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#### Abstract

In this paper, we present sufficient conditions for the existence of $p^{t h}$ powers of a quasihomogeneous Toeplitz operator $T_{e^{i s \theta} \psi}$ where $\psi$ is a radial polynomial function and $p, s$ are natural numbers. A large class of examples is provided to illustrate our results. To our best knowledge those examples are not covered by the current literature. The main tools in the proof of our results are the Mellin transform and some classical theorems of Complex Analysis.


## 1. Introduction

Let $d A\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)=r d r \frac{d \theta}{\pi}$ be the normalized Lebesgue area measure in the open unit disk $\mathbb{D}$ of the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$. The Bergman space $L_{a}^{2}(\mathbb{D})$ is the closed subspace of $L^{2}(\mathbb{D}, d A)$ consisting of all holomorphic functions on $\mathbb{D}$ and it has the set $\left\{z^{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ as an orthogonal basis.

Let $P$ denote the Bergman projection which is the orthogonal projection from $L^{2}(\mathbb{D}, d A)$ onto $L_{a}^{2}(\mathbb{D})$. For $f \in L^{2}(\mathbb{D}, d A)$, the Toeplitz operator $T_{f}$, with symbol $f$, acting on $L_{a}^{2}(\mathbb{D})$ is defined by

$$
T_{f} g=P(f g)
$$

for all $g$ in $L_{a}^{2}(\mathbb{D})$ such that the product $f g$ is in $L^{2}(\mathbb{D}, d A)$. It is easy to see that any bounded holomorphic function is in the domain of $T_{f}$. Therefore, $T_{f}$ is a densely defined operator on $L_{a}^{2}(\mathbb{D})$. Moreover, if the symbol $f$ is bounded, then $T_{f}$ is a bounded operator and $\left\|T_{f}\right\| \leq\|f\|_{\infty}$.

A Toeplitz operator $T_{f}$ is called quasihomogeneous Toeplitz operator of degree an integer $p$ if its symbol $f$ can be written as $f\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)=$ $e^{i p \theta} \phi(r)$ where $\phi$ is a radial function in $L^{2}([0,1], r d r)$. Such class of Toeplitz operators has been extensively studied. The reader can refer to $[3,5,6,7,8,9]$.

In [5], the third author has introduced the notion of the $p^{t h}$ root (or power) of a quasihomogeneous Toeplitz operator which turns out to be very useful in investigating the question of commutativity of Toeplitz operators. In fact, I. Louhichi proved the existence of $p^{\text {th }}$ roots for the

[^0]case $\phi(r)=r^{n}, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and for any $p \in \mathbb{N}$. Later with N . V. Rao in [6] they extended this result to a more general class of $\phi(r)$.

The aim of this work is to study the powers of quasihomogeneous Toeplitz operators when the radial part of the symbol is a linear combination of $r^{\alpha}$ and $r^{\beta} \log ^{\gamma}(r)$, where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ are nonnegative integers. Under certain conditions, we show the existence of $p^{\text {th }}$ powers for any $p \in \mathbb{N}$.

## 2. Preliminaries

For a function $\phi \in L^{1}([0,1], r d r)$ we define the Mellin transform of $\phi$, denoted $\widehat{\phi}$, by

$$
\widehat{\phi}(z)=\int_{0}^{1} \phi(r) r^{z-1} d r
$$

It is clear that for $\phi \in L^{1}([0,1], r d r), \widehat{\phi}$ is a bounded holomorphic function on the half-plane $\Pi=\{z ; \Re z>2\}$. Moreover, the Mellin transform $\widehat{\phi}$ is uniquely determined by its values on any arithmetic sequence of integers. In fact we have the following classical theorem [10, p.102].

Theorem 2.1. Suppose $f$ is a bounded holomorphic function on $\{z$ : $\Re z>0\}$ that vanishes at the pairwise distinct points $z_{1}, z_{2}, \ldots$, where
(1) $\inf \left\{\left|z_{n}\right|\right\}>0$
(2) $\sum_{n \geq 1} \Re\left(1 / z_{n}\right)=\infty$.

Then $f$ vanishes identically on $\{z: \Re z>0\}$.
The inversion formula of the Mellin transform is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(r)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{c-i \infty}^{c+i \infty} \widehat{\phi}(z) r^{-z} d r \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the integration is along a vertical line through $\Re(z)=c$ in $\Pi$.
For the sake of completeness we choose to state the following classical lemma of Complex Analysis ([2, Lemma 2.2, p.29]) which we will use later to prove our results.

Lemma 2.2. Let $\bar{f}(s)$ be a holomorphic function in the right half-plane $\Re s>\gamma$. If $\left|\bar{f}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right|<C r^{-\nu}$ with $-\pi \leq \theta \leq \pi$ and $r>R_{0}$ for some constants $R_{0}, C$ and $\nu(>0)$, then for all $t>0$ we have

$$
\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Gamma_{1}} e^{s t} \bar{f}(s) d s=0 \text { and } \lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Gamma_{2}} e^{s t} \bar{f}(s) d s=0
$$

where $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{2}$ are respectively the arcs $B C D$ and $D E A$ of $\Gamma$ as shown in Figure 1.


Figure 1. The standard Bromwich contour

We will also need the following easy lemma.
Lemma 2.3. Let $f$ be a linear combination of $r^{\alpha}$ and $r^{\beta} \log ^{\gamma}(r)$ where $\alpha, \beta$, and $\gamma$ are in $\mathbb{Z}$. If $\alpha \geq-1$ and $\beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{N}$, then $f \in L^{2}([0,1], r d r)$.

The following lemma determines the values of powers of a bounded quasihomogeneous Toeplitz operator evaluated at any element of the orthogonal basis of $L_{a}^{2}(\mathbb{D})$. In fact quasihomogeneous Toeplitz operator and its powers maps the space of polynomials in $z$ into itself.

Lemma 2.4. Let $p, s \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $\psi$ be a radial function in $L^{1}([0,1], r d r)$. Then, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(T_{e^{i s \theta_{\psi}}}\right)^{p}\left(\xi^{n}\right)(z) & =\left[\prod_{j=0}^{p-1} 2(n+j s+s+1) \widehat{\psi}(2 n+2 j s+s+2)\right] z^{n+p s} \\
& =\frac{\prod_{j=0}^{p-1} \widehat{\psi}(2 n+2 j s+s+2)}{\prod_{j=0}^{p-1} \widehat{\mathbb{1}}(2 n+2 j s+2 s+2)} z^{n+p s} .
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathbb{1}$ denotes the constant function with value one.

## 3. Main Results

With respect to the definition of the $p^{\text {th }}$ root in [5], we analogously say that a quasihomogeneous Toeplitz operator $T_{e^{i s \theta} \psi}$ has a $p^{t h}$ power if and only if there exists a radial function $\phi$ such that

$$
\left(T_{e^{i s \theta}}\right)^{p}=T_{e^{i p s} \phi} .
$$

In particular, $\left(T_{e^{i p \theta} \psi}\right)^{0}=I$ where $I$ the identity operator in $L_{a}^{2}(\mathbb{D})$.
We are now ready to state our main result which can be seen as an extension of [5, Corollary 18, p.1474].

Theorem 3.1. Let $\psi(r)=\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i} r^{k_{i}}$ be a nonzero radial polynomial function and let $P_{\widehat{\psi}}=\left\{-k_{i}: i=1, \ldots, m\right\}$ and $Z_{\widehat{\psi}}$ be the sets of poles and zeros of its Mellin transform $\widehat{\psi}$, respectively. Assume that:
(1) for $i=1, \ldots, m$ at least one $k_{i}$ is an odd number. We denote by $k_{i_{0}}$ the biggest odd number.
(2) there exists a set of integers $\left\{\alpha_{i}\right\}_{i=1, i \neq i_{0}}^{i=m}$, such that:
(i) $\left\{\alpha_{i}\right\}_{i=1, i \neq i_{0}}^{i=m} \subseteq Z_{\widehat{\psi}}$, and
(ii) for all $i \in\{1, \ldots, m\} \backslash\left\{i_{0}\right\}$, we have $-k_{i}<\alpha_{i} \leq k_{i}+1$ and $k_{i}$ and $\alpha_{i}$ have the same parity.
Then, $\left(T_{e^{i \theta} \psi}\right)^{p}$ is always a Toeplitz operator for all $p \in \mathbb{N}$.
Proof. Since $\psi(r)=\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i} r^{k_{i}}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widehat{\psi}(z) & =\int_{0}^{1} \psi(r) r^{z-1} d r \\
& =\int_{0}^{1} \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i} r^{k_{i}} r^{z-1} d r \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{a_{i}}{z+k_{i}},
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence $P_{\widehat{\psi}}=\left\{-k_{i}: i=1, \ldots, m\right\}$. Clearly (see $[4, \mathrm{p} .105-106]$ ) the function $\widehat{\psi}$ can be extended to a meromorphic function in $\mathbb{C}$. This implies, together with the hypothesis of the theorem, that $\widehat{\psi}$ can be written as

$$
\widehat{\psi}(z)=\frac{\prod_{i=1, i \neq i_{0}}^{m}\left(z-\alpha_{i}\right)}{\prod_{i=1}^{m}\left(z+k_{i}\right)} f(z)
$$

where $f$ is holomorphic and nonzero in a neighborhood of each pole $-k_{i}$, with $i=1, \ldots, m$. Next, for any integer $p \geq 1$, we show the
existence of $\phi$ in $L^{2}([0,1], r d r)$ such that $\left(T_{e^{i \theta} \psi}\right)^{p}=T_{e^{i p \theta} \phi}$. Indeed, Lemma 2.4 implies that for all integers $n \geq 0$, we have

$$
\prod_{j=0}^{p-1}(2 n+2 j+4) \widehat{\psi}(2 n+2 j+3)=(2 n+2 p+2) \widehat{\phi}(2 n+p+2)
$$

Using Theorem 2.1, it is easy to see that if $p=1$ then $\phi \equiv \psi$. So we let $p \geq 2$, we complexify the previous equality by letting $z=2 n+p+2$, and we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widehat{\phi}(z) & =\left[\prod_{j=0}^{p-2}(z-p+2 j+2)\right]\left[\prod_{j=0}^{p-1} \widehat{\psi}(z-p+2 j+1)\right] \\
& =\frac{\left[\prod_{l=0}^{p-2}(z-p+2 l+2)\right]\left[\prod_{(i, s)=(1,0), i \neq i_{0}}^{(m, p-1)}\left(z-\alpha_{i}-p+2 s+1\right)\right]}{\left(\prod_{(d, j)=(1,0)}^{(m, p-1)}\left(z+k_{d}-p+2 j+1\right)\right.} h(z)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $h(z)=\prod_{j=0}^{p-1} f(z-p+2 j+1)$. Thus $\widehat{\phi}$ is a meromorphic function in $\mathbb{C}$ and has simple poles at the integers $-k_{d}+p-2 j-1$, with $(d, j)=(1,0), \ldots,(m, p-1)$. Moreover, the line of integration in the inversion formula (2.1) is shifted to the left while taking residues into the Bromwich contour (see Figure 1). Using Lemma 2.2 and the Residue Theorem, we conclude that $\phi$ is determined by the sum of the residues at all poles to the left of $\Re z=c$ and we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(r)=\left.\sum_{(d, j)=(1,0)}^{(m, p-1)} \operatorname{Res} \widehat{\phi}(z)\right|_{z=-k_{d}+p-2 j-1} r^{k_{d}-p+2 j+1} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Claim : $\phi$ belongs to $L^{2}([0,1], r d r)$.
To prove this, it is sufficient, using Lemma 2.3, to show that $P_{\hat{\phi}} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{-}$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $k_{1}<k_{2}<\ldots<k_{m}$. Then, we have the following cases:
Case 1: $p \leq k_{1}$. We have

$$
p \leq k_{1}<k_{2}<\ldots<k_{m}
$$

and so

$$
k_{d}-p \geq 0, \text { for all } d \in\{1, \ldots, m\}
$$

Therefore,
$k_{d}-p+2 j+1 \geq 1$, for all $d \in\{1, \ldots, m\}$ and all $j \in\{0, \ldots, p-1\}$.
Case 2: $k_{n}<p \leq k_{n+1}$ for $n \in\{1, \ldots, m-1\}$. Here, we consider two sub-cases.

Case A: $n \in\{1, \ldots, m-1\} \backslash\left\{i_{0}\right\}$. From Case 1 we know that $k_{d}-p+2 j+1 \geq 1, \forall d \in\{n+1, \ldots, m\}$ and $\forall j \in\{0, \ldots, p-1\}$.

Now, for $d \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$, we have

$$
k_{d}-p+2 j+1<0 \text { i.e. } j<\frac{-k_{d}+p-1}{2}
$$

If we let $j_{0}=\left\lfloor\frac{-k_{d}+p-1}{2}\right\rfloor$ to be the greatest integer function of $\frac{-k_{d}+p-1}{2}$, then for all $d \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$ and all $j \in$ $\left\{0, \ldots, j_{0}\right\}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{d}-p+2 j+1<0 \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we shall prove that the poles of $\widehat{\phi}$ in (3.2) are canceled by zeros of $\widehat{\phi}$. In other words,
$\forall(j, d) \in\left\{(0,1), \ldots,\left(j_{0}, n\right)\right\}, \exists(i, s) \in\{(1,0), \ldots,(m, p-1)\}$, and $i \neq i_{0}$
such that

$$
-\alpha_{i}+2 s=k_{d}+2 j
$$

To do so, we take $i=d$ and we let $s=\frac{k_{d}+\alpha_{d}+2 j}{2}$. Then, by the hypothesis (2)(ii), $s \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover,

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 s & =k_{d}+\alpha_{d}+2 j \\
& \leq k_{d}+\alpha_{d}+2 j_{0} \\
& \leq \alpha_{d}+p-1 \\
& <2 p
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies $s \leq p-1$.
Case B: $n=i_{0}$. Then for all $j \in\left\{0, \ldots, j_{0}=\left\lfloor\frac{-k_{i_{0}}+p-1}{2}\right\rfloor\right\}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{i_{0}}-p+2 j+1<0 \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly to the previous sub-case, those poles of $\widehat{\phi}$ are canceled by zeros of $\widehat{\phi}$. In fact, by taking $l=\frac{k_{i_{0}}+2 j-1}{2}$, it is easy to see that $l \in\{0, \ldots, p-2\}$ and also that $2 l+2=$ $k_{i_{0}}+2 j+1$ for all $j \in\left\{0, \ldots, j_{0}\right\}$.
Case 3: $p>k_{m}$. We follow the same argument as in Case 2.

Remark 3.2. If $\widehat{\phi}$ has poles of multiplicity greater than 1 , the expression (3.1) becomes

$$
\phi(r)=\sum_{(d, j)=(1,0)}^{(m, p-1)} \alpha_{d, j}(\log r)^{n} r^{k_{d}-p+2 j+1}
$$

where $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is the multiplicity of the pole $z=-k_{d}+p-2 j-1$; and the same argument in the proof remains true.

Examples 3.3. Let $\psi(r)=3 r-12 r^{2}+10 r^{3}$. Then

$$
\widehat{\psi}(z)=\frac{3}{z+1}-\frac{12}{z+2}+\frac{10}{z+3}=\frac{(z-1)(z-2)}{(z+1)(z+2)(z+3)}
$$

Using Lemma 2.4, we obtain for all $n \geq 0$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(T_{e^{i \theta} \psi}\right)^{p}\left(\xi^{n}\right)(z) & =\left[\prod_{j=0}^{p-1} 2(n+j+2) \widehat{\psi}(2 n+2 j+3)\right] z^{n+p} \\
& =\frac{\prod_{j=0}^{p-1}(2 n+2 j+4)(2 n+2 j+2)(2 n+2 j+1)}{\prod_{j=0}^{p-1}(2 n+2 j+4)(2 n+2 j+5)(2 n+2 j+6)} z^{n+p} \\
& =\frac{\prod_{j=0}^{p-1}(2 n+2 j+2)(2 n+2 j+1)}{\prod_{j=2}^{p+1}(2 n+2 j+1)(2 n+2 j+2)} z^{n+p} \\
& =\frac{(2 n+2)(2 n+1)(2 n+4)(2 n+3)}{(2 n+2 p+2)(2 n+2 p+1)(2 n+2 p+4)(2 n+2 p+3)} z^{n+p}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we want to find a radial function $\phi$ such that

$$
\left(T_{e^{i \theta} \psi}\right)^{p}\left(\xi^{n}\right)(z)=T_{e^{i p \theta} \phi}\left(\xi^{n}\right)(z)
$$

for every integer $p \geq 1$. This is equivalent to finding $\phi$ for which

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{e^{i p \theta} \phi}\left(\xi^{n}\right)(z) & =(2 n+2 p+2) \widehat{\phi}(2 n+p+2) z^{n+p} \\
& =\frac{(2 n+2)(2 n+1)(2 n+4)(2 n+3)}{(2 n+2 p+2)(2 n+2 p+1)(2 n+2 p+4)(2 n+2 p+3)} z^{n+p}
\end{aligned}
$$

and so for all $n \geq 0$, we must have

$$
\widehat{\phi}(2 n+p+2)=\frac{(2 n+2)(2 n+1)(2 n+4)(2 n+3)}{(2 n+2 p+2)^{2}(2 n+2 p+1)(2 n+2 p+4)(2 n+2 p+3)}
$$

Using Theorem 2.1 and letting $z=2 n+p+2$, we obtain

$$
\widehat{\phi}(z)=\frac{(z-p)(z-p-1)(z-p+2)(z-p+1)}{(z+p)^{2}(z+p-1)(z+p+2)(z+p+1)} .
$$

Clearly $\widehat{\phi}$ is holomorphic on $\{z ; \Re z>0\}$ and has simple poles at $1-$ $p,-p-2,-p-1$ and double pole at $-p$. Finally to find the function $\phi$, we use the inverse Mellin transform and the Residue Theorem and we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi(r) & =\left.\operatorname{Res} \widehat{\phi}(z)\right|_{z=1-p} r^{p-1}+\left.\operatorname{Res} \widehat{\phi}(z)\right|_{z=-p-1} r^{p+1} \\
& +\left.\operatorname{Res} \widehat{\phi}(z)\right|_{z=-p-2} r^{p+2}+\left.\operatorname{Res} \widehat{\phi}(z) r^{-z}\right|_{z=-p} \\
& =a_{1} r^{p-1}+a_{2} r^{p+1}+a_{3} r^{p+2}+\left(a_{4}+a_{5} \log r\right) r^{p}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{4}$ and $a_{5}$ are real constants. It is worth mentioning here that for all $p \geq 1$, the function $\phi$ is "nearly bounded" [1, p. 204] and hence $T_{e^{i p \theta} \phi}$ is a bounded Toeplitz operator.

In the following proposition, we prove the existence of non-polynomial radial functions $\psi$ for which $\left(T_{e^{i \theta} \psi}\right)^{p}$ is always a Toeplitz operator for all $p \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proposition 3.4. There exist non-polynomial functions $\psi$ such that the power $\left(T_{e^{i \theta} \psi}\right)^{p}$ is always a Toeplitz operator for all integers $p \geq 1$.
Proof. Let $\psi(r)=r+4 r^{2} \log r$. Then

$$
\widehat{\psi}(z)=\frac{-4}{(z+2)^{2}}+\frac{1}{z+1}=\frac{z^{2}}{(z+1)(z+2)^{2}}
$$

Using Lemma 2.4, we obtain that for all $n \geq 0$ and all $p \geq 1$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(T_{e^{i \theta} \psi}\right)^{p}\left(\xi^{n}\right)(z) & =\left[\prod_{j=0}^{p-1} 2(n+j+2) \widehat{\psi}(2 n+2 j+3)\right] z^{n+p} \\
& =\frac{\prod_{j=0}^{p-1}(2 n+2 j+4)(2 n+2 j+3)^{2}}{\prod_{j=0}^{p-1}(2 n+2 j+4)(2 n+2 j+5)^{2}} z^{n+p} \\
& =\frac{\prod_{j=0}^{p-1}(2 n+2 j+3)^{2}}{\prod_{j=0}^{p-1}(2 n+2 j+5)^{2}} z^{n+p} \\
& =\frac{\prod_{j=0}^{p-1}(2 n+2 j+3)^{2}}{\prod_{j=1}^{p}(2 n+2 j+3)^{2}} z^{n+p} \\
& =\frac{(2 n+3)^{2}}{(2 n+2 p+3)^{2}} z^{n+p}
\end{aligned}
$$

We want to find a radial function $\phi$ such that

$$
\left(T_{e^{i \theta} \psi}\right)^{p}\left(\xi^{n}\right)(z)=T_{e^{i p \theta} \phi}\left(\xi^{n}\right)(z)
$$

for every integer $p \geq 1$ and all $n \geq 0$. This is equivalent to finding $\phi$ for which

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{e^{i p \theta} \phi}\left(\xi^{n}\right)(z) & =(2 n+2 p+2) \widehat{\phi}(2 n+p+2) z^{n+p} \\
& =\frac{(2 n+3)^{2}}{(2 n+2 p+3)^{2}} z^{n+p}
\end{aligned}
$$

So for all $n \geq 0$, we must have

$$
\widehat{\phi}(2 n+p+2)=\frac{(2 n+3)^{2}}{(2 n+2 p+2)(2 n+2 p+3)^{2}}
$$

Using Theorem 2.1 and letting $z=2 n+p+2$, we obtain

$$
\widehat{\phi}(z)=\frac{(z-p+1)^{2}}{(z+p)(z+p+1)^{2}}
$$

Clearly $\widehat{\phi}$ is holomorphic on $\{z ; \Re z>0\}$ and has simple pole at $-p$ and double pole at $-p-1$. Finally, to recover the function $\phi$, we use the inverse Mellin transform and the Residue Theorem and we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi(r) & =\left.\operatorname{Res} \widehat{\phi}(z)\right|_{z=-p} r^{p}+\left.\operatorname{Res} \widehat{\phi}(z)\right|_{z=-p-1} r^{p+1} \\
& =(1-2 p)^{2} r^{p}+4 p r^{p+1}((1-p)+p \log r)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since for all $p \geq 1$, the function $\phi$ is nearly bounded, $T_{e^{i p \theta_{\phi}}}$ is a genuine Toeplitz operator.

Remark 3.5. Note that in Example 3.3 (resp. Proposition 3.4), instead of using the Inverse Mellin Transform and the Residue Theorem to obtain the function $\phi$, one can recover $\phi$ from its Mellin transform by writing the partial fraction decomposition of $\widehat{\phi}(z)$ and then by using the following identities namely $\widehat{r^{m}}(z)=\frac{1}{z+m}$ and $r^{\widehat{m} \log ^{n}}(r)(z)=\frac{(-1)^{n} n!}{(z+m)^{n+1}}$ for all nonnegative integers $m$ and $n$.

Using similar arguments and notation as in the proof of Theorem 3.1, we obtain the following corollary. The proof is omitted.

Corollary 3.6. Let $\psi(r)=\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i} r^{k_{i}}$ be a nonzero polynomial function and $s \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$. Assume that:
(1) for $i=1, \ldots, m$, there exists at least one $k_{i}$ such that $k_{i}-s$ is a nonnegative integer and divisible by $2 s$. Let $k_{i_{0}}$ be the biggest of such numbers.
(2) there exists a set of integers $\left\{\alpha_{i}\right\}_{i=1, i \neq i_{0}}^{i=m}$, such that:
(i) $\left\{\alpha_{i}\right\}_{i=1, i \neq i_{0}}^{i=m} \subseteq Z_{\widehat{\psi}}$.
(ii) $\forall i \in\{1, \cdots, m\} \backslash\left\{i_{0}\right\}$, we have $-k_{i}<\alpha_{i} \leq k_{i}+s$ and $\alpha_{i}+k_{i}$ is divisible by $2 s$.
Then, $\left(T_{e^{i s \theta} \psi}\right)^{p}$ is always a Toeplitz operator for all $p \in \mathbb{N}$.
Examples 3.7. Let $m, n$, be in $\mathbb{N}$.

1) There exist $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $s \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $T_{e^{i s \theta}\left(\alpha r^{n}+\beta r^{m}\right)}$ has always a $p^{t h}$ power for all $p \geq 1$. For example, $\left(T_{e^{3 i \theta}\left(-\frac{6}{7} r^{2}+\frac{13}{7} r^{9}\right)}\right)^{p}$ is always a Toeplitz operator.
2) For all $p, s \in \mathbb{N}$, the product $\left(T_{e^{i s \theta_{r} m}}\right)^{p}$ is a Toeplitz operator if and only if $m \geq s$ and $m-s$ is divisible by $2 s$.

Remark 3.8. (i) In [5, Theorem 13, p.1472], the third author showed that if $T_{e^{i \theta} \psi}$ has $p^{t h}$ powers and if $T_{f}$ is a bounded Toeplitz operator such that $T_{f} T_{e^{i \theta} \psi}=T_{e^{i \theta} \psi} T_{f}$, then $T_{f}$ must be sum of powers of $T_{e^{i \theta} \psi}$. In the same spirit and under the hypothesis of Theorem 3.1 (resp. Corollary 3.6), if $T_{f}$ commutes with $T_{e^{i \theta} \psi}$ (resp. $T_{e^{i s \theta} \psi}$ ), then $T_{f}$ is sum of powers of $T_{e^{i \theta} \psi}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.T_{e^{i s \theta} \psi}\right)$ as well.
(ii) Let $\psi$ be a nonzero polynomial function and let $s$ be a natural number. If $T_{e^{i s \theta} \psi}$ has $p^{t h}$ powers for all $p \in \mathbb{N}$, then by Theorem 3.1 and Corollary 3.6 it is easy to see that, there exists a positive integer $n$ such that $\left(T_{e^{i n \theta} \psi}\right)^{p}$ is a Toeplitz operator for all $p$.
(iii) We recall that $T_{f}^{*}=T_{\bar{f}}$, where $\bar{f}$ is the complex conjugate of $f$. So by taking the adjoint, $T_{e^{-i \theta} \psi}$ has a $p^{t h}$ power if and only if $T_{e^{i \theta} \psi}$ has it as well. Therefore, the previous results remain true for quasihomogeneous Toeplitz operator of negative degrees.

In what follows, we discuss the case of radial Toeplitz operators.
Theorem 3.9. Let $\psi(r)=\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i} r^{k_{i}}$ be a nonzero polynomial symbol. Then, for all $p \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a radial symbol $\phi \in L^{2}([0,1], r d r)$, such that

$$
\left(T_{\psi}\right)^{p}=T_{\phi} .
$$

Moreover, when $p \geq 2$ we have

$$
\phi(r)=\sum_{i, j} \alpha_{i, j} r^{\beta_{i}}(\log r)^{\gamma_{j}}, \text { where } \beta_{i}, \gamma_{j} \in \mathbb{N} \text { and } \alpha_{i, j} \in \mathbb{R}
$$

Proof. As shown at the beginning of the proof of Theorem3.1, $\widehat{\psi}$ can be written as

$$
\widehat{\psi}(z)=\frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^{m}\left(z+k_{i}\right)} f(z)
$$

where $f$ is holomorphic and nonzero in a neighborhood of every pole $-k_{i}, i=1, \ldots, m$. Now, we prove the existence of $\phi$ in $L^{2}([0,1], r d r)$ for which $\left(T_{e^{i \theta} \psi}\right)^{p}=T_{e^{i p \theta} \phi}$ for any integer $p \geq 1$. If such $\phi$ exists, Lemma 2.4 implies that we must have

$$
(2 n+2)^{p-1}[\widehat{\psi}(2 n+2)]^{p}=\widehat{\phi}(2 n+2), \forall n \geq 0
$$

Note that $p$ is a positive integer and that our discussion is trivial for $p=1$ since in this case $\phi \equiv \psi$. So we assume $p \geq 2$. By setting
$z=2 n+2$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widehat{\phi}(z) & =z^{p-1}[\widehat{\psi}(z)]^{p} \\
& =\frac{z^{p-1}}{\prod_{i=1}^{m}\left(z+k_{i}\right)^{p}} h(z)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $h(z)=(f(z))^{p}$. In a similar way as in the proof of Theorem 3.1 and using Leibniz formula, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi(r) & =\left.\sum_{i=1}^{m} \operatorname{Res} \widehat{\phi}(z) \cdot r^{-z}\right|_{z=-k_{i}} \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(\frac{1}{(p-1)!} \lim _{z \rightarrow-k_{i}} \frac{\partial^{p-1}}{\partial z^{p-1}}\left[\frac{z^{p-1}}{\prod_{l=1, l \neq i}^{m}\left(z+k_{l}\right)^{p}} h(z) \cdot r^{-z}\right]\right) \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(\frac{1}{(p-1)!} \lim _{z \rightarrow-k_{i}} \sum_{j=0}^{p-1}\left[\frac{(p-1)!}{j!(p-1-j)!} g^{(j)}(z) \cdot\left(r^{-z}\right)^{(p-1-j)}\right]\right) \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{p-1}\left[\frac{1}{j!(p-1-j)!} g^{(j)}\left(-k_{i}\right) \cdot(-1)^{p-1-j}(\log r)^{p-1-j}\left(r^{k_{i}}\right)\right]\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $g^{(j)}$ is the $\mathrm{j}^{\text {th }}$ derivative of the function $g(z)=\frac{z^{p-1}}{\prod_{l=1, l \neq i}^{m}\left(z+k_{l}\right)^{p}} h(z)$.
Finally, by letting $\alpha_{i, j}=\frac{(-1)^{p-1-j}}{j!(p-1-j)!} g^{(j)}\left(-k_{i}\right), \quad \beta_{i}=k_{i} \geq 0$ and $\gamma_{i}=p-1-j \geq 0$, we obtain the desired result.

Remark 3.10. Theorem 3.9 remains true in the case where $\psi$ is a linear combination of functions of the form $r^{\beta} \log ^{\gamma}(r)$, where $\beta, \gamma$ are nonnegative integers.
Examples 3.11. Let $\psi(r)=r^{m}$ with $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\widehat{\psi}(z)=\frac{1}{z+m}$. Again, Lemma 2.4 implies that for all $p \geq 1$ and all $n \geq 0$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(T_{\psi}\right)^{p}\left(\xi^{n}\right)(z) & =\left[\prod_{j=0}^{p-1}(2 n+2) \widehat{\psi}(2 n+2)\right] z^{n} \\
& =\frac{(2 n+2)^{p}}{(2 n+2+m)^{p}} z^{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

We want to find a radial symbol $\phi$ such that

$$
\left(T_{\psi}\right)^{p}\left(\xi^{n}\right)(z)=T_{\phi}\left(\xi^{n}\right)(z),
$$

for all $n \geq 0$. This is equivalent to finding $\phi$ such that

$$
\widehat{\phi}(2 n+2)=\frac{(2 n+2)^{p-1}}{(2 n+2+m)^{p}}
$$

Using Theorem 2.1 and letting $z=2 n+2$, we obtain

$$
\widehat{\phi}(z)=\frac{z^{p-1}}{(z+m)^{p}} .
$$

Clearly $\widehat{\phi}$ has a pole of order $p$ at $z=-m$. In order to obtain $\phi$ we choose to proceed as follows (but one can also use the partial fraction decomposition of $\widehat{\phi}(z)$ as mentioned in Remark 3.5)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi(r) & =\left.\operatorname{Res} \widehat{\phi}(z) \cdot r^{-z}\right|_{z=-m} \\
& =\frac{1}{(p-1)!} \lim _{z \rightarrow-m} \frac{\partial^{p-1}}{\partial z^{p-1}}\left[z^{p-1} r^{-z}\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{(p-1)!} \lim _{z \rightarrow-m} \sum_{j=0}^{p-1}\left[\frac{(p-1)!}{j!(p-1-j)!}\left(z^{p-1}\right)^{(p-1-j)}\left(r^{-z}\right)^{(j)}\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{(p-1)!} \lim _{z \rightarrow-m} \sum_{j=0}^{p-1}\left[\frac{(p-1)!}{j!(p-1-j)!}(p-1)(p-2) \ldots(j+1) z^{j}(-1)^{j}(\log r)^{j} r^{-z}\right] \\
& =r^{m} \sum_{j=0}^{p-1}\left[\frac{(p-1)(p-2) \ldots(j+1) m^{j}}{j!(p-1-j)!}(\log r)^{j}\right] \\
& =r^{m} \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \alpha_{j, m}(\log r)^{j},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\alpha_{j, m}=\frac{(p-1)(p-2) \ldots(j+1) m^{j}}{j!(p-1-j)!}$. Finally, it is easy to see that $\phi$ is a nearly bounded function and therefore $T_{\phi}$ is a genuine Toeplitz operator.

We conclude by a simple but interesting consequence of our main results.
Corollary 3.12. Let $s \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$ and let $\psi(r)=\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i} r^{k_{i}}$ be nonzero polynomial function. Then, there exists an integer $N \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$ such that $T_{e^{i s \theta}} \psi$ has $p^{\text {th }}$ powers for all integers $1 \leq p \leq N$.

Proof. Since $\psi(r)=\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i} r^{k_{i}}$, we can write $\widehat{\psi}(z)=\frac{f(z)}{\prod_{i=1}^{m}\left(z+k_{i}\right)}$, where the numerator $f$ is a polynomial function of degree less or equal to $m-1$. Obviously, if $\psi$ satisfy the conditions of Corollary 3.6 , then $N$ can be any integer in $\mathbb{N}$. Now, assume the hypothesis of Corollary 3.6 don't hold. We want to find $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any random integer $p$
between 1 and $N$ there exists a radial function $\varphi$ satisfying $\left(T_{e^{i s \theta} \psi}\right)^{p}=$ $T_{e^{i p s} \theta_{\varphi}}$. If this is the case, then by using Lemma 2.4 and by letting $z=2 n+p s+2$, we must have that for all integers $n \geq 0$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(T_{e^{i s \theta} \psi}\right)^{p}\left(\xi^{n}\right)(z) & =\left[\prod_{j=0}^{p-1} 2(n+j s+s+1) \widehat{\psi}(2 n+2 j s+s+2)\right] z^{n+p s} \\
& =\left[\frac{\prod_{j=0}^{p-1}(z-p s+2 j s+2 s) f(z-p s+2 j s+s)}{\left(\prod_{m, p-1)}^{(i, j)}\left(z-p s+k_{i}+2 j s+s\right)\right.}\right] z^{n+p s} \\
& =(z+p s) \widehat{\varphi}(z) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, and as in the proof of Theorem 3.1, we deduce that $\varphi$ must be of the from

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(r)=\sum_{(i, j)=(1,0)}^{(m, p-1)} \alpha_{i, j} r^{k_{i}-p s+2 j s+s} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha_{i, j}$ are constants. Furthermore, since $k_{1}-p s+s \leq k_{i}-p s+2 j s+s$ for all $(i, j)=(1,0) \cdots(m, p-1)$, the function $\varphi$ will be in $L^{2}([0,1], r d r)$ if $k_{1}-p s+s \geq 0$. Otherwise $T_{e^{i p s \theta} \varphi}$ will not be bounded and hence not a genuine Toeplitz operator. Therefore, it is sufficient to take $N=\left\lfloor\frac{s+k_{1}}{s}\right\rfloor$.
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