduct process; available personal counseling programs and referral resources; conditions and interactions that may involve external enforcement officials, attorneys, witnesses, parents of accused students, and the media; and developmental and interpersonal issues likely to arise among college students.

Rationale:

4.6 Professional Personnel

- Professional personnel either hold an earned graduate or professional degree in a field relevant to their position or possess an appropriate confirmation of educational credentials and related work experience.

Rationale:

4.7 Interns and Graduate Assistants

- Degree- or credential-seeking interns or graduate assistants are qualified by enrollment in an appropriate field of study and by relevant experience.
- Degree- or credential-seeking interns or graduate assistants are trained and supervised by professional personnel who possess applicable educational credentials and work experience, have supervisory experience and are cognizant of the dual roles of interns and graduate assistants as students and employees.
- Supervisors of interns or graduate assistants adhere to parameters of students' job descriptions, articulate intended learning outcomes in student job descriptions, adhere to agreed-upon work hours and schedules, and offer flexible scheduling when circumstances necessitate.
- Supervisors and students both agree to suitable compensation if circumstances necessitate additional hours.

Rationale:

4.8 Student Employees and Volunteers

- Student employees and volunteers are carefully selected, trained, supervised, and evaluated; have access to a supervisor; and are provided clear job descriptions, pre-service training based on assessed needs, and continuing development.

Rationale:

Overview Questions:

1. In what ways are personnel qualifications examined, performance evaluated, and personnel recognized for exemplary performance?
2. How are professional development efforts designed, how do they support achievement of CPSP mission, and how do they prepare and educate staff on relevant information?
3. How has the staffing model been developed to ensure successful program operations?
4. Describe CPSP philosophy toward engaging graduate interns and assistants, and student employees and volunteers in the program human resource pool.

Part 5: ETHICS

Suggested Evidence and Documentation:

1. Program code or statement of ethics
2. Ethics statements from relevant functional area professional associations
3. Personnel policies, procedures and/or handbook
4. Student code of conduct
5. Operating policies and procedures related to human subjects research (Institutional Review Board, IRB)
6. Minutes from meetings during which staff reviewed and discussed ethics

Criterion Measures:

DNA	IE	0	1	2	3
Does Not Apply	Insufficient Evidence/ Unable to Rate	Does Not Meet	Partly Meets	Meets	Exceeds

5.1 Ethical Standards

- Campus Police and Security Programs (CPSP) reviews applicable professional ethical standards and adopts or develops and implements appropriate statements of ethical practice.
- CPSP publishes and adheres to statements of ethical practice, ensures their periodic review, and orients new personnel to relevant statements of ethical practice and related institutional policies.

Rationale:

5.2 Statement of Ethical Standards

- Statements of ethical standards specify that CPSP personnel respect privacy and maintain confidentiality in communications and records as delineated by privacy laws.
- Statements of ethical standards specify limits on disclosure of information contained in students' records as well as requirements to disclose to appropriate authorities.
- Statements of ethical standards address conflicts of interest, or appearance thereof, by personnel in the performance of their work and reflect the responsibility of personnel to be fair, objective, and impartial in their interactions with others.
- Statements of ethical standards reference management of institutional funds, appropriate behavior regarding research and assessment with human participants, confidentiality of research and assessment data, students' rights and responsibilities, and issues surrounding scholarly integrity.
- Statements of ethical standards include the expectation that personnel confront and hold accountable other personnel who exhibit unethical behavior.

Rationale:

5.3 Ethical Obligations

- CPSP personnel employ ethical decision making in the performance of their duties.
- CPSP personnel inform users of programs and services of ethical obligations and limitations emanating from codes and laws or from licensure requirements.
- CPSP personnel recognize and avoid conflicts of interest that could adversely influence their judgment or objectivity and, when unavoidable, recuse themselves from the situation.
- CPSP personnel perform their duties within the scope of their position, training, expertise, and competence and make referrals when issues presented exceed the scope of the position.

Rationale:

Overview Questions:

1. What is CPSP's strategy for managing student and personnel confidentiality and privacy issues?
2. How are ethical dilemmas and conflicts of interest identified and addressed?
3. How are ethics incorporated into the daily management and decision-making processes of CPSP?

Part 6: LAW, POLICY, AND GOVERNANCE

Suggested Evidence and Documentation:

1. Emergency procedures
2. Operating policies and procedures
3. Personnel policies, procedures and/or handbook
4. Institutional codes of conduct
5. Contracts
6. Copies of related laws and legal obligations

7. Resources of professional liability insurance

Criterion Measures:

DNA	IE	0	1	2	3
Does Not Apply	Insufficient Evidence/ Unable to Rate	Does Not Meet	Partly Meets	Meets	Exceeds

6.1 Legal Obligations and Responsibilities

- Campus Police and Security Programs (CPSP) is in compliance with laws, regulations, and policies that relate to their respective responsibilities and that pose legal obligations, limitations, risks, and liabilities for the institution as a whole.
- CPSP has access to legal advice needed for personnel to carry out their assigned responsibilities.
- CPSP informs personnel, appropriate officials, and users of programs and services about existing and changing legal obligations, risks and liabilities, and limitations.
- CPSP informs personnel about professional liability insurance options and refers them to external sources if the institution does not provide coverage.

Rationale:

6.2 Policies and Procedures

- CPSP has written policies and procedures on operations, transactions, or tasks that have legal implications.
- CPSP regularly reviews policies that are informed by best practices, available evidence, and policy issues in higher education.
- CPSP has procedures, systems and guidelines consistent with institutional policy for responding to threats, emergencies, and crisis situations and disseminates timely and accurate information to students, other members of the institutional community, and appropriate external organizations during emergency situations.
- CPSP procedures and processes ensure that all relevant legal requirements are met and, if within a private institution, how private status impacts the institution with regard to law enforcement.
- CPSP maintains records of patrol activities, actions taken by CPSP personnel, information for a campus crime log, evidence to be used by CPSP personnel in law enforcement activities, and student conduct proceedings on campus.

Rationale:

6.3 Harassment and Hostile Environments

- CPSP personnel neither participate in nor condone any form of harassment or activity that demeans persons or creates an intimidating, hostile, or offensive environment.

Rationale:

6.4 Copyright Compliance

- CPSP purchases or obtains permission to use copyrighted materials and instruments and includes appropriate citations on materials and instruments.

Rationale:

6.5 Governance

- CPSP informs personnel about internal and external governance organizations that affect programs and services.

Rationale:

6.6 Institutional Policies and Definitions

- The institution’s policies regarding the administration of applicable laws are clearly described in writing, including CPSP personnel arrest authority; circumstances under which, and by whom, weapons will be carried by CPSP personnel; roles of various CPSP personnel; a formal or informal agreement with local law enforcement personnel regarding jurisdiction; policies regarding campus crime reports, crime logs, and other required documentation; and threat assessment procedures.
- The institution clearly defines or states
 - CPSP jurisdiction to include whether officers have authority beyond the borders of the campus, policy addressing the pursuit of suspects who leave the campus, areas of patrol for CPSP personnel, and relationship of the CPSP with local law enforcement agencies beyond the surrounding community.
 - the legal issues and conduct regulations that apply to student organizations, procedures for the enforcement of cases related to student organizations, and guidelines used to determine if actions of individual members or small groups within an organization constitute action by the organization.
 - the legal issues and conduct regulations that apply off campus and which are, as a result, the responsibility of CPSP personnel. These include dealing with individual students and dealing with off-campus enforcement at residential facilities owned and operated by student organizations.
 - A policy about CPSP protocols for the use of video surveillance.

Rationale:

Overview Questions:

1. What are the crucial legal, policy and, governance issues faced by CPSP, and how are they addressed?
2. How are personnel instructed, advised, or assisted with legal, policy, and governance concerns?
3. How are personnel informed about internal and external governance systems?

Part 7: DIVERSITY, EQUITY, AND ACCESS

Suggested Evidence and Documentation:

1. Diversity statements
2. Goals and objectives related to diversity, equity, and access
3. Training plans and agendas for personnel
4. Lists of programs and curriculums related to diversity, equity, and access
5. Personnel policies, procedures, and/or handbook (specifically statements against harassment or discrimination)
6. Facilities audit
7. Assessment results such as participation rates, demographics, campus climate, and student needs

Criterion Measures:

DNA	IE	0	1	2	3
Does Not Apply	Insufficient Evidence/	Does Not Meet	Partly Meets	Meets	Exceeds

	Unable to Rate				
--	----------------	--	--	--	--

7.1 Inclusive Work Environments

- Campus Police and Security Programs (CPSP) creates and maintains educational work environments that are welcoming, accessible, inclusive, equitable, and free from harassment.
- CPSP does not discriminate on the basis of ability; age; cultural identity; ethnicity; family educational history; gender identity and expression; nationality; political affiliation; race; religious affiliation; sex; sexual orientation; economic, marital, social, or veteran status; or any other basis included in institutional policies and codes and laws.

Rationale:

7.2 Structural Aspects of Equity, Access, and Inclusion

- CPSP ensures physical, program, and resource access for all constituents; modifies or removes policies, practices, systems, technologies, facilities, and structures that create barriers or produce inequities; and ensures that when facilities and structures cannot be modified, they do not impede access.
- CPSP responds to the needs of all constituents served when establishing hours of operation and developing methods of delivering programs, services, and resources.
- CPSP recognizes the needs of distance and online learning students by directly providing or assisting them to gain access to comparable services and resources.

Rationale:

7.3 Ensuring Diversity, Equity, and Access

- CPSP advocates for sensitivity to multicultural and social justice concerns by the institution and its personnel.
- CPSP establishes goals for diversity, equity, and access; fosters communication and practices that enhance understanding of identity, culture, self-expression, and heritage; and promotes respect for commonalities and differences among people within their historical and cultural contexts.
- CPSP addresses the characteristics and needs of diverse constituents when establishing and implementing culturally relevant and inclusive programs, services, policies, procedures, and practices.
- CPSP provides personnel with diversity, equity, and access training and holds personnel accountable for applying the training to their work.

Rationale:

Overview Questions:

1. How does CPSP ensure constituents experience a welcoming, accessible, and inclusive environment that is equitable and free from harassment?
2. How does CPSP address imbalance in participation among selected populations of students?
3. How does CPSP address imbalance in staffing patterns among selected populations of program personnel?
4. How does CPSP ensure cultural competence of its personnel to ensure inclusion in the program?
5. How does CPSP encourage and provide opportunities for ongoing professional development for its personnel?

Part 8: INTERNAL AND EXTERNAL RELATIONS

Suggested Evidence and Documentation:

1. Promotional material (brochures/sources of information about the program, catalogs, brochures, staff and student handbooks)
2. Media procedures and guidelines
3. List and description of relationships with internal and external partners
4. Minutes from meetings/interactions with key stakeholders

Criterion Measures:

DNA	IE	0	1	2	3
Does Not Apply	Insufficient Evidence/ Unable to Rate	Does Not Meet	Partly Meets	Meets	Exceeds

8.1 Internal and External Populations

- Campus Police and Security Programs (CPSP) reaches out to internal and external populations to establish, maintain, and promote understanding and effective relations with those that have a significant interest in or potential effect on the students or other constituents served by the programs and services.
- CPSP reaches out to internal and external populations to garner support and resources for programs and services, collaborate in offering or improving programs and services to meet the needs of students and other constituents and to achieve program and student outcomes, and engage diverse individuals, groups, communities, and organizations to enrich the educational environment and experiences of students and other constituents.
- CPSP reaches out to internal and external populations to disseminate information about the programs and services.

Rationale:

8.2 Marketing

- Promotional and descriptive information is accurate and free of deception and misrepresentation.

Rationale:

8.3 Procedures and Guidelines

- CPSP has procedures and guidelines consistent with institutional policy to communicate with the media; distribute information through print, broadcast, and online sources; contract with external organizations for delivery of programs and services; cultivate, solicit, and manage gifts; and apply to and manage funds from grants.

Rationale:

Overview Questions:

1. With which relevant individuals, campus offices, and external agencies must CPSP maintain effective relations? Why are these relationships important, and how are they mutually beneficial?
2. How does CPSP maintain effective relationships with program constituents?

3. How does CPSP assess the effectiveness of its relations with individuals, campus offices and external agencies?

Part 9: FINANCIAL RESOURCES

Suggested Evidence and Documentation:

1. Budgets and the budget process
2. Financial statements and audit reports
3. Student fee process and allocation (if applicable)
4. Financial statements for grants, gifts, and other external resources

Criterion Measures:

DNA	IE	0	1	2	3
Does Not Apply	Insufficient Evidence/ Unable to Rate	Does Not Meet	Partly Meets	Meets	Exceeds

- 9.1 Adequate Funding
- Campus Police and Security Programs (CPSP) has funding to accomplish its mission and goals.

Rationale:

- 9.2 Financial Planning and Implementation
- CPSP conducts a comprehensive analysis to determine unmet needs, relevant expenditures, external and internal resources, and impact on students and the institution.
 - CPSP uses the budget as a planning tool to reflect commitment to the mission and goals of the programs and services and of the institution.
 - Financial reports provide an accurate financial overview of the organization and provide clear, understandable, and timely data upon which personnel can plan and make informed decisions.

Rationale:

- 9.3 Policies, Procedures, and Protocols
- CPSP administers funds in accordance with established institutional accounting procedures.
 - CPSP demonstrates efficient and effective use and responsible stewardship of fiscal resources consistent with institutional protocols.
 - Procurement procedures are consistent with institutional policies, ensure purchases comply with laws and codes for usability and access, ensure the institution receives value for the funds spent, and consider information available for comparing the ethical and environmental impact of products and services purchased.

Rationale:

Overview Questions:

1. What is the funding strategy for CPSP, and why is this the most appropriate approach?
2. How does CPSP ensure fiscal responsibility, responsible stewardship, and cost-effectiveness?
3. If applicable, how does CPSP go about increasing financial resources?

Part 10: TECHNOLOGY

Suggested Evidence and Documentation:

1. Technology policies and procedures
2. Equipment inventory

Criterion Measures:

DNA	IE	0	1	2	3
Does Not Apply	Insufficient Evidence/ Unable to Rate	Does Not Meet	Partly Meets	Meets	Exceeds

10.1 Current and Adequate Technology

- Campus Police and Security Programs (CPSP) has adequate technology to support achievement of its mission and goals.
- Use of technology complies with institutional policies and procedures and relevant codes and laws.

Rationale:

10.2 Use of Technology

- CPSP uses current technology to provide updated information regarding mission, location, staffing, programs, services, and official contacts to students and other constituents in accessible formats.
- CPSP uses current technology to provide an avenue for students and other constituents to communicate sensitive information in a secure format, and enhance the delivery of programs and services for all students.

Rationale:

10.3 Data Protection and Upgrades

- CPSP backs up data on a regular basis.
- CPSP articulates and adheres to policies and procedures regarding ethical and legal use of technology, as well as for protecting the confidentiality and security of information.
- CPSP implements a replacement plan and cycle for all technology with attention to sustainability and incorporates accessibility features into technology-based programs and services.

Rationale:

10.4 Student Technology Access

- CPSP has policies on student use of technology that are clear, easy to understand, and available to all students.
- CPSP provides information or referral to support services for those needing assistance in accessing or using technology, provides instruction or training on how to use the technology, and informs students of implications of misuse of technologies.

Rationale:

Overview Questions:

1. How is technology inventoried, maintained, and updated?
2. How is information security maintained?

3. How does CPSP ensure that relevant technology is available for all who are served by the program?
4. How does CPSP use technology to enhance the delivery of programs, resources, services and overall operations?
5. How does CPSP utilize technology to foster its learning outcomes?

Part 11: FACILITIES AND EQUIPMENT

Suggested Evidence and Documentation:

1. Equipment inventory
2. Facilities audit and plans for renovations, additions, and enhancements
3. Capital projects, if applicable
4. Structural design or maps to show space allocation
5. Images of the space

Criterion Measures:

DNA	IE	0	1	2	3
Does Not Apply	Insufficient Evidence/ Unable to Rate	Does Not Meet	Partly Meets	Meets	Exceeds

- 11.1 Design of Facilities
- Campus Police and Security Programs (CPSP) facilities are intentionally designed and located in suitable, accessible, and safe spaces that demonstrate universal design and support the program’s mission and goals.
 - Facilities are designed to engage various constituents and promote learning.
 - The design of the facilities guarantees the security and privacy of records and ensures the confidentiality of sensitive information and conversations.

Rationale:

- 11.2 Work Space
- Personnel have workspaces that are suitably located and accessible, well equipped, adequate in size, and designed to support their work and responsibilities.
 - Personnel are able to secure their work.

Rationale:

- 11.3 Equipment Acquisition and Facilities Use
- CPSP incorporates sustainable practices in use of facilities and purchase of equipment.
 - Facilities and equipment are evaluated on an established cycle and are in compliance with codes, laws, and accepted practices for access, health, safety, and security.
 - When acquiring capital equipment, CPSP takes into account expenses related to regular maintenance and life-cycle costs.

Rationale:

Overview Questions:

1. How are facilities inventoried and maintained?
2. How does CPSP integrate sustainable practices?

3. How does CPSP ensure that facilities, workspaces, and equipment are considered in decision-making?
4. How is CPSP intentional about space allocation and usage?

Part 12: ASSESSMENT

Suggested Evidence and Documentation:

1. Program goals, key indicators, outcomes, and related assessment data
2. Program student learning and development outcomes and related assessment data
3. Description of assessment cycle
4. Assessment plans and annual assessment reports
5. Minutes of meetings at which assessment activities and results discussed
6. Professional development activities to improve assessment competence

Criterion Measures:

DNA	IE	0	1	2	3
Does Not Apply	Insufficient Evidence/ Unable to Rate	Does Not Meet	Partly Meets	Meets	Exceeds

12.1 Assessment Plan and Practice

- Campus Police and Security Programs (CPSP) develops an ongoing cycle of assessment plans, processes, and activities.
- CPSP identifies programmatic goals and intended program outcomes as well as outcomes for student learning and development.
- CPSP documents progress toward achievement of goals and outcomes.
- CPSP employs multiple measures, methods, and manageable processes for gathering, interpreting, and evaluating data.
- CPSP employs ethical practices in the assessment process.
- CPSP has access to adequate fiscal, human, professional development, and technological resources to develop and implement assessment plans.

Rationale:

12.2 Reporting and Implementing Results

- CPSP interprets and uses assessment results to demonstrate accountability and inform planning and decision-making.
- CPSP reports aggregated results to respondent groups and stakeholders.
- CPSP assesses effectiveness of implemented changes and provides evidence of improvement of programs and services.

Rationale:

Overview Questions:

1. What is the comprehensive assessment strategy for CPSP?
2. What are priorities of the assessment program, and how are those developed?
3. How does CPSP integrate assessment and evaluation into all aspects of daily operations (e.g., advising, event planning)?
4. How are tangible, measurable learning and program outcomes determined to ensure CPSP achievement of mission and goals?

5. How effective is the assessment strategy in demonstrating goal achievement and student learning?
6. How does CPSP use assessment results to inform program improvement?
7. How does CPSP share assessment results with relevant constituencies?
8. How does CPSP support ongoing development of assessment competencies for personnel?

*General Standards revised in 2014;
CPSP content developed in 2012*

Work Form A – Rating Discrepancies

INSTRUCTIONS:

This work form should be completed following a review of the individual ratings of the team members. Item numbers for which there is a substantial rating discrepancy should be discussed before completing the remaining work forms. Discrepancies among ratings should be identified, discussed, and reconciled for consensus.

Part	Discrepancies	Resolution/Final Decision
1. Mission		
2. Program		
3. Organization and Leadership		
4. Human Resources		
5. Ethics		
6. Law, Policy, and Governance		
7. Diversity, Equity, and Access		
8. Internal and External Relations		
9. Financial Resources		
10. Technology		
11. Facilities and Equipment		
12. Assessment		

Work Form B – Strengths and Areas for Improvement

INSTRUCTIONS:

This work form should be completed following a review of the individual ratings of the team members. Examine the ratings of each criterion measure by the team members, and record the following in the form below:

- Strengths: Item number(s) for which all participants have given a rating of 3, indicating agreement that the criterion *exceeds* the standard.
- Areas for Improvement: Item number(s) for which all participants have given a rating of 0 or 1, indicating agreement that the criterion *does not meet* or *partly meets* the standard. Items rated IE for *insufficient evidence/unable to rate* should be listed here as well.

Note – Items not listed in one of these categories represent consensus among the raters that practice in that area is satisfactory, having been rated a 2, which indicates agreement that the criterion *meets* the standard.

Part	Strengths: Items that exceed the standard (consensus ratings = 3)	Areas for Improvement: Items that do not meet or partly meet the standard (consensus ratings = 0, 1)
1. Mission		
2. Program		
3. Organization and Leadership		
4. Human Resources		
5. Ethics		
6. Law, Policy, and Governance		
7. Diversity, Equity, and Access		
8. Internal and External Relations		
9. Financial Resources		

10. Technology		
11. Facilities and Equipment		
12. Assessment		

Work Form C – Recommendations for Unit Action

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the last form to be completed by the review team. List the items needing follow-up action for improvement and indicate what requires attention. The team or coordinator should consider including any criterion measure rated as being not met by the reviewers, as well as those with significant discrepancies that are not resolved by team discussion.

Part	Item Requiring Attention
1. Mission	
2. Program	
3. Organization and Leadership	
4. Human Resources	
5. Ethics	
6. Law, Policy, and Governance	
7. Diversity, Equity, and Access	
8. Internal and External Relations	
9. Financial Resources	
10. Technology	
11. Facilities and Equipment	
12. Assessment	

Work Form D – Beginning the Action Plan

INSTRUCTIONS:

This work form is for use by the staff of the unit being reviewed and is the first step in identifying the actions to be taken as a consequence of study results. Using the Items Requiring Attention listed in Work Form C, write a brief action plan that identifies the focus and intended outcomes of the next steps in to be taken in each area.

Part 1. Mission

Part 2. Program

Part 3. Organization and Leadership

Part 4. Human Resources

Part 5. Ethics

Part 6. Law, Policy, and Governance

Part 7. Diversity, Equity, and Access

Part 8. Internal and External Relations

Part 9. Financial Resources

Part 10. Technology

Part 11. Facilities and Equipment

Part 12. Assessment

Work Form E – Action Plan

INSTRUCTIONS:

Using this work form, the unit staff will turn the summary of areas to be addressed identified by the review team (Work Form D) into a specific plan of action. After reviewing the information provided in Work Forms B and C, unit staff teams should describe practices in need of improvement, the actions to be taken, the individual responsible, and the timeline for achieving compliance with the standard.

Current Practice Description	Corrective Action Needed	Task Assigned To	Timeline/ Due Dates

CAMPUS POLICE AND SECURITY PROGRAMS

CAS Standards and Guidelines

Part 1. MISSION

Campus Police and Security Programs (CPSP) serve to provide a safe and orderly campus by enforcing the **law, enforcing institutional and community standards, and fostering students' learning and development** through the provision of safety education.

CPSP must develop, disseminate, implement, and regularly review their missions, which must be consistent with the mission of the institution and with applicable professional standards. The mission must be appropriate for the institution's students and other constituents. Mission statements must reference student learning and development.

CPSP must develop goals that address the institution's needs to maintain a safe and orderly campus:

- communicate and enforce applicable laws and ordinances
- collaborate with appropriate institutional agencies and programs to develop, disseminate, interpret, and enforce campus policies and procedures
- protect rights of students, employees, pre-college program participants, and campus visitors in the administration of campus police and security programs and enforcement of the law
- respond to student behavioral problems and violations of the law in a fair and consistent manner
- facilitate and encourage respect for the law, campus safety, and institutional governance
- initiate and encourage educational activities that serve to reduce violations of the law and of campus regulations

CPSP should encourage appropriate individual and group behavior as well as serve the campus community by reducing disruption, harm, and violation of institutional policy and the law.

CPSP should be conducted in ways that will serve to foster the ethical development and personal integrity of students and promote an environment that is consistent with the overall educational goals of the institution.

Part 2. PROGRAM

To achieve their mission, Campus Police and Security Programs (CPSP) must contribute to

- students' formal education, which includes both the curriculum and the co-curriculum
- student progression and timely completion of educational goals
- preparation of students for their careers, citizenship, and lives
- student learning and development

To contribute to student learning and development, CPSP must

- identify relevant and desirable student learning and development outcomes
- articulate how the student learning and development outcomes align with the six CAS student learning and development domains and related dimensions
- assess relevant and desirable student learning and development

- provide evidence of impact on outcomes
- articulate contributions to or support of student learning and development in the domains not specifically assessed
- use evidence gathered to create strategies for improvement of programs and services

STUDENT LEARNING AND DEVELOPMENT DOMAINS AND DIMENSIONS

Domain: knowledge acquisition, integration, construction, and application

- Dimensions: understanding knowledge from a range of disciplines; connecting knowledge to other knowledge, ideas, and experiences; constructing knowledge; and relating knowledge to daily life

Domain: cognitive complexity

- Dimensions: critical thinking, reflective thinking, effective reasoning, and creativity

Domain: intrapersonal development

- Dimensions: realistic self-appraisal, self-understanding, and self-respect; identity development; commitment to ethics and integrity; and spiritual awareness

Domain: interpersonal competence

- Dimensions: meaningful relationships, interdependence, collaboration, and effective leadership

Domain: humanitarianism and civic engagement

- Dimensions: understanding and appreciation of cultural and human differences, social responsibility, global perspective, and sense of civic responsibility

Domain: practical competence

- Dimensions: pursuing goals, communicating effectively, technical competence, managing personal affairs, managing career development, demonstrating professionalism, maintaining health and wellness, and living a purposeful and satisfying life

[LD Outcomes: See *The Council for the Advancement of Standards Learning and Development Outcomes* statement for examples of outcomes related to these domains and dimensions.]

CPSP must be

- intentionally designed
- guided by theories and knowledge of learning and development
- integrated into the life of the institution
- reflective of developmental and demographic profiles of the student population
- responsive to needs of individuals, populations with distinct needs, and relevant constituencies

- delivered using multiple formats, strategies, and contexts
- designed to provide universal access

CPSP must collaborate with colleagues and departments across the institution to promote student learning and development, persistence, and success.

CPSP must establish authority and policies within the context of the mission and purpose. A written statement describing the authority, philosophy, jurisdiction, and procedures of the CPSP must be developed and disseminated to all members of the campus community.

This CPSP statement should address

- the jurisdiction
- the authority
- the distinction between security and policing responsibilities
- the relationship between campus police and security programs and external law enforcement agencies with a clear description, including guidelines regarding when external law enforcement authorities will be called in
- the relationship that campus police and security programs have with institutional student conduct programs
- the impact of the “Clery Act” in the USA and other laws governing CPSP operations
- the CPSP intention to respond to and protect the constitutional or contractual rights of members of the community and the community itself

The institutional policy statement should

- describe whether the CPSP is a police agency, a campus security agency, or some combination thereof
- indicate whether the institution contracts with a security agency or a local law enforcement agency to provide services to the campus or whether the CPSP is a branch of the institution
- applicable laws, ordinances, or policies that govern the CPSP operations

If an institution chooses, or is required by law, to provide a CPSP that is maintained and operated by the institution as a separate internal agency, it must

- clearly identify the authority of this agency
- determine the levels of authority within the agency (e.g., ranks of officers, supervisory structure, task assignments)
- determine whether the agency will include sworn police officers, non-sworn security personnel, or some combination of both.

Roles and functions of CPSP personnel who operate within the institution as a separate agency, an external non-police agency, or a local law enforcement agency may include

- patrolling campus and surrounding property
- enforcing applicable laws
- enforcing institutional policy to include participation in the student conduct system
- investigating violations of law or institutional policy
- enforcing institutional traffic and parking regulations
- examining and ensuring the integrity and safety of institutional facilities

- advising students and others on their rights and responsibilities
- providing institutional safety and crime awareness programs for members of the community and external entities
- maintaining accurate records of all actions.

A CPSP officer may be assigned responsibility for training student conduct board members and other student conduct personnel regarding laws and policies related to the institution and for informing faculty, administration, and staff about legal and disciplinary matters.

If an institution chooses to contract with an external non-police agency such as a private security company, it must clearly identify the authority, responsibility, and limits of this agency and its personnel. The institution must make this information available to employees, students, and visitors and identify the institutional employee to whom this agency and its personnel report.

The institution must inform local law enforcement agencies of the authority, responsibility, and limits of any contracted agency and its personnel.

If an institution contracts with a local law enforcement agency to provide police and security services on campus, it must

- clearly identify any additional or extraordinary responsibilities of these personnel while working on behalf of the institution
- provide training to these personnel regarding their responsibility for enforcement of institutional policy
- identify an institutional employee to whom this agency and its personnel report while working on behalf of the institution.

Part 3. ORGANIZATION AND LEADERSHIP

To achieve program and student learning and development outcomes, Campus Police and Security Programs (CPSP) must be purposefully structured for effectiveness. CPSP must have clearly stated and current

- goals and outcomes
- policies and procedures
- responsibilities and performance expectations for personnel
- organizational charts demonstrating clear channels of authority

Leaders must model ethical behavior and institutional citizenship.

Leaders with organizational authority for CPSP must provide strategic planning, management and supervision, and program advancement.

Strategic Planning

- articulate a vision and mission that drive short- and long-term planning
- set goals and objectives based on the needs of the populations served, intended student learning

- and development outcomes, and program outcomes
- facilitate continuous development, implementation, and assessment of program effectiveness and goal attainment congruent with institutional mission and strategic plans
- promote environments that provide opportunities for student learning, development, and engagement
- develop, adapt, and improve programs and services in response to the changing needs of populations served and evolving institutional priorities
- include diverse perspectives to inform decision making

Management and Supervision

- plan, allocate, and monitor the use of fiscal, physical, human, intellectual, and technological resources
- manage human resource processes including recruitment, selection, professional development, supervision, performance planning, succession planning, evaluation, recognition, and reward
- influence others to contribute to the effectiveness and success of the unit
- empower professional, support, and student personnel to become effective leaders
- encourage and support collaboration with colleagues and departments across the institution
- encourage and support scholarly contributions to the profession
- identify and address individual, organizational, and environmental conditions that foster or inhibit mission achievement
- use current and valid evidence to inform decisions
- incorporate sustainability practices in the management and design of programs, services, and facilities
- understand appropriate technologies and integrate them into programs and services
- be knowledgeable about codes and laws relevant to programs and services and ensure that programs and services meet those requirements
- assess and take action to mitigate potential risks

Program Advancement

- advocate for and actively promote the mission and goals of the programs and services
- inform stakeholders about issues affecting practice
- facilitate processes to reach consensus where wide support is needed
- advocate for representation in strategic planning initiatives at divisional and institutional levels

A member of the campus community who possesses appropriate training and experience must be designated as the person to whom the CPSP reports.

This person should be at a senior administrative level, beyond the internal command structure of the CPSP.

The person responsible for the CPSP should have an educational background in the behavioral sciences (e.g., college student affairs, psychology, sociology, student development including moral and ethical development, higher education administration, counseling, law, criminology, or criminal justice).

The person responsible for the CPSP, the most senior person within the CPSP command structure, and all

other professional staff members in CPSP should possess

- a clear understanding of the legal requirements for substantive and procedural due process
- legal knowledge sufficient to confer with attorneys involved in law enforcement as well as student disciplinary proceedings and other aspects of the student conduct services system
- a general interest in and commitment to the welfare and development of students who violate the law or institutional policies
- demonstrated skills in decision-making processes and conflict resolution
- teaching and consulting skills appropriate for educating, advising, and coordinating CPSP personnel
- the ability to communicate and interact with students and other members of the community regardless of race, sex, disability, sexual orientation, and other personal characteristics
- an understanding of the requirements relative to confidentiality and security of law enforcement and student conduct programs files
- the ability to create an atmosphere in which staff feel free to ask questions and obtain assistance

Part 4. HUMAN RESOURCES

Campus Police and Security Programs (CPSP) must be staffed adequately by individuals qualified to accomplish mission and goals.

CPSP must have access to technical and support personnel adequate to accomplish their mission.

Within institutional guidelines, CPSP must

- establish procedures for personnel recruitment and selection, training, performance planning, and evaluation
- set expectations for supervision and performance
- provide personnel access to continuing and advanced education and appropriate professional development opportunities to improve their competence, skills, and leadership capacity
- consider work/life options available to personnel (e.g., compressed work schedules, flextime, job sharing, remote work, or telework) to promote recruitment and retention of personnel

Administrators of CPSP must

- ensure that all personnel have updated position descriptions
- implement recruitment and selection/hiring strategies that produce a workforce inclusive of under-represented populations
- develop promotion practices that are fair, inclusive, proactive, and non-discriminatory

Personnel responsible for delivery of CPSP must have written performance goals, objectives, and outcomes **for each year's performance cycle to be used to plan, review, and evaluate work and performance.** The performance plan must be updated regularly to reflect changes during the performance cycle.

Evaluation of the CPSP should include

- performance evaluations of all staff members by their supervisors
- periodic performance evaluations of individual hearing boards
- on-going evaluation of training programs and publications

- periodic review of applicable laws and current case law to ensure compliance

Results of individual personnel evaluations must be used to recognize personnel performance, address performance issues, implement individual and/or collective personnel development and training programs, and inform the assessment of programs and services.

CPSP personnel, when hired and throughout their employment, must receive appropriate and thorough training.

CPSP personnel, including student employees and volunteers, must have access to resources or receive specific training on

- institutional policies pertaining to functions or activities they support
- privacy and confidentiality policies
- laws regarding access to student records
- policies and procedures for dealing with sensitive institutional information
- policies and procedures related to technology used to store or access student records and institutional data
- how and when to refer those in need of additional assistance to qualified personnel and have access to a supervisor for assistance in making these judgments
- systems and technologies necessary to perform their assigned responsibilities
- ethical and legal uses of technology

Initial and in-service training of all CPSP personnel must be provided.

In order for CPSP personnel to fulfill their roles and functions, initial training must include

- police-related training that is the same as or equal to the training provided to personnel of other police agencies
- a review of constitutional and other relevant individual and institutional legal rights and responsibilities
- a description of the organization of the CPSP
- information regarding the authority of the CPSP
- policies regarding the use and carry of weapons
- offensive and defensive weapons training if weapons are authorized to be carried
- information regarding informal and formal agreements with local law enforcement agencies off campus
- records documenting that the needs of the agency are addressed and that there is accountability for all training provided

Training for CPSP agencies that provide security services and for CPSP personnel who do not provide policing duties (e.g., security and other non-sworn personnel) must be appropriate to their responsibilities. This training may differ from police training.

In-service training should include participation in relevant and on-going workshops, seminars, and conferences. A library containing current resources about campus police and security issues should be

maintained and be accessible to CPSP personnel.

CPSP personnel must engage in continuing professional development activities to keep abreast of the research, theories, legislation, policies, and developments that affect their programs and services.

Administrators of CPSP must ensure that personnel are knowledgeable about and trained in safety, emergency procedures, and crisis prevention and response. Risk management efforts must address identification of threatening conduct or behavior and must incorporate a system for responding to and reporting such behaviors.

Because CPSP personnel may also be involved in the student conduct process, they must be provided with

- an overview of all student conduct policies and procedures of the institution
- an explanation of the operation of the student conduct process at all levels, including authority and jurisdiction
- **an overview of the institution’s philosophy on student conduct and the role of CPSP in this process**
- roles and functions of all student conduct bodies and their members
- an explanation of sanctions
- an explanation of institutional policies and privacy laws regarding access to student records and other sensitive institutional information (e.g., in the U.S., the Family Educational Rights and **Privacy Act, FERPA) and the differences between “education records” and “law enforcement records” that result from this law**
- an explanation of pertinent ethics, including particularly the importance of privacy of student disciplinary records and addressing bias and conflict of interest in the student conduct process
- a description of available personal counseling programs and referral resources
- an outline of conditions and interactions that may involve external enforcement officials, attorneys, witnesses, parents of accused students, and the media
- training in the developmental and interpersonal issues likely to arise among college students

Personnel must be knowledgeable of and trained in safety and emergency procedures for securing and vacating facilities.

PROFESSIONAL PERSONNEL

CPSP professional personnel either must hold an earned graduate or professional degree in a field relevant to their position or must possess an appropriate combination of educational credentials and related work experience.

INTERNS OR GRADUATE ASSISTANTS

Degree- or credential-seeking interns or graduate assistants must be qualified by enrollment in an appropriate field of study and relevant experience. These students must be trained and supervised by professional personnel who possess applicable educational credentials and work experience and have supervisory experience. Supervisors must be cognizant of the dual roles interns and graduate assistants have as both student and employee.

Supervisors must

- adhere to parameters of students' job descriptions
- articulate intended learning outcomes in student job descriptions
- adhere to agreed-upon work hours and schedules
- offer flexible scheduling when circumstances necessitate

Supervisors and students must both agree to suitable compensation if circumstances necessitate additional hours.

STUDENT EMPLOYEES AND VOLUNTEERS

Student employees and volunteers must be carefully selected, trained, supervised, and evaluated. Students must have access to a supervisor. Student employees and volunteers must be provided clear job descriptions, pre-service training based on assessed needs, and continuing development.

Students in academic programs, particularly in areas such as police science, forensic science, criminalistics, law, or criminology, may assist the campus police and security programs through student employment, practicums, internships, and assistantships.

Students who participate in some services to the CPSPs (with proper supervision) may be awarded academic credit. Clear objectives and assignments should be outlined to ensure that a student's grade for this participation is in no way influenced by his or her decisions on a particular case.

Part 5. ETHICS

Campus Police and Security Programs (CPSP) must

- review applicable professional ethical standards and must adopt or develop and implement appropriate statements of ethical practice
- publish and adhere to statements of ethical practice and ensure their periodic review
- orient new personnel to relevant ethical standards and statements of ethical practice and related institutional policies

Statements of ethical standards must

- specify that CPSP personnel respect privacy and maintain confidentiality in communications and records as delineated by privacy laws
- specify limits on disclosure of information contained in students' records as well as requirements to disclose to appropriate authorities
- address conflicts of interest, or appearance thereof, by personnel in the performance of their work
- reflect the responsibility of personnel to be fair, objective, and impartial in their interactions with others
- reference management of institutional funds
- reference appropriate behavior regarding research and assessment with human participants, **confidentiality of research and assessment data, and students' rights and responsibilities**

- include the expectation that personnel confront and hold accountable other personnel who exhibit unethical behavior
- address issues surrounding scholarly integrity

CPSP personnel must

- employ ethical decision making in the performance of their duties
- inform users of programs and services of ethical obligations and limitations emanating from codes and laws or from licensure requirements
- recognize and avoid conflicts of interest that could adversely influence their judgment or objectivity and, when unavoidable, recuse themselves from the situation
- perform their duties within the scope of their position, training, expertise, and competence
- make referrals when issues presented exceed the scope of the position

Part 6. LAW, POLICY, AND GOVERNANCE

Campus Police and Security Programs (CPSP) must be in compliance with laws, regulations, and policies that relate to their respective responsibilities and that pose legal obligations, limitations, risks, and liabilities for the institution as a whole. Examples include constitutional, statutory, regulatory, and case law; relevant law and orders emanating from codes and laws; and the institution's policies.

CPSP must have access to legal advice needed for personnel to carry out their assigned responsibilities.

CPSP must inform personnel, appropriate officials, and users of programs and services about existing and changing legal obligations, risks and liabilities, and limitations.

CPSP must inform personnel about professional liability insurance options and refer them to external sources if the institution does not provide coverage.

CPSP must have written policies and procedures on operations, transactions, or tasks that have legal implications.

CPSP must regularly review policies. The revision and creation of policies must be informed by best practices, available evidence, and policy issues in higher education.

CPSP must have procedures and guidelines consistent with institutional policy for responding to threats, emergencies, and crisis situations. Systems and procedures must be in place to disseminate timely and accurate information to students, other members of the institutional community, and appropriate external organizations during emergency situations.

Personnel must neither participate in nor condone any form of harassment or activity that demeans persons or creates an intimidating, hostile, or offensive environment.

CPSP must purchase or obtain permission to use copyrighted materials and instruments. References to copyrighted materials and instruments must include appropriate citations.

CPSP must inform personnel about internal and external governance organizations that affect programs and services.

The institution's policies regarding the administration of applicable laws must be clearly described in writing. Elements to be addressed in this policy must include

- CPSP personnel arrest authority
- circumstances under which, and by whom, weapons will be carried by CPSP personnel
- the roles of various personnel within the CPSP
- a formal or informal agreement with local law enforcement personnel regarding jurisdiction
- policies regarding campus crime reports, crime logs, and other required documentation
- threat assessment procedures

Procedures and processes must be designed by the CPSP to ensure that all relevant legal requirements are met and, if within a private institution, how private status impacts the institution with regard to law enforcement.

CPSP should provide a statement of relevant state/provincial and local laws regarding status as “special police or deputies” or other designations that allow law enforcement responsibilities.

CPSP should assist all employees and students of the institution to understand the sources and lines of authority of the CPSP.

The institution must be clear about how it defines the jurisdiction of the CPSP to include whether officers have authority beyond the borders of the campus and policy addressing the pursuit of suspects who leave the campus, the areas of patrol for CPSP personnel, and the relationship of the CPSP with local law enforcement agencies beyond the surrounding community.

Agreements should be reached between law enforcement agencies in adjoining jurisdictions or within the agency's jurisdiction to provide assistance to each other in the event of disaster, mass disorder, terrorism, or other emergency situations.

CPSP must maintain records of patrol activities, actions taken by CPSP personnel, information for a campus crime log, evidence to be used by CPSP personnel in law enforcement activities, and student conduct proceedings on campus.

The institution must clearly state the legal issues and conduct regulations that apply to student organizations, the procedures that will be followed in the enforcement of cases related to student organizations, and the guidelines used to determine if actions of individual members or small groups within an organization constitute action by the organization.

The institution must clearly state the legal issues and conduct regulations that apply off campus and which are, as a result, the responsibility of CPSP personnel. These include dealing with individual students and dealing with off-campus enforcement at residential facilities owned and operated by student

organizations.

Appropriate policies and practices to ensure compliance with regulations should include notification to all constituencies of their rights and responsibilities under applicable laws as well as the student conduct system; a written description; accurate record keeping of all aspects of the campus police and security operations; and regular reviews of the campus police and security policies and practices.

CPSP must work with the institution to develop clear policy about the protocols for the use of video surveillance.

Part 7. DIVERSITY, EQUITY, AND ACCESS

Within the context of each institution's mission and in accordance with institutional policies and applicable codes and laws, Campus Police and Security Programs (CPSP) must create and maintain educational and work environments that are welcoming, accessible, inclusive, equitable, and free from harassment.

CPSP must not discriminate on the basis of disability; age; race; cultural identity; ethnicity; nationality; family educational history (e.g., first generation to attend college); political affiliation; religious affiliation; sex; sexual orientation; gender identity and expression; marital, social, economic, or veteran status; or any other basis included in institutional policies and codes and laws.

CPSP must

- advocate for sensitivity to multicultural and social justice concerns by the institution and its personnel
- ensure physical, program, and resource access for all constituents
- modify or remove policies, practices, systems, technologies, facilities, and structures that create barriers or produce inequities
- ensure that when facilities and structures cannot be modified, they do not impede access to programs, services, and resources
- establish goals for diversity, equity, and access
- foster communication and practices that enhance understanding of identity, culture, self-expression, and heritage
- promote respect for commonalities and differences among people within their historical and cultural contexts
- address the characteristics and needs of diverse constituents when establishing and implementing culturally relevant and inclusive programs, services, policies, procedures, and practices
- provide personnel with diversity, equity, and access training and hold personnel accountable for applying the training to their work
- respond to the needs of all constituents served when establishing hours of operation and developing methods of delivering programs, services, and resources
- recognize the needs of distance and online learning students by directly providing or assisting them to gain access to comparable services and resources

Part 8. INTERNAL AND EXTERNAL RELATIONS

Campus Police and Security Programs (CPSP) must reach out to individuals, groups, communities, and organizations internal and external to the institution to

- establish, maintain, and promote understanding and effective relations with those that have a significant interest in or potential effect on the students or other constituents served by the programs and services
- garner support and resources for programs and services as defined by the mission
- collaborate in offering or improving programs and services to meet the needs of students and other constituents and to achieve program and student outcomes
- engage diverse individuals, groups, communities, and organizations to enrich the educational environment and experiences of students and other constituents
- disseminate information about the programs and services

Promotional and descriptive information must be accurate and free of deception and misrepresentation.

CPSP must have procedures and guidelines consistent with institutional policy for

- communicating with the media
- distributing information through print, broadcast, and online sources
- contracting with external organizations for delivery of programs and services
- cultivating, soliciting, and managing gifts
- applying to and managing funds from grants

Representatives of the CPSP should meet regularly with pertinent campus constituencies (e.g., student government, student development offices, staff, faculty members, academic administrators, legal counsel) to exchange information concerning their respective operations and to identify ways to work together to prevent behavioral problems and to correct existing problems. Such collaborative efforts may include educational programs and joint publications.

CPSP representatives should also meet periodically with relevant external agencies (e.g., local police, district attorneys, and service providers) to ensure their understanding about the campus police and security programs as well as to address student behavior problems in an effective manner.

CPSP must publish information about its specific programs for the campus community.

CPSP publications must contain

- the Clery Act (in the USA) campus crime statistics report
- campus policies, such as those concerning legal representation by campus legal services if available, the maintenance of law enforcement records, and the destruction of records
- campus procedures, such as filing a crime report or request for disciplinary action, gathering information, conducting an investigation
- a general explanation of how and when non-campus law enforcement officials are engaged.

Publications must be distributed to all members of the campus community.

Dissemination methods may include electronic media; the institutional catalog; orientation programs; the student handbook; specific publications related to requirements of the Clery Act and the Drug Free Schools and Community Act (in the USA) and other legal requirements; and admissions, registration, and billing materials.

Part 9. FINANCIAL RESOURCES

Campus Police and Security Programs (CPSP) must have funding to accomplish the mission and goals.

In establishing and prioritizing funding resources, CPSP must conduct comprehensive analyses to determine

- unmet needs of the unit
- relevant expenditures
- external and internal resources
- impact on students and the institution

CPSP must use the budget as a planning tool to reflect commitment to the mission and goals of the programs and services and of the institution.

CPSP must administer funds in accordance with established institutional accounting procedures.

CPSP must demonstrate efficient and effective use and responsible stewardship of fiscal resources consistent with institutional protocols.

Financial reports must provide an accurate financial overview of the organization and provide clear, understandable, and timely data upon which personnel can plan and make informed decisions.

Procurement procedures must

- be consistent with institutional policies
- ensure that purchases comply with laws and codes for usability and access
- ensure that the institution receives value for the funds spent
- consider information available for comparing the ethical and environmental impact of products and services purchased

Part 10. TECHNOLOGY

Campus Police and Security Programs (CPSP) must have technology to support the achievement of their mission and goals. The technology and its use must comply with institutional policies and procedures and with relevant codes and laws.

CPSP must use technologies to

- provide updated information regarding mission, location, staffing, programs, services, and official contacts to students and other constituents in accessible formats

- provide an avenue for students and other constituents to communicate sensitive information in a secure format
- enhance the delivery of programs and services for all students

CPSP must

- back up data on a regular basis
- adhere to institutional policies regarding ethical and legal use of technology
- articulate policies and procedures for protecting the confidentiality and security of information
- implement a replacement plan and cycle for all technology with attention to sustainability
- incorporate accessibility features into technology-based programs and services

When providing student access to technology, CPSP must

- have policies on the use of technology that are clear, easy to understand, and available to all students
- provide information or referral to support services for those needing assistance in accessing or using technology
- provide instruction or training on how to use the technology
- inform students of implications of misuse of technologies

Part 11. FACILITIES AND EQUIPMENT

Campus Police and Security Programs' (CPSP) facilities must be intentionally designed and located in suitable, accessible, and safe spaces that demonstrate universal design and support the program's mission and goals.

Facilities must be designed to engage various constituents and promote learning.

CPSP facilities should include private rooms where individual consultations may be held, hearing room facilities, a meeting room for small groups, a library or resource area, and a secure location for records. The facilities should also be designed to promote the personal safety of the individuals involved in the CPSP (e.g., campus alert systems, multiple methods of egress, and panic buttons).

Personnel must have workspaces that are suitably located and accessible, well equipped, adequate in size, and designed to support their work and responsibilities.

The design of the facilities must guarantee the security and privacy of records and ensure the confidentiality of sensitive information and conversations. Personnel must be able to secure their work.

CPSP must incorporate sustainable practices in use of facilities and purchase of equipment. Facilities and equipment must be evaluated on an established cycle and be in compliance with codes, laws, and accepted practices for access, health, safety, and security.

When acquiring capital equipment, CPSP must take into account expenses related to regular maintenance and life cycle costs.

Part 12. ASSESSMENT

Campus Police and Security Programs (CPSP) must develop assessment plans and processes.

Assessment plans must articulate an ongoing cycle of assessment activities.

CPSP must

- specify programmatic goals and intended outcomes
- identify student learning and development outcomes
- employ multiple measures and methods
- develop manageable processes for gathering, interpreting, and evaluating data
- document progress toward achievement of goals and outcomes
- interpret and use assessment results to demonstrate accountability
- report aggregated results to respondent groups and stakeholders
- use assessment results to inform planning and decision-making
- assess effectiveness of implemented changes
- provide evidence of improvement of programs and services

Assessment and evaluation activities may include

- the degree to which CPSP personnel are appropriately following institutional procedures and guidelines to gather feedback from students, faculty, staff, and the community on the performance and effectiveness of the campus police and security system and the learning and development effects on students and other members of the institutional community
- annual trends in crime statistics, case load, rates of recidivism, and types of offenses
- the effects of programming designed to prevent crime, increase safety related behaviors, provide a safe environment, and prevent behavioral problems

CPSP must employ ethical practices in the assessment process.

CPSP must have access to adequate fiscal, human, professional development, and technological resources to develop and implement assessment plans.

*General Standards revised in 2014;
CPSP content developed in 2012*